This ABC covers all the major areas of occupational and environmental medicine that the non-specialist will want to know about. It updates the material in *ABC of Work Related Disorders* and most of the chapters have been rewritten and expanded. New information is provided on a range of environmental issues, yet the book maintains its practical approach, giving guidance on the diagnosis and day to day management of the main occupational disorders.

Contents include:
- Hazards of work
- Occupational health practice and investigating the workplace
- Legal aspects and fitness for work
- Musculoskeletal disorders
- Psychological factors
- Human factors
- Physical agents
- Infectious and respiratory diseases
- Cancers and skin disease
- Genetics and reproduction
- Global issues and pollution
- New occupational and environmental diseases

Written by leading specialists in the field, this ABC is a valuable reference for students of occupational and environmental medicine, general practitioners, and others who want to know more about this increasingly important subject.
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Preface

Although work is generally considered to be good for your health and a healthy working population is essential to a country’s economic and social development, certain kinds of work can be damaging. Occupational health is the study of the effect—good and bad—of work on peoples’ health and, conversely, the effect of peoples’ health on their work: fitness for work in other words.

Work places are specialised environments, capable of being closely controlled. Generally, it is the lack of control imposed by employers that is the cause of ill health because of exposure to hazardous materials and agents at work, and of injury caused by workplace accidents.

Working life does not, however, begin and end at the factory gate or the revolving office door: many people walk, cycle, or drive to work—a journey that often constitutes the major hazard of the day. Others have to drive or travel by other means as part of their job, live away from home, be exposed to other food, other people, other parasites. Even work from home, increasing in some countries, can have its problems. Occupational health practitioners deal with all these aspects of working life.

A working population consists of people mainly between 15 and 70 years (disregarding for the moment the ongoing scandal that is child labour), who may be exposed for 8-12 hours a day to a relatively high concentration of toxic substances or agents, physical or psychological. At least that population is likely to be reasonably fit—unlike those who cannot work because of illness or disabilities, the young, and the very old, who are more vulnerable and spend a lifetime exposed to many of the same agents in the general environment at lower concentration. This enters the realm of environmental medicine of such concern to those who monitor the degradation of our planet, track pollution and climate change, and note the effect of natural disasters and man made ones, especially wars.

This book was first published in 1997 as the *ABC of Work Related Disorders*. It is a much expanded and updated version that attempts, in a compressed and easy to assimilate fashion, to describe those problems of health relating to work in its widest sense and to the environment.

The pattern of work is changing fast. There is pretty full employment in most economically developed countries now. Manufacturing industry is now mainly concentrated in developing countries where traditional occupational disease such as pesticide poisoning and asbestosis are still depressingly common. Occupational accidents are particularly common in places where industrialisation is occurring rapidly as was once the case during the industrial revolution in 19th century Britain. Work is also more varied, more intense, more service oriented, more regulated, and more spread around the clock in order to serve the 24 hour international economy. There are more women at work, more disabled people, and a range of new illnesses perhaps better described as symptom complexes which represent interactive states between peoples’ attitudes and feeling towards their work, their domestic environment, and the way in which their illness behaviour is expressed.

All occupational disease is preventable—even the more “modern” conditions such as stress and upper limb disorders can be reduced to low level by good management and fair treatment of individuals who do develop these kinds of problems and who may need rehabilitation back into working life after a period of disability. These areas are covered in the chapters on musculoskeletal disorders, stress, and mental health at work. There are chapters also on the traditional concerns of the occupational health practitioner such as dermatoses, respiratory disorders and infections, and other chapters reflecting occupational health practice covering workplace surveys, fitness for work, sickness absence control issues, and, unfortunately increasing in prevalence, legal considerations. Genetics and its application to work and the effects of work on reproduction are described in chapter 17.

Concerns beyond the workplace are covered in the chapter on global issues and on pollution. The control of hazards in the general environment presents issues of problem solving at a different level. Ascertainment of exposure is more difficult than in workplaces, and to find solutions needs transnational political will and commitment as well as science to succeed. Many believe that the rash of “new” illnesses attributed to environmental causes are manifestations of a risk-averse public’s response to poorly understood threats in the modern world and an unconscious wish to blame “industry,” or some state institution—agencies that represent irresponsible emitters of toxins, inadvertent releasers of radiation, regardless sprayers of pesticides, or unwitting providers of vaccinations. Chapter 20 addresses this important subject.

In common with the previous edition, this new edition of ABC of Occupational and Environmental Medicine will still appeal to non-specialists who wish to practise some occupational medicine; but will also provide all that students of occupational and environmental medicine and nursing will need as a basis for their studies. Each chapter has an annotated further reading list. Most, but not all, of the book is written with an international audience in mind.

David Snashall
Most readers of this book will consider themselves lucky to have a job, probably an interesting one. However tedious it might be, work defines a person, which is one reason why most people who lack the opportunity to work feel disenfranchised. As well as determining our standard of living, work takes up about a third of our waking time, widens our social networks, constrains where we can live, and conditions our personalities. “Good” work is life enhancing, but bad working conditions can damage your health.

Global burden of occupational and environmental ill health

According to the International Labour Organisation (ILO), between 1.9 and 2.3 million people are killed by their work every year—including 12,000 children—and 25 million people have workplace injuries, causing them to take time off. Two million workplace associated deaths per year outnumber people killed in road accidents, war, violence, and through AIDS, and cost 4% of the world’s gross domestic product in terms of absence from work, treatment, and disability and survivor benefits.

The burden is particularly heavy in developing countries where the death rate in construction—for example, is 10 times that in industrialised countries, and where workers are concentrated in the most dangerous industries—fishing, mining, logging, and agriculture.

In the United States some 60,000 deaths from occupational disease and 860,000 cases of work related injury occur each year.

Environmental disease is more difficult to quantify because the populations at risk are much larger than the working population. As an example, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention reckons that one million children in the world have lead poisoning.

Reporting occupational ill health

Occupational diseases are reportable in most countries, but are usually grossly underreported. Even in countries like Finland (where reporting is assiduous), surveys have shown rates of occupational disease to be underestimated by three to five times. Classifications of occupational diseases have been developed for two main purposes: for notification, usually to a health and safety agency to provide national statistics and subsequent preventive action, and for compensation paid to individuals affected by such diseases. There are no universally accepted diagnostic criteria, coding systems, or classifications worldwide. Modifications of ICD-10 (international classification of diseases, 10th revision) are used in many countries to classify occupational diseases, along with a system devised by the World Health Organization for classifying by exposure or industry. It is the association of these two sets of information that defines a disease as being probably occupational in origin. A number of reporting systems exist in the United Kingdom but these are not comprehensive, nor coordinated. After all, they arose at different times and for different purposes.
Occupational injuries are also reportable in Great Britain under the Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 1995 and, for purposes of compensation, to the Department of Work and Pensions’ Industrial Injuries Scheme. The recording of injuries is generally more reliable because the injuries are immediately obvious and occur at a definable point in time. By contrast, cause and effect in occupational disease can be far from obvious, and exposure to the hazardous material may have occurred many years beforehand. Given that, worldwide, industrial injuries and, in particular, occupational ill health are poorly recorded and reported, the economic losses to the countries concerned are massive.

### Classification and notification of occupational diseases

The World Health Organization gives the following classification:

1. Diseases caused by agents
   1.1 Diseases caused by chemical agents
   1.2 Diseases caused by physical agents
   1.3 Diseases caused by biological agents
2. Diseases by target organ
   2.1 Occupational respiratory diseases
   2.2 Occupational skin diseases
   2.3 Occupational musculoskeletal diseases
3. Occupational cancer
4. Others

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notification</th>
<th>Classification for labour statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In addition to the diagnosis of occupational disease, additional information should be included in the notification. The ILO has defined the minimum information to be included:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Enterprise, establishment, and employer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) Name and address of employer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) Name and address of enterprise</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) Name and address of the establishment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iv) Economic activity of the establishment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(v) Number of workers (size of the establishment)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Person affected by the occupational disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) Name, address, sex, and date of birth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) Employment status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) Occupation at the time when the disease was diagnosed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iv) Length of service with the present employer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- International Standard Classification of Occupations (ISCO)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- International Classification of Status in Education (a UNESCO classification) (ISCED)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- International Standard Classification of Education (a UNESCO classification) (ISCED)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Occupational or work related?

Some conditions, such as asbestosis in laggers, and lead poisoning in industrial painters, are hardly likely to be anything other than purely occupational in origin. (About 70 of these “prescribed” occupational diseases are listed by the Department for Work and Pensions.) However, mesothelioma can be the result of environmental exposure to fibrous minerals (as in the case of cave dwellers in Turkey), and lead poisoning can be a result of ingesting lead salts from—for example, low

### The cost of disease and injury at work

- **1992: European countries**
  Direct costs for compensation of work related diseases and injuries: 27 000 million ECUs

- **1995-1996: United Kingdom**
  Overall costs to society for workplace injuries and ill health (including net present value of costs in future years): £14-18 billion (2-2.5% of gross domestic product). Ratio of illnesses/Injuries about 3:1

- **1992: United States**
  Total direct and indirect costs associated with work related injuries and diseases: US $171 000 million. This is more than AIDS and on a par with cancer and heart disease

### United Kingdom occupational ill health statistics

No single source of information is available in the United Kingdom on the nature and full extent of occupational ill health. The statistics in the 2000-1 report by the Health and Safety Executive are based on the following sources:

- Household surveys of self reported work related illness (SWI): these have been held in 1990 and 1995, linked to the Labour Force Survey (LFS). Health and safety questions were also included in the Europewide LFS in 1999
- Voluntary reporting of occupational diseases by specialist doctors in The Health and Occupation Reporting (THOR) network (which succeeded the Occupational Disease Intelligence Network (ODIN) in 2002). THOR and ODIN comprise the Occupational Physicians Reporting Activities (OPRA) scheme, and six other schemes covering mental illness and stress, musculoskeletal disorders, skin diseases, respiratory disorders, hearing loss, and infectious diseases
- New cases of assessed disablement under the Department of Work and Pensions’ Industrial Injuries Scheme (IIS): the most longstanding source, based on a list of prescribed diseases and associated occupations, again giving annual figures
- Statutory reports under the Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations (RIDDOR): these were expanded when RIDDOR 1995 replaced RIDDOR 1985 and are similar to the IIS list
- Deaths from occupational lung diseases recorded on death certificates (principally mesothelioma and other asbestos related diseases)
temperature, lead glazed ceramics used as drinking vessels, mainly in developing countries. In these situations the history and main occupation will differentiate the causes. The situation may be far less clear in conditions such as back pain in a construction worker or an upper limb disorder in a keyboard operator when activities outside work may contribute, as might psychological factors, symptom thresholds, etc. A lifetime working in a dusty atmosphere may not lead to chronic bronchitis and emphysema, but when it is combined with cigarette smoking this outcome is much more likely. Common conditions for which occupational exposures are important but are not the sole reason or the major cause can more reasonably be termed “work related disease” rather than occupational disease.

Some important prescribed diseases such as chronic bronchitis, emphysema, and lung cancer are work related in the individual case only on the “balance of probabilities.” Certain occupations carry a substantial risk of premature death, whereas others are associated with the likelihood of living a long and healthy life. This is reflected in very different standardised (or proportional) mortality ratios for different jobs, but not all the differences are the result of the various hazards of different occupations. Selection factors are important, and social class has an effect (although in the United Kingdom this is defined by occupation). Non-occupational causes related to behaviour and lifestyle may also be important.

Presentation of work related illnesses

Diseases and conditions of occupational origin usually present in an identical form to the same diseases and conditions caused by other factors. Bronchial carcinoma—for example, has the same histological appearance and follows the same course whether it results from working with asbestos, uranium mining, or cigarette smoking.

The possibility that a condition is work induced may become apparent only when specific questions are asked, because the occupational origin of a disease is usually discovered (and it is discovered only if suspected) by the presence of an unusual pattern. For example, in occupational dermatitis, the distribution of the lesions may be characteristic. A particular history may be another clue: asthma of late onset is more commonly occupational in origin than asthma that starts early in life. Indeed, some 40% of adult onset asthma is probably occupational. Daytime drowsiness in a fit young factory worker may be caused not by late nights and heavy alcohol consumption but by unsuspected exposure to solvents at work.

The occupational connection with a condition may not be immediately obvious because patients may give vague answers when asked what their job is. Answers such as “driver,” “fitter,” or “model” are not very useful, and the closer a health professional can get to extracting a precise job description, the better. For example, an engineer may work directly with machinery and risk damage to limbs, skin, and hearing, or may spend all day working at a computer and risk back pain, upper limb disorders, and sedentary stress. Sometimes patients will have been told (or should have been told) their job is associated with specific hazards, or they may know that fellow workers have experienced similar symptoms.

Timing of events

The timing of symptoms is important because the symptoms may be related to exposure events during work. Asthma provides a good example of this: many people with occupational asthma develop symptoms only after a delay of

| Proportional mortality ratios (PMR) in selected occupations |
|---------------------------------|------------------|
| **Occupation**                  | **High PMR**    | **Low PMR**   |
| Teachers                        | Multiple sclerosis | Lung cancer    |
|                                 | Leukaemia       | Bronchitis     |
|                                 | Aplastic anaemia | Alcohol related disease |
|                                 | Parkinson disease |               |
|                                 | Bicycle accidents |               |
| Doctors, dentists and nurses    | Suicide         | Ischaemic heart disease |
|                                 | Alcohol-related disease |               |
|                                 | Hepatitis (doctors) |               |
|                                 | Prostatic cancer (dentists) |               |
| Farmers                         | Allergic pneumonitis | Cancer       |
|                                 | Influenza       | Heart disease  |
|                                 | Hernia          | Alcohol related disorders |
|                                 | Poisoning       |               |
|                                 | Accidents       |               |
|                                 | Epilepsy        |               |
|                                 | Suicide         |               |
|                                 | Haemolytic anaemia |               |
| Construction workers            | Cancer of pleura and peritoneum | Suicide |
|                                 | Asbestosis      |               |
|                                 | Nasal cancer    |               |
|                                 | Falls           |               |
some hours and the condition may present as nocturnal wheeze. It is essential to ask whether symptoms occur during the performance of a specific task and if they occur solely on workdays, improving during weekends and holidays. Sometimes the only way to elucidate the pattern is for the person to keep a graphic diary of the time sequence of events.

Working conditions

Patients should be asked specifically about their working conditions. Common problems are dim lighting, noisy machinery, bad office layout, dusty atmosphere, draconian management, and bad morale. Such questioning not only investigates possibilities, but also gives the questioner a good idea of the general state of a working environment and how the patient reacts to it. A visit to the workplace may be a revelation, and just as valuable as a home visit if one wants to understand how a patient’s health is conditioned by their environment and how it might be improved. Knowing about somebody’s work can help to provide a context and to gain insight. Patients are often happy to talk about the details of their work; this may be less threatening than talking about details of their home life and can promote a better relationship between patients and health professionals.

The causes of occupational disease can extend beyond the workplace and can affect local populations through water or soil pollution. Overalls soiled with toxic materials such as lead or asbestos can affect members of workers’ families when the overalls are taken home to be washed.

Trends in work related illnesses

Changes in working practices in the industrialised world are giving rise to work that is more demanding in a psychosocial sense but less so in terms of hard physical activity. Jobs are also safer (although this may not be true in those countries where extremely rapid industrialisation is occurring)—the result of a shift in many countries from agricultural and extractive industry via heavy factory industry to technology intensive manufacturing and services, which are inherently safer. Also, most countries have a labour inspectorate that can orchestrate a risk based strategy of hazard control with varying degrees of efficiency. Life outside work has also become safer, although rapid industrialisation and growing prosperity in some countries have meant huge increases in road traffic, with an accompanying increase in accidents. Traditional occupational diseases such as pneumoconiosis and noise induced deafness can be adequately controlled by the same strategies of hazard control used to limit accidental injury. However, the long latent period between exposure and appearance of occupational diseases makes attribution and control more problematic. Thus, the modern epidemic of musculoskeletal disorders and complaints of work induced stress may reflect a new kind of working population with different characteristics from its forebears, as well as changes in the work environment itself.

Completely new jobs have appeared, with new hazards—for example, salad composers (dermatitis), aromatherapists (allergies), and semiconductor assemblers (exposure to multiple toxins).

Although working conditions are undoubtedly cleaner, safer, and in many ways better than before, work itself has changed. In the economically developed world there has been a shift from unskilled work to more highly skilled or multiskilled work in largely sedentary occupations. There is greater self employment and a remarkable shift towards employment in small and medium sized enterprises. The percentage of women in employment has been growing for

An example of the interface between occupational and environmental disease was the pollution of Minamata Bay in Japan by discharges of mercury from industrial sources and the severe neurological consequences on those who consumed the resulting contaminated fish

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of death</th>
<th>Annual risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>1 in 387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
<td>1 in 16800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radon in dwellings</td>
<td>1 in 29000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightning</td>
<td>1 in 1870000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatality to employees</td>
<td>1 in 125000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatality to self employed</td>
<td>1 in 50000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>1 in 17000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing (not sea fishing)</td>
<td>1 in 17200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service industry</td>
<td>1 in 333000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical anaesthesia</td>
<td>1 in 185000 operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scuba diving</td>
<td>1 in 200000 dives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairground rides</td>
<td>1 in 83400000 rides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock climbing</td>
<td>1 in 32900000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail travel accidents</td>
<td>1 in 43000000 passenger journeys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft accidents</td>
<td>1 in 125000000 passenger journeys</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Useful websites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Website</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td><a href="http://www.nohsc.gov.au">http://www.nohsc.gov.au</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td><a href="http://europe.osh.a.eu.int">http://europe.osh.a.eu.int</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td><a href="http://www.occuphealth.fi">http://www.occuphealth.fi</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td><a href="http://www.arbetslivsinstitutet.se">http://www.arbetslivsinstitutet.se</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td><a href="http://www.hse.gov.uk">http://www.hse.gov.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td><a href="http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/homepage.html">http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/homepage.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.epa.gov">http://www.epa.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.acoem.org">http://www.acoem.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Antidiscrimination legislation in many countries has provided more working opportunities for disabled and older workers, and has provided their employers with some challenges. Occupational health professionals need to understand organisational development as well as occupational disease
decades. Not everyone can cope with the newer, more flexible, less stable, intensively managed work style demanded by modern clients and contractors.

Public perceptions and an expectation of good physical health and associated happiness, allied to improved sanitation and housing, availability of good food, and good medical services, have highlighted those non-fatal conditions which might hitherto have been regarded as trivial but which have large effects on social functioning (such as deafness), work (such as backache), and happiness (such as psychological illness), contributing in turn disproportionately and adversely to disability-free years of life. The public is also more environmentally aware and concerned that some of the determinants of ill health are rooted in modern life and working conditions, giving rise to allergies, fatigue states, and various forms of chemical sensitisation. The estimation, perception, and communication of risk—a social construct—may still, however, be quite primitive even in the most sophisticated of populations. The media definition of risk remains “hazard plus outrage,” and life as a threat has become a reality for many.

The figures showing global work related mortality and the breakdown of costs for work related injuries and diseases use data from ILO, 1999 and ILO, 1995.
2 Occupational health practice

Anil Adisesh

Occupational health is a multidisciplinary activity that draws on a wide base of sciences for its implementation. The range of practitioners employed in any one organisation will tend to reflect the resources allocated, hazards identified, and the prevailing regulatory requirements of the host country. Occupational health is practised by physicians, nurses, safety and risk assessors, and occupational hygienists, sometimes with support from ergonomists, psychologists, toxicologists, and epidemiologists. The competent occupational health practitioner will have some understanding in all these fields but will have an area of special knowledge—for example, the physician will be primarily expert in occupational medicine.

The work of occupational health teams may contribute directly or indirectly to the intrinsic health values of the product (or service). For example, a cement manufacturer might add ferrous sulphate to reduce the likelihood of occupational allergic contact dermatitis in the product users; a hospital may screen and immunise healthcare workers for hepatitis B to prevent occupational acquisition but thereby also prevent iatrogenic disease in patients.

In the course of making recommendations to the UK government on improving access to occupational health support services, the Occupational Health Advisory Committee formed the view that occupational health embraced a range of functions.

The occupational health paradigm

The integration of safety and occupational health is common and many units are described as “occupational health and safety services.” In private industry, in particular, environmental responsibilities have also been incorporated, to form a “safety, health, and environment” function, with management board level representation. The amalgamation of these activities can provide a global focus for occupational health, which then needs to engage with a wider public and political agenda.

The interaction between health and work has been a long held paradigm for occupational health that tends to emphasise the adverse effects of work on health and of ill health on capacity for work. It is perhaps time to add a third factor—“wellness”—to acknowledge that in favourable circumstances work contributes to good health (health gain), and healthier workers to better performance (work gain).

The Finnish concept of “maintenance of work ability” refers to a set of measures designed to assist workers to achieve a high level of work capacity in a changing job market over a working
lifetime. Its application has been found to reduce sickness absence rates and early ill-health retirement.

Occupational health services are in a good position to promote work ability maintenance by:

- Actions directed towards the improvement of employees’ physical and mental health, and social wellbeing (by advising the client)
- Actions directed towards competence building, better control of work, encouragement, and motivation (by advising the managers)
- Actions directed to developing the work environment, work processes, and work community that are safe and healthy (by advising the employer).

A work ability index (WAI) has been developed consisting of a questionnaire (translated into many languages) which allows workers to rate their own work ability, track it over the years, and use the score distribution to act as an early warning of decline and guide interventions to improve matters.

The function of occupational health services is to minimise both work and health deficits while maximising health and work gains. To achieve these aims an occupational health service needs:

- to perform a health protection role
- to liaise with treating health professionals
- to undertake active work rehabilitation
- to engage in workplace health promotion and support national health screening programmes
- to provide advisory function to management and workers anticipating the benefits and losses that may arise from changes to work or work practices
- importantly, to monitor its own activities so that meaningful data accrue.

Provision of occupational health

Statutory provision of occupational health is the norm in many European countries, including France, Spain, the Netherlands, Belgium, Portugal, Germany, Denmark, and Greece. Italy provides occupational and environmental health services within the national health service. In the United Kingdom there is no regulation that requires the provision of occupational health services by employers, although all NHS employees should have access to an accredited specialist in occupational medicine.

The NHS is now being encouraged to make its occupational health services available on a commercial basis to small and medium sized enterprises that may not otherwise have access to occupational health. It is, however, more usual for firms to contract private occupational health provision, or to employ occupational health staff.

Employers can seek advice from the Employment Medical Advisory Service of the HSE, but they will usually be directed towards suitable sources of occupational health provision. To implement health and safety legislation effectively, an employer may need the support of a health professional—for example, to perform health surveillance under the Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999. It might also be prudent to take the advice of an independent health professional at several stages of the employment process, to ensure compliance with disability discrimination legislation and to support other risk management initiatives for the organisation.

The fact that so few private companies use occupational health services is perhaps indicative of their failure to manage certain risks. The HSE promotes a five step process of risk assessment for hazard identification and risk reduction.

Use of health professionals at work

- The following information is contained in research* carried out in 1992†
- In total, 8% of private sector establishments use health professionals to treat or advise about health problems at work. “Health professionals” includes physicians, nurses, and other professions allied to medicine (whether or not they have specialist occupational health (OH) qualification), occupational hygienists, health and safety consultants, and other practitioners with specific OH knowledge or qualifications
- The use of health professionals varies substantially by size of company, with over two thirds (68%) of large employers using professionals, compared with 5% of employers with less than 25 employees. In the private sector, use is highest in manufacturing (14%). The high level of use of health professionals in the public sector means that overall almost half the total workforce are employed by organisations using health professionals

*HSE Contract Research Report 57/1993 on Occupational Health Provision at Work
†There is no more recent data of a comparable nature available

A 2002 survey of UK occupational health provision commissioned by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) found that among a sample of private companies:

- 15% received services comprising hazard indentification, risk management, and provision of occupational health and safety information
- 3% received the above plus modification of workplace activities, training, measurement of workplace hazards, and monitoring of trends (mainly larger companies)
- Health was secondary to safety
- Services were mainly provided by private doctors and nurses
- There was rarely a health and safety budget
- Small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) were generally happy with the occupational health and safety situation within their company

The Health and Safety Executive’s five steps to risk assessment

Step 1: Look for the hazards
Step 2: Decide who might be harmed and how
Step 3: Evaluate the risks and decide whether the existing precautions are adequate or whether more should be done
Step 4: Record your findings
Step 5: Review your assessment and revise it if necessary

Access to Medical Reports Act 1988

The Act established a right of access by individuals to reports relating to themselves provided by medical practitioners for employment or insurance purposes and to make provision for related matters. The Act gives patients certain rights. The patient may:

- Refuse to allow a medical report from their treating doctor
- Allow the report to be sent unseen
- See the report during the six month period after it was written
- See the report before it is sent to the employer (a 21 day period is allowed)
- Ask their doctor to change any part of the report which they consider to be wrong or misleading before consenting to its release
- Append their own comments
- Refuse to let the doctor send the report
Health and safety risk management has tended to focus on accidents, yet the cost to employers of workplace injuries and work related illness is estimated (based on the UK Labour Force 1995-6 Survey) to be about £2.5 billion a year (at 1995-6 prices)—about £0.9 billion for injuries and £1.6 billion for illness. Figures from the US Bureau of Labor Statistics report 5650 100 cases of non-fatal injury or illness in private industry in 2000, with 1664 000 cases involving days away from work.

Communication

Before any important employment decisions are made, it is in the interests of all parties to gain a full understanding of the facts pertaining to an employee’s medical situation. In these circumstances it may be necessary for the occupational health service to request information from the employee’s treating doctor. Sometimes information that is not known to the treating doctor is available to the occupational health service. For example, screening procedures may have found a healthcare worker to be infected with hepatitis B virus; health surveillance may have found that a paint sprayer may develop occupational asthma. In such circumstances it is important for the treating doctor to be made aware of these diagnoses with the agreement of the employee.

Communication between an employer and an employee’s treating doctor is usually initiated by the occupational health service requesting information from the doctor. Occasionally a request may come directly from a manager or personnel department. The request should be accompanied by appropriate authorisation to disclose medical details to an employer or their medical representative. In the United Kingdom this is under the provisions of the Access to Medical Reports Act 1988.

When asked to provide a report, the corresponding doctor must establish whether the report is intended to go to a doctor retained by the company or to a lay person, such as the employee’s manager. A lay person may not fully understand medical jargon, and misinterpretation could give rise to unnecessary concern, to the detriment of the employee.

Reports received by an occupational health department are held in medical confidence, unless the employee has disclosed these or specifically requested that they are disclosed to the employer. The work related implications can be explained to management with advice based on a knowledge of the working environment. It is in everyone’s interest (patients, family doctors, hospital doctors, employers, occupational physicians, society as a whole) to get patients back to work as safely and quickly as possible but to prevent their premature return. Rapid and accurate communication is the answer, but the biggest delay occurs when treating doctors fail to answer requests for information from the occupational health service. Delays often cause difficulty to patients, sometimes including financial loss resulting from the inability to work or perform overtime, pending decisions on fitness for work.

Opinions on the part of the treating doctor regarding fitness to work may be unhelpful when these have not been specifically asked for, particularly if the patient is aware of the opinion. For example, a family doctor may consider a “process worker” who is undergoing investigation for syncope as fit to work. The safety of the individual and others in the workplace may be at risk if the doctor is not aware of the duties entailed—for example, working alone in a control room, wearing breathing apparatus, and so on. Doctors may create legal liabilities for themselves in providing opinions when they are

Occupational health reports (The Association of National Health Occupational Physicians, 1996—see Further reading)

Occupational health reports to management must be in writing and include the following:

(a) Details (not clinical details, but information on functional limitations) of any disabilities which may temporarily or permanently affect the ability of the employee to undertake his or her full range of contracted work duties
(b) An estimate of the likely duration of absence or disability
(c) Fitness to undertake the full range of duties, or a limited range of his or her contracted work
(d) Whether and when any further review would be appropriate
(e) Whether an application for retirement on grounds of ill health could be supported (this requires an understanding of the criteria applicable to the scheme)

It is essential that an employee is fully aware of the advice that is being sent to management and the implications of this advice. The employee should be provided with a copy of the advice.

This letter to a manager from a doctor acting as medical adviser to the company contains too many medical terms

Dear Harry,

I saw Mr … He was well until 19 … when he had a coronary thrombosis. He made a good recovery from that until about 19 … when he began to complain of constant ache in his legs, which was worse on exercise. He now has persistent ache in both legs and an exercise limitation of about 200 yards. He recently had an episode of right-sided hemianopia, in which the outside half of the vision in the right eye disappears due to vascular disease of the eye. This is related to his generalised vascular disease as instanced by his coronary thrombosis and by his leg pains. He also complained recently of some shortness of breath and when I examined him I noticed that his heart beat was irregular. This man has quite severe generalised vascular disease and his life expectancy is not good. However, the only problem affecting his ability to work presently is the difficulty in focusing, due to his recent eye problem. This will hopefully improve sufficiently for him to be able to undertake his work in the office, provided no further disaster occurs. I would hope that he can resume employment in three to four weeks. However, as I said previously, the prognosis here is extremely poor. I hope this is of some assistance to you in organising your plans.

Yours sincerely,

Letter is written by a specialist to support a patient’s application for a job in a remote tropical location

Knowledge of the medical facilities and the risks of disease in an immunosuppressed person must be considered

Dear Dr …

I am writing in support of Ms J’s application to work abroad. In 19 … Ms J had a right leg DVT which was treated with warfarin but one month later she had a pulmonary embolus. Eight months after this, in January, she had an acute illness with fever and a vasculitic rash. A diagnosis of SLE was made and she was treated with prednisolone. In June she had an epileptiform seizure due to cerebral SLE. Glomerulonephritis was diagnosed on renal biopsy in July. The changes were consistent with SLE. She was treated with azathioprine in addition to the prednisolone. She then developed hypertension.

The current situation is that she has heavy proteinuria, indicating active glomerulonephritis; however, she seems clinically well. Her treatment is prednisolone 10 mg daily, azathioprine 100 mg daily, bendrofluazide 5 mg daily, propranolol 320 mg daily, and prazosin, 10 mg twice daily. She will need to continue on long term immunosuppressants but the short term outlook is good, although her renal function is likely to deteriorate in the longer term. Given her fortitude with illness I am sure she would make an excellent field worker for the … project.
not aware of all relevant information and are without sufficient expertise.

The issue of payment for reports can also cause difficulties, and, ideally, fees should be agreed beforehand. Generally speaking, a higher fee is appropriate if the reporting doctor has been asked for an opinion on matters such as fitness for work; simply reporting on a previous diagnosis, and current and proposed treatment does not require exercising of specific judgement. As a matter of good practice and professional courtesy, payments to medical colleagues should be made promptly on the receipt of a report.

Having assessed the individual, the occupational physician may advise restriction of specific duties—for example, for a nursing care assistant with resolving back strain—that they can return to work under the restriction that no manual handling of patients nor of loads greater than 10 kg is undertaken. This still allows the nursing care assistant to perform a wide range of useful functions: assisting with food preparation and feeding, personal care tasks, checking supplies, and social interaction with clients. It is the skill of the manager to accommodate such advice.

A telephone conversation between the treating doctor and the occupational health department may help clarify the options in managing a return to work. Also, under disability discrimination legislation, there may be a duty on the employer to make a “reasonable accommodation” to facilitate work.

In the rare event of a complete disagreement between the occupational physician and the family doctor or specialist on an individual’s fitness for work, legal authorities tend towards the occupational physician’s opinion. They regard the occupational physician as being in fuller possession of all the facts, both clinical and relating to the actual work to be done, and therefore in a better position to make a balanced and independent judgement.

Ethics and confidentiality

Some doctors are wary of releasing medical details to occupational health professionals, believing that medical confidentiality may be compromised and information given to the employer. This should never happen. All communication between occupational health services and other doctors is held in strict medical confidence. Communication by occupational health services to managers is generally made in broad terms without revealing specific medical details. From a medical report indicating that an employee has angina on exertion, the occupational physician may inform the manager that “Mr. X has a medical condition that prevents him from working in the loading bay and performing other heavy manual work. He should be fit for his other duties as a senior storesman and will be kept under regular review.”

It is unnecessary for a manager to be aware of specific medical details, but sometimes it is helpful, with the patient’s agreement, for fellow workers to be aware of a medical condition such as epilepsy so that appropriate help can be given (or unhelpful actions avoided).

Some doctors also believe that occupational health services usually act in the interests of the employer, rather than the employee/patient. To behave in such a way is contrary to the ethics of occupational health practice, but this misconception still inhibits useful communication between the specialties. In fact, occupational health physicians and nurses act as independent and objective advisors to the individual and to the organisation, hopefully to their mutual benefit.
Ill-health retirement

Sometimes medical conditions will preclude a return to work because of permanent incapacity for a particular job. Information will often be requested in order to support ill-health retirement, or it may be necessary to explain why an employee’s job is to be terminated because of incapacity (where a person has not attended work for an excessive period because of sickness absence, but recovery of fitness is envisaged), the latter being a managerial decision. The pension fund’s grounds for ill-health retirement may be explicit and leave little room for clinical opinion, or may be quite open. There is potential for disagreement between the occupational physician and other medical advisers, particularly if restricted duties or redeployment are viable propositions. Ideally, views should be discussed openly and an equitable decision made.

The interface between occupational health and other healthcare providers should therefore be open and two way, initiated either by primary care and hospital services or by occupational health services whenever discussion of patient care in relation to employment could be advantageous.

Audit and monitoring

It is important that occupational health practitioners critically evaluate their practice and, through application of the iterative audit cycle, improve the quality, effectiveness, and efficiency of their service. Audit is conventionally divided into structure (resources), process (procedures), and outcome (results). The use of audit should not be confined to clinical matters, and the inclusion of occupational health practitioners from other disciplines—for example, occupational hygiene or safety, will contribute to better services for all.

For a service to report on its activities in a meaningful way there needs to be in place a basic dataset that allows comparison between time periods, different employee groups, or operational divisions. Data that may be appropriate include new appointments, review appointments, health surveillance activity, immunisations, referral reason, type of clinician (doctor or nurse), and diagnosis. This information is invaluable for presentation to management to show changes in activity or areas for which increased funding is needed when making a business case. It will also be useful when discussing issues from the perspective of occupational health in organisational meetings such as health and safety meetings, risk management, and when compiling an annual report or business plan. These data are ideally compiled in a computerised database, either bespoke or a commercially available occupational health software package.

Research

Research is an essential occupational health function. It is only through testing hypotheses that we can advance our knowledge of occupational disease causation, the effectiveness of screening programmes, the benefits of workplace health promotion, quantification of occupational risk, establishment of exposure levels, and the economic impact of occupational injuries and ill health.

Occupational health practitioners may also be faced with ethical difficulties in this field. For example, an organisation may not wish to publicise adverse information about its products or activities. If private companies or national bodies...
are concerned with or participate in research, their influence on what is finally published and intellectual property rights should be formally agreed at the outset. Too often there is reluctance for employers, unions, charities, and government bodies to fund occupational health research. Each seems to feel that the responsibility belongs to one of the other parties. It therefore behoves occupational health practitioners to participate in or act as advocates for occupational health research activities.

Further reading

- Occupational safety and health and employability programmes, practices and experiences. Luxembourg: European Agency for Safety and Health at Work, 2001. This report gives an overview of the different types of initiatives in the Member States that aim to increase the employability of workers by using interventions deriving from the field of occupational safety and health.
Investigation of the workplace is as central to the practice of occupational medicine as clinical assessment is of the individual patient. It is an essential step in the control of occupational hazards to health. Moreover, by visiting a place of work, a doctor can understand better the demands of a job, and thus give better advice on fitness for employment. Investigations may be prompted in various circumstances.

Direct inspection and the walk through survey

One method of investigation is direct inspection of the workplace. Inspections often take the form of a structured “walk through” survey, although more narrowly targeted approaches may sometimes be appropriate.

Planning

Industrial processes are often complex, and hazards are plentiful. How should a walk through survey be conducted? The arrangements and context are important. The initial visit should be by appointment. Arrangements should be checked before visiting, as a planned visit saves time.

The survey should be structured, but the precise way it is organised is less important and at least three approaches are commonly adopted.

• Following a process from start to finish—from raw materials coming in to finished goods going out. What hazards occur at each stage? How should they be controlled? Do the controls actually work? Focusing the assessment on the process helps with basic understanding of the work and its requirements.
• Auditing a single category of activity or hazard (such as dusty or noisy procedures or manual handling) wherever it occurs within the organisation. Does the control policy work everywhere, or are there special problems or poor compliance in certain groups of workers or sites? This approach is useful for introducing and monitoring new policies.
• Detailed inspection site by site—What are the hazards in this particular site? How are they handled? The inspection moves on only when the geographical unit of interest has been thoroughly inspected. This site focused approach is often appreciated by shop stewards and workers’ representatives with local ownership of the problem. They may accompany the inspection and often give insight into working practices and problems not apparent during the visit.

Circumstances that may prompt investigation of a workplace

- Initial assessment when first taking over care of a workforce or advising an employer
- Introduction of new processes or materials that could be hazardous
- New research indicating that a process or substance is more hazardous than was previously believed
- An occurrence of illness or injury in the workforce that suggests an uncontrolled hazard
- A need to advise on the suitability of work for an employee who is ill or disabled
- Routine review

When planning a walk through survey an unannounced snap inspection may be revealing, but is practicable only for a health and safety professional who has an established relationship of trust with the employer

Arranging a walk through survey

- Visit by appointment (at least to begin with)
- Check whether you will:
  - be accompanied by someone with responsibilities for safety
  - see someone who can explain the process
  - have a chance to see representative activities
- Look at documentation on health and safety, such as data sheets, risk assessments, safety policy, accident book
- Do some preliminary research: identify sorts of hazard likely to be encountered and legal standards that are likely to apply
- If visiting because of an individual’s complaint, discuss it first with complainant

A hazard represents a potential to cause harm. A risk represents the likelihood of harm. In risk assessment the hazard is put in its correct context.
**What to cover in a walk through survey**

After listing the hazards, it is important to consider who might be exposed and in which jobs, how likely this is under the prevailing circumstances of the work (including any precautions followed), the magnitude of the expected exposures, and their likely impact on health (that is, the risks to health). The aim is to determine whether risks are acceptable, taking into account both the likelihood of an adverse outcome and its seriousness, or whether further control measures are required and, if so, what these should be.

As prevention is better than cure, can the hazard be avoided altogether, or can a safer alternative be used instead? Otherwise, can the process or materials be modified to minimise the problem at source? Can the process be enclosed, or operated remotely? Can fumes be extracted close to the point at which they are generated (local exhaust ventilation)?

Have these ideas been considered before issuing ear defenders, facemasks or other control measures that rely on workers’ compliance (“Do not smoke;,” “Do not chew your fingernails,” “Lift as I tell you to”)? A realistic strategy should always place more reliance on control of risk at source than on employees’ personal behaviour and discipline.

---

### Simple checklist of control measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Key questions to ask</th>
<th>Possible controls*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avoidance or substitution</td>
<td>Does the material have to be used or will a less noxious material do the job?</td>
<td>Try using a safer material if one exists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material modification</td>
<td>Can the physical or chemical nature of the material be altered?</td>
<td>Is it supplied as granules or paste rather than powder? Can it be used wet?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process modification</td>
<td>Can equipment, layout, or procedure be adapted to reduce risk?</td>
<td>Can it be enclosed? Can the dust be extracted? If material is poured, tipped, or sieved, can the drop height be lowered?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work methods</td>
<td>Can safer ways be found to conduct the work?</td>
<td>Avoid dry sweeping (it creates dust clouds). Be careful with spills. Segregate the work; conduct it out of hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal protective equipment</td>
<td>Have all other options been considered first? Is equipment adequate for purpose? Will workers wear it?</td>
<td>Provision of mask, visor, respirator, or breathing apparatus suitable for intended use</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


---

### What the survey may find

The purpose of the walk through survey is to be constructively critical. When good practices are discovered these should be warmly acknowledged. Faulty ones arise from ignorance as often as from cutting corners.

In certain workplaces that we have visited, expensive equipment provided to extract noxious fumes from the workers’ breathing zone was switched off because of the draught, or directed over an ashtray to extract cigarette smoke rather than the fumes, or obstructed by bags of components and Christmas decorations.

Local exhaust ventilation may be visibly ineffective: the fan may be broken, the tubing disconnected, the direction of air flow across rather than away from the workers’ breathing zone. Protective gloves may have holes or be internally contaminated; the rubber seals of ear defenders may be perished with age; and so on. Poor housekeeping may cause health hazards. There may be no system of audit to check that items of control equipment are maintained and effective. Simple commonsense observations, made and recorded systematically, will go a long way towards preventing ill health at work.

The walk through survey may prompt improvements directly or highlight a need for further investigation, such as workplace measurements or a health survey.
Formal assessment of exposures
More formal measurement of exposure may be required if an important hazard exists and the risk is not clearly trivial. Often a specialised technique or sampling strategy will be needed, directed by an occupational hygienist. The UK Health and Safety Executive publishes guidance on methods of measurement and acceptable exposure levels for some physical hazards, such as noise and vibration, and many airborne chemical hazards. In some cases legal standards exist. For some chemicals absorbed through the skin or lungs, exposure can also be assessed by blood or urine tests, and biological action levels have been proposed.

Action after a workplace assessment
The aim in assessing a workplace should be to draw conclusions about the prevailing risks and the adequacy of the controls. But if this is to have a lasting benefit the results must be communicated to senior managers who have the authority to set, fund, and oversee policies in the workplace. A written report is advisable, but a verbal presentation, perhaps at a meeting of the organisation’s safety committee, may have more impact, as may a short illustrated slide show. Feedback on the findings of a workplace health survey can make important contributions to the promotion of change and a safer working environment.

Some exposure standards for airborne chemicals
- The UK Health and Safety Executive publishes an annual list of exposure standards (EH40) and also advice on measuring strategies (EH42) and techniques (various EH publications)
- The listed chemicals generally fall into one of two categories. Occupational exposure standards (OES) are prescribed when a level can be specified below which long term exposure is thought not to present a risk to health. In other cases, where the safe level is less certain, a maximum exposure limit (MEL) is specified. This must not be exceeded, and there is a requirement to minimise exposure as far below the MEL as is reasonably practicable
- Other international exposure limits include the threshold limit values (TLVs) published by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) (see http://www.acgih.org)
Investigating new occupational hazards

As well as inspecting workplaces to identify and control known hazards, health and safety professionals should be alert to the possibility of previously unrecognised occupational hazards. Suspicions may be aroused in various circumstances. The demonstration and characterisation of new hazards requires scientific research, often using epidemiological methods. The most frequent types of investigation include cohort studies, case-control studies, and cross sectional surveys.

An advantage of epidemiology is that it provides direct information about patterns of disease and levels of risk in humans. However, because of the practical and ethical constraints on research in people, it also has limitations that must be taken into account when results are interpreted. Epidemiological findings should therefore be evaluated in the context of knowledge from other relevant scientific disciplines such as experimental toxicology, biomechanics, and psychology.

Commonly used epidemiological methods

Cohort studies
People exposed to a known or suspected hazard are identified, and their subsequent disease experience is compared with that of a control group who have not been exposed or have been exposed at a lower level. Cohort studies generally provide the most reliable estimates of risk from occupational hazards, but need to be large if the health outcome of interest is rare.

Case-control studies
People who have developed a disease are identified, and their earlier exposure to known or suspected causes is compared with that of controls who do not have the disease. Case-control studies are often quicker and more economical to conduct than cohort studies, especially for the investigation of rarer diseases. However, risk estimates tend to be less accurate, particularly if exposures are ascertained from subjects’ recall.

Cross sectional surveys
A sample of people are assessed over a short period of time to establish their disease experience and exposures. The prevalence of disease is then compared in people with different patterns of exposure. This method is best suited to the investigation of disorders that do not lead people to modify their exposures (which might occur because associated disability makes them unfit for certain types of work). Where a disease causes people to leave a workforce, cross sectional surveys may seriously underestimate the risks associated with exposure.

Assessment of disease clusters

One starting point for investigation of a workplace may be the observation of a disease cluster. A disease cluster is an excess incidence in a defined population, such as a workforce, over a relatively short period (less than a day for acute complaints such as diarrhoea to several years for cancer).

Apparent clusters are not uncommon in occupational populations, and investigation sometimes leads to the recognition of new hazards. For example, on the one hand, the link between nickel refining and nasal cancer was first discovered when two cases occurred at the same factory within a year. On the other hand, excessive investigation of random clusters wastes resources. The extent to which a cluster is investigated depends on the level of suspicion of an underlying hazard and the anxiety that it is generating in the workforce. A staged approach is recommended.

Reasons for suspecting an occupational hazard

- Parallels with known hazards—for example, use of a substance that has a similar chemical structure to a known toxin
- Demonstration that a substance or agent causes toxicity in experimental animals
- Demonstration that a substance or agent causes toxicity in experimental animals
- Observation of sentinel cases or clusters of disease

Interpretation of epidemiological findings

In evaluating epidemiological results, consideration must be given to the following factors:

Bias
A systematic tendency to overestimate or underestimate an outcome measure because of a deficiency in the design or execution of a study. For example, in a case-control study assessing exposures by questioning participants, affected persons might tend to recall exposures better than controls (because they are more motivated). The effect would be to spuriously exaggerate any association between exposure and disease.

Chance
The people included in a study may be unrepresentative simply by chance, leading to errors in outcome measures. The scope for such errors can be quantified statistically through calculation of confidence intervals. Generally, the larger the sample of people studied, the lower the potential for chance error.

Confounding
This occurs when a hazard under study is associated with another factor that independently influences the risk of disease. For example, an occupational group might have high rates of lung cancer not because of the chemical with which they worked, but because they smoked more heavily than the average person (that is, exposure to the chemical was associated with heavier smoking).

A cluster of wheezing and rhinitis occurred on this prawn processing line. High pressure hoses (used to free the prawns from the shells) had created aerosols containing crustacean protein.
Is there a true cluster?
The first step is to specify the disease and time period of interest and to confirm the diagnoses of the index cases that prompted concern. Sometimes no further action is needed. Of three cases of brain cancer, two might turn out to be secondary tumours from different primary sites. If suspicion remains, it is worth searching for further cases. Often, the number of identified cases is clearly excessive, but if there is doubt, crude comparison with routinely collected statistics such as of cancer registration or mortality should establish whether the cluster really is remarkable.

Further steps
If a raised incidence is confirmed, the next step is to find out what the affected workers have in common. Do they work in the same job or building, and do they share exposure to the same substances? If so, what is known about the risks associated with their shared activities and exposures? This information may come from published reports or manufacturers’ data sheets. Scientific articles should also be searched to identify known and suspected causes of the disease of interest. Could any of these be responsible for the cluster?

Getting help
At this stage the cause of the cluster may have been identified, or suspicions sufficiently allayed to rule out further investigation. If concerns remain it may be necessary to carry out a more formal epidemiological investigation to assess more precisely the size of the cluster and its relation to work. Help with such studies can often be obtained from academic departments of occupational medicine. Also, patients may need to be referred to specialist centres for investigations such as dermatological patch testing or bronchial challenge.

Hazards controlled
Over the years, investigation of workplaces has made a major contribution to public health through the identification and control of occupational hazards, and improved placement and rehabilitation of workers with illness or disability. Although some types of investigation need special technical expertise, all health and safety professionals should be familiar with the principles, and capable of inspecting and forming a preliminary assessment of working environments.

Stages in investigating occupational clusters of disease
1. Specify disease and time period of interest. Confirm diagnoses of index cases
2. Search for further cases. Is the observed number of cases excessive?
3. What do affected workers have in common? Do their shared exposures carry known or suspected risks?
4. What is known about the causes of the disease?
5. Further investigation: epidemiology and clinical investigation

Some important occupational hazards that have been identified and controlled through investigation of workplaces

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazard</th>
<th>Control measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bladder cancer from aromatic amines in dyestuffs and rubber industries</td>
<td>Substitution of the chemicals with non-carcinogenic alternatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer and mesothelioma from asbestos</td>
<td>Substitution by less hazardous materials such as manmade mineral fibres; dust control and personal protective equipment in asbestos removal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal workers’ pneumoconiosis from dust in mines</td>
<td>Dust suppression by water spraying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational deafness from exposure to noise</td>
<td>Substitution or enclosure of noisy processes; exclusion zones; personal protective equipment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further reading
- Health and Safety Executive. Five steps to risk assessment. Sudbury: HSE Books, 1998. (INDG163 (Rev 1)). This leaflet provides a simple five point plan for assessing the risks in a workplace
- Health and Safety Executive. Occupational exposure limits. Sudbury: HSE Books, 2000. (Guidance Note EH40/00). This HSE publication, which is updated annually, provides guidance on the permissible limits for exposure to a number of chemicals
- Health and Safety Executive. Monitoring strategies for toxic substances. Sudbury: HSE Books, 1999. Assessment of exposure requires a strategy of representative sampling; this booklet explains the required approach
- Harrington JM, Gill FS, Aw TC, Gardner K. Occupational health pocket consultant, 4th ed. Oxford: Blackwell Science, 1998. This concise textbook explains how to make and interpret measurements of the working environment. It also provides a very good overview of other topics in occupational medicine
- Verma DK, Purdham JT, Roels HA. Translating evidence of occupational conditions into strategies for prevention. Occup Environ Med 2002;59:205-13. This review illustrates how evidence on risks and control measures can be used to develop effective preventive strategies in the workplace
Assessments of fitness for work can be important for job applicants, employees, and employers. Unfitness because of an acute illness is normally self-evident and uncontentious, but assessing other cases may not be straightforward and can have serious financial and legal implications for those concerned. Commercial viability, efficiency, and legal responsibilities lie behind the fitness standards required by employers, and it may be legitimate to discriminate against people with medical conditions on these grounds. Unnecessary discrimination, however, is counterproductive and may be costly if legislation is breached. The Disability Discrimination Act 1995 makes it unlawful for employers of 15 or more staff (all employers from 2004) to discriminate without justification against those with disability as defined by the Act. The Employment Rights Act 1996 requires procedural standards and fairness before any decision to dismiss an employee. Fortunately, balancing these often complex socioeconomic and legal issues to achieve a sustainable decision on fitness is not primarily a medical responsibility. Doctors do, however, have responsibilities to assess the relevant facts competently and to assist with the decision making process.

Basic principles and responsibilities

**Staying on track**
This chapter deals with assessing fitness for “identified employment.” To avoid confusion with related issues, the following points should be noted at the outset:

- Fitness for work in relation to ill health retirement benefits will depend on the specific provisions of the pension scheme. Pivotal issues that frequently arise are the interpretations that should be given to incapacity and to permanence, and whether fitness relates to current employment or all work. General guidance has been issued and specific guidelines for all UK public sector schemes should now be available following the recommendations of a HM Treasury report in 2000.

- The Disability Discrimination Act 1995 has encouraged good medical practice in assessing and deciding on fitness for work by requiring individual and competent assessments, and by obliging employers to be more accommodating to those covered by the legislation.

- Key health and safety concepts—hazard, risk, negligible risk, and competence—apply to assessing fitness for work and should be clearly understood.

- Rehabilitation back to work and an emphasis on capability rather than limitations are now central themes of legislation, guidance, and government policies on health and safety and occupational health.

**Medical responsibilities**
Doctors’ responsibilities vary according to their role. General practitioners and hospital doctors acting as certifying medical practitioners have direct responsibilities to their patients to provide statutory evidence of advice given about fitness for the patient’s regular occupation. Such doctors also have an obligation to provide related information to a medical officer working for the Department for Work and Pensions.

Implications of fitness assessments

- Security of employment
- Rejection at recruitment
- Justifiable or unfair discrimination
- Retirement because of ill health
- Termination of contract
- Claim for disability discrimination
- Claim for unfair dismissal
- Employment tribunals
- Medical appeal
- Civil litigation for personal injury
- Criminal prosecution for breach of health and safety legislation
- Professional liability
- Pension entitlements
- Benefit claims

Basic principles and responsibilities—when fitness assessments may be required

- Before employment, placement, or redeployment
- Routine surveillance in safety critical jobs
- During or after sickness absence
- To identify adjustment needs
- When attendance or performance issues arise
- If health and safety concerns arise
- To examine ill health retirement issues
- If required by statute
- Benefit assessment—for example, the “own occupation test” administered by the Department for Work and Pensions (DWP)

The personal capability assessment is the medical assessment used to determine if a person is eligible for state incapacity benefit. It does not consider fitness for a specific type of employment but assesses general functional ability in relation to everyday physical and mental activities. Decision makers within the Department for Work and Pensions who apply the test will take advice from a specially trained doctor approved for the purpose by the Secretary of State.
Medical responsibilities

General and hospital practitioners

To patient

• Act in patient’s best health interests
• Provide advice on fitness for regular occupation
• Consider clinical management that would support employment wherever clinically reasonable
• Provide patient with statutory forms (for example, Med 3) recording the advice given

To Department for Work and Pensions (DWP)

• Supply on request relevant clinical information to a medical officer

To society and the general public

• In certain circumstances public interest will override any duty to the individual patient or employer—for example, a surgeon infected with hepatitis B who continues to work in a way that puts patients at risk

Occupational health practitioners

To patient

• Act in patient’s best health interests
• Consider clinical management that would support employment wherever clinically reasonable

To employer

• Assess functional ability and occupational risks
• Make recommendations on fitness in accordance with valid predetermined standards
• Provide information and advice that enables management to make an informed decision on compatibility of subject with employer’s requirements and legal responsibilities

To society and the general public

• Supply on request relevant clinical information to a medical officer

Occupational health practitioners have direct responsibilities to the employee or job applicant and the employer. Both groups have a responsibility to society. These groups may take different approaches but have important common ground. If patients, employees, and job applicants are to be treated fairly, every medical opinion on their fitness for a job should be based on a competent assessment of relevant factors, and should satisfy the same basic criteria. Patients’ interests will be best served when there is clear understanding, due consultation, and, as far as possible, agreement between doctors.

Key principles in practice

The first principle in the table opposite establishes three basic criteria for fitness: attendance and performance, health and safety risk to others, and health and safety risk to self. In this context, “without risk” reflects a fundamental ethical concept of occupational medicine that limits medical discretion. Doctors should not presume to decide for others that risks are acceptable; employers must take this responsibility, and they require medical advice and information on the nature and extent of risk to make informed decisions.

The second principle means that an appraisal of the subject’s medical condition and functional ability, together with a review of the relevant occupational considerations, should provide an empirical assessment of ability and risk. This assessment may be judged against the required fitness criteria to determine what the outcome should be.

The third, fourth, and fifth principles point to the potential there may be for preventing or controlling risk, and for accommodating the needs of people with disabilities or medical conditions. Such measures may justify a conditional recommendation of fitness.

The sixth principle means that technically all decisions on fitness rest with the employer. This is because the employer determines what is required of the employment and ultimately carries responsibility for the risks.

Framework for assessing fitness for work

The terms fitness and incapacity are open to interpretation, and responsibilities for assessing and deciding on fitness issues span medical and management disciplines. A systematic framework for assessing fitness for work is provided below.

Key principles of assessing fitness for work

1. The primary purpose of the medical assessment of fitness to work is to ensure that the subject is fit to perform the task required effectively and without risk to the subject’s or others’ health and safety.
2. The subject’s fitness should be interpreted in functional terms and in the context of the job requirements.
3. Employers have a duty to ensure, so far as is reasonably practicable, the health, safety, and welfare of all their employees and others who may be affected (Health and Safety at Work etc. Act 1974).
5. Good employment practice involves due consideration of the needs of all job applicants and employees with disabilities or medical conditions (Employment Rights Act 1996).
6. It is ultimately the employer’s responsibility to set the objectives for attendance and performance, and to ensure compliance with the law on health and safety and employment.

Framework for assessing fitness for work

Stage 1—Workplace assessment of ability and risk

Step 1: Assess medical condition and functional capacity
Step 2: Consider occupational factors
Step 3: Explore enabling options

Stage 2—Relate Stage 1 findings to fitness criteria

Step 4: Identify nature and extent of any risks to others
Step 5: Identify nature and extent of any risks to self

Stage 3—Report on outcome in suitable terms

Step 7: Confirm fitness or unfitness
Step 8: Present assessment conclusions if 7 not possible
Step 9: Provide supplementary advice to 8 if appropriate
approach is required to ensure consistency and to avoid confusion of roles.

The framework is based on the key principles and relevant legal provisions. There are three stages and up to nine logical steps. In simple cases where no medical conditions apply, steps 1, 2, and 7 should suffice. In other cases, seven, eight, or all nine steps may be required.

**Reporting the outcome**

When the parameters of the fitness criteria are defined and the assessment clearly satisfies or fails to satisfy the employer’s requirements and responsibilities, a confirmation of fitness or unfitness can be made (see green columns in the desktop aid on page 23).

When the parameters of the fitness criteria are uncertain (when the employer’s requirements and responsibilities cannot be predetermined or presumed) the conclusions of the assessment should be made clear to the employer. In addition, an opinion on the reasonableness of any enabling options identified or the case for employment or continued employment may be given as supplementary advice (see red columns in desktop aid).

It should be noted, however, that supplementary advice offered under step 9 above relates to management rather than medical issues, and should be qualified accordingly. All reports should comply with professional standards on disclosure and consent.

**Assessment of ability and risk**

**Medical functional appraisal**

Doctors should always have a basic knowledge of the job’s demands and working environment before undertaking a medical functional appraisal so that the extent and emphasis of the appraisal may be tailored accordingly. Any medical conditions that could pose a risk to the subject’s or others’ health and safety, or that could affect attendance and performance, should be identified and evaluated.

A suitably constructed questionnaire is the simplest form of assessment; for pre-employment screening, a questionnaire or health declaration will be sufficient to permit medical clearance in many categories of employment. Some occupations have statutory standards (for example, in the United Kingdom, there are statutory medical standards for seafarers), and appraisals must include measuring necessary factors. Others have standards set by authoritative recommendations or guidance (for example, the Health Advisory Committee of the UK Offshore Operators Association has drawn up guidelines on the medical standards for offshore work).

If no guidance exists, doctors must judge how extensive the assessment should be by taking account of the nature of any medical conditions identified, the type of work, and the reasons for management’s request for medical advice.

**Occupational considerations**

In straightforward cases a medical functional appraisal and the doctor’s existing knowledge of the job demands and working environment may be sufficient for a confirmation of fitness. However, a closer look at occupational factors is often needed to determine the precise requirements of the job, the subject’s real abilities in a working environment, the nature of any hazards, and the probability of harm occurring (the actual risk in the workplace).

---

**Medical functional appraisal**

**History and examination**

- Pre-employment questionnaire or health declaration
- Health interview, occupationally relevant direct questions
- Physical examination focusing on job requirements

**Functionally specific questionnaires**

- Respiratory (MRC questionnaire)
- Pre-audiometry

**Consultation and research**

- Details from general practitioner and medical specialist, under Access to Medical Reports Act 1988 or non-UK equivalent
- Details from other specialists such as psychologists or audiologists
- Advice or second opinion from specialist occupational physician
- Advice or second opinion from independent specialists such as cardiologists or neurologists
- Clinical guidelines and evidenced based reviews
- Texts, journals, and research

**Work related tests and investigations**

**Perceptual tests**

- Snellen chart: special visual standards may be required for certain occupations such as aircraft pilots, seafarers, and vocational drivers
- Colour vision tests such as Ishihara plates or City University test, or matching tests, may be necessary if normal colour vision is essential—for example, for some jobs in transport, navigation, and the armed services
- Voice tests
- Audiometry: occupations such as the armed services, police, and fire service may have specific standards

**Functional tests**

- Lung function tests (for example, UK regulations require fire service employees to have their respiratory parameters measured before employment
- Dynamic or static strength tests
- Physical endurance and aerobic capacity (for example, the fire service or commercial divers)
- Step test
- Bicycle ergometer

**Diagnostic (health on work)**

- Exercise electrocardiography: needed—for example, for vocational drivers and offshore workers
- Drug and alcohol tests may be a requirement in certain safety critical industries

**Diagnostic (work on health)**

- Haematology, biochemistry, and urine analysis: UK commercial divers will have full blood count and haemoglobin S assessed before employment
- Radiographs: long bone radiographs are a requirement before employment for saturation diving in the United Kingdom
A subject may be able to show satisfactory ability in a job simulation exercise despite a physical impairment that might have affected fitness—for example, a work related test of manual dexterity for an assembly line worker with some functional loss resulting from a hand injury.

In teaching, health care, and many other occupations, the perceived hazards of epilepsy are often found to be negligible when the potential for harm to others is properly assessed.

If diabetes is well controlled, the risk of injury from hypoglycaemia may be found to be very remote when the true frequency and duration of hazardous situations are taken into account.

### Enabling options

A subject’s potential fitness often depends on intervention. There may be unexplored treatments that can be provided. Rehabilitative support may be needed to achieve or speed recovery. Employers can make reasonable adjustments, temporary or permanent, to meet the needs of people with medical conditions. Prevention and control measures can reduce or eliminate health and safety risks that would otherwise prohibit a recommendation of fitness.

- Unexplored treatments that are often identified during assessments include physiotherapy, anxiety management, and psychotherapy.
- A tailored, stepwise rehabilitative programme can make the prospect of returning to work after serious illness less daunting and may be vital for recovery from anxiety, depression, occupational stress, and other demotivating conditions.
- Modifying a job specification may allow a recommendation of fitness with minimal inconvenience to the employer (for example, removing the requirement to undertake occasional lifting for an arthritic subject).
- Substituting a sensitising or irritant product may, with other sensible precautions, enable an employee with asthma or eczema to continue working as—for example, a paint sprayer or cleaner.

These measures may be applicable under the Health and Safety at Work etc. Act 1974. The Disability Discrimination Act 1995 may also require reasonable adjustments to be made. Even if intervention is not obligatory, employers may recognise the benefits of positive action. Doctors should therefore always bear these options in mind, as it may be possible to give a conditional recommendation of fitness that the employer would be willing to accommodate.

### Fitness criteria in difficult cases

This approach should produce a reliable opinion in most cases, but further steps may be needed if the criteria for fitness for work are uncertain. In a fitness assessment this may occur with one, two, or all three of the criteria. Dealing with the issues in turn is advisable.

### Attendance and performance

The possible impact of a medical condition on a subject’s ability to meet required levels of attendance and performance is a major source of employers’ requests for medical opinion. When asked by an employer about an employee’s performance and attendance capabilities, the doctor’s responsibility is to give the most accurate opinion that the circumstances allow. Conclusions and advice should be as positive as possible but without misrepresenting the facts, and should be discussed with the subject. This should help motivation and may improve recovery.

### Occupational considerations

#### Ability in the workplace—consider actual effect of physical or medical condition on performance

- Confirm job requirements such as perception, mobility, strength, and endurance.
- Ask employee what the work entails.
- Review job description or inspect worksite.
- Perform field tests of specific abilities or structured job simulation exercises.
- Consider trial of employment with feedback from management.

#### Nature of hazards—consider interaction of occupational factors and medical condition

- **Harm from:**
  - demands (heart attack, back strain, prolapsed disc, repetitive strain injury)
  - exposures (asthma, dermatitis, hearing loss)
  - situations (seizure, trauma, accidents)
  - infections (food handling, surgical procedures)
- **How much harm is likely** (temporary, permanent, minor, major, fatal)?
- **Who may be affected** (self, colleagues, clients, public)?

#### Extent of risk—focus on facts and avoid presumption

- Question employee on relevant details.
- Obtain management report on material facts.
- Examine documentation such as exposure records, accident reports, etc.
- Observe work, workplace, and working practices.
- Identify frequencies and duration of hazardous exposures or situations.
- Request technical data from hygienist, ergonomist, etc. if required.
- Review relevant literature, journals, and research.

### Enabling options

#### Unexplored treatments

- Drug treatment or surgery.
- Physiotherapy or occupational therapy.
- Counselling or psychotherapy.

#### Rehabilitative measures

- Graded resumption of responsibilities.
- Refamiliarisation training.
- Temporary reduction of workload.
- Management appraisal or progress reports.
- Scheduled or self requested medical reviews.

#### Reasonable adjustments

- Modification of duties or working hours.
- Redeployment to existing vacancy.
- Modifying or providing equipment.
- Time off for rehabilitation or treatment.
- Providing supervision.

#### Risk prevention and control

- Elimination or substitution of hazard.
- Implementation of methods to reduce worker exposure to hazards.
- Personal protection or immunisation.
- Information, instruction, and training.
- Health and medical surveillance.
Employers do not like open ended statements such as “Unfit; review in three months;” they prefer uncertainties to be expressed as probabilities: “Mr Smith has been incapacitated but is progressing well and is likely to become fit to return to work within four weeks”.

The doctor should may need to ask management for an appraisal of capabilities before making definitive conclusions on the relevance of medical factors: “I will therefore require a management report on her progress after week 6 of the rehabilitation programme”.

In cases of prolonged sickness absence, the doctor should not be pressured into recommending ill health retirement for doubtful reasons: “Mr Green is likely to remain unfit for the foreseeable future, but there are not sufficient grounds for ill health retirement under the pensions scheme.”

If social or motivational factors are evident, discuss these with the subject, and advise management accordingly: “Mrs Jones’ incapacitation is due to family commitments that are likely to continue for the foreseeable future. She realises that her employment could be at risk and would welcome an opportunity to discuss her situation with management.”

**Health and safety risk to others**

Employers have a legal duty to ensure the health and safety of employees and the public. In principle, the doctor identifies hazards and quantifies any risks; management decides on a subject’s fitness on the basis of the medical conclusions and advice. In practice, however, doctors confirm fitness when there is no risk, and unfitness if there are clearly unacceptable risks. For the many cases that lie in between, there may be confusion as to whether it is a management or medical responsibility to decide on fitness. A pragmatic approach is suggested.

For negligible risk, the doctor may advise that the subject be considered fit provided that the judgement of negligible risk is made objectively, is based on a competent risk assessment, and that the employer applies all reasonably practicable precautions.

For greater than negligible risk, the doctor should define the type of hazard and extent of risk as clearly as possible to enable management to make an informed decision.

Advice from a specialist occupational physician may be required to confirm the competence of the risk assessment or to assist management on acceptability.

**Health and safety risk to self**

The principles of assessing risk to others applies here, but medical advice can go further. In some cases employment may pose a risk of ill health but the employer is satisfied that everything possible has been done to prevent or reduce risks (for example, the risk of relapse in a teacher with a history of work related anxiety depressive disorder). To advise that in such cases the subject should always be deemed unfit because of a risk of work related illness is unrealistic. The benefits of employment for the subject, and possibly their employer, may considerably outweigh the risks. On the other hand, there could be issues of liability for both employer and doctor if the risks are overlooked.

---

**Fitness for work**

The parameters of the fitness criteria may be uncertain when:

- Attendance or performance limitations resulting from a medical condition are identified, but the employer’s willingness to accommodate them cannot be prejudged
- Health and safety risks to others exist, but they seem remote enough to ignore
- Health and safety risks to self are identified, but they do not seem to justify a recommendation of unfitness.

---

**Reasonable adjustments under the Disability Discrimination Act (DDA) 1995** (see chapter 5)

- Reasonable adjustments are essentially any steps relating to arrangements and premises that are reasonable for an employer to take in all the circumstances to prevent the disabled person being at a disadvantage. Many of the enabling options listed above fall within this definition
- The DDA Code of Practice expands on examples given in the Act and provides guidance on the reasonableness of adjustments (Paragraphs 4.12-4.48)
- A comprehensive series of practical briefing guides on the DDA is published by the Employers Forum on Disability, Nutmeg House, 60 Gainsford Street, London SE1 2NY

---

**Data sources for standards of fitness** (see Further reading)

**Key publications**

For drivers, pilots, food handlers, and many other occupations: Cox et al., DVLA

**General guidance**

Health and Safety Executive

**Professional associations**

ALAMA (Association of Local Authority Medical Advisors) for firefighters, police, teachers, etc.

ANHOPS (Association of National Health Occupational Physicians) for healthcare professions

**Government departments**

Department for Education and Skills for teachers

**Statute**

Seafarers: Merchant Navy Shipping (Medical examination) Regulations 1983. Revised in 1998 [Merchant shipping notice MSN 1712(M)].

---

The autonomy of the subject must be reconciled with the needs and responsibilities of the employer. Legal precedent does not provide clear guidance on how this should be done; the issues are complex and the implications serious. A rational basis for providing helpful medical advice includes a full discussion of the prognosis with the subject to determine where the balance of benefits and risks lies.
If the subject thinks the benefits outweigh the risks and the doctor agrees, advice should be given in support of employment, provided that the assessment and the judgement of balance between benefit and risk have been competently undertaken.

If the subject thinks the benefits outweigh the risks but the doctor cannot agree, consider seeking a second opinion from a specialist occupational physician before providing management with definitive advice.

If the subject thinks the risks outweigh the benefits and the doctor agrees, early retirement should be considered.

If the subject thinks the risks outweigh the benefits when the hazard and risk seem disproportionately low, then motivational factors (such as a common law claim or ill health retirement incentives) may be relevant. If so, the doctor should proceed cautiously and consider obtaining a second opinion from a specialist occupational physician.

The conclusions should be presented to management in context, indicating the nature of the hazard, the extent of risk, and strength of medical consensus. This will enable the employer to discharge his or her responsibility in a complex area with the benefit of such medical support as the circumstances allow.

**Definitive opinion**

The conclusions, recommendations, and advice outlined above are valid only for the specific fitness criterion considered. In each case, the outcomes of all three criteria should be consolidated to provide an all embracing definitive report. The desktop aid includes a synopsis of the outcomes commonly encountered and may be adapted as a classification guide for audit purposes.

**Further reading**

- ALAMA, ANHOPS, at Society of Occupational Medicine, 6 St Andrews Place Regents Park London. Membership gives access to website facilities and current guidance and on firefighters, police, and healthcare professionals.
- DfEE. *Fitness to teach. Occupational health guidance for the training and employment of teachers. The physical and mental fitness to teach of teachers and of entrants to initial teacher training*. London: HMSO, 2000. Focused, up to date, working guidance supported by well balanced complementary guide for employers and managers.
### Desktop aid—Framework for assessing fitness for work

#### Assessment of ability and risk
- Medical-functional appraisal
- Occupational considerations
- Enabling options

#### Fitness criteria
- Attendance and performance
- Health and safety risk to others
- Health and safety risk to self

#### Outcome
- Confirm fit or unfit
- Report conclusions
- Offer advice

### Applying fitness criteria—Synopsis of outcomes

#### Attendance and performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>Subject’s condition compatible with required levels of attendance and performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Attendance or performance limitations due to medical conditions or disabilities identified but likely to resolve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) in foreseeable future because of anticipated recovery or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) if certain enabling options can be accommodated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(such as treatment, rehabilitation, reasonable adjustments, or risk prevention)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirm fit</td>
<td>Report conclusions indicating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) likely timescale and/or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) relevance of enabling options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reviews as necessary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Health and safety risk to others

| C | Attendance or performance limitations due to medical conditions or disabilities identified and likely to remain for foreseeable future |
| D | Subject’s performance and capabilities cannot be determined by medical assessment alone |
| E | Subject’s condition clearly incompatible with requirements of job and likely to remain so |

#### Health and safety risk to self

| F | No risk to others |
| G | Risk identified but preventable |
| H | Negligible risk |
| I | Risk greater than negligible but may be acceptable |
| J | Risk to others clearly unacceptable and likely to remain so |

#### Definitive opinion

The confirmations, conclusions, and advice outlined above are valid only for the specific fitness criterion addressed. In each case the outcomes of all three criteria should be consolidated to provide an all-embracing definitive report.

---

*Advice and second opinions should be obtained from doctors with training and expertise to provide proper assistance. Specialist qualifications for occupational physicians in the UK (MFOM, FFOM) are awarded by the Faculty of Occupational Medicine of the Royal College of Physicians.*
Society has become increasingly litigious in recent years, and the modern “blame culture” has encouraged a tendency to look for fault whenever there is harm. In all areas of medicine this has led to increased awareness of the legal process, and occasionally defensive medicine. Employment law and rights based legislation following European Union initiatives have expanded as a result of enlightened social policies, extension of a single European market, and environmental protection. Because employment and rights based legislation affect the worker and the workplace, the occupational health (OH) practitioner needs to understand the legal provisions and the framework in which they operate.

Health and safety legislation aims to prevent the workforce being injured or made ill by their work. Employers have considerable duties, including duties relating to the general public, and the role of the OH practitioner is to advise on steps to achieve compliance. An understanding of the principles is essential, and these are covered here with reference predominantly to English law. Employees also have corresponding duties to take “reasonable care” for their own safety and that of others, and to cooperate with appropriate procedures.

The OH practitioner will become involved in employment law when medical advice is needed, and it is essential that the basics are understood.

Ethics

The position of the OH professional

Physicians are primarily bound by the codes of their profession and in the United Kingdom they are accountable to the General Medical Council for their behaviour. For OH nurses the corresponding body is the Nursing and Midwifery Council. Difficulties sometimes arise because the OH practitioner is often an employee of the company requesting advice. The company may feel that the practitioner’s contract of employment overrides professional codes. This is not so, and employers cannot insist on contractual terms that would require a physician or nurse to breach professional codes. If such terms existed, they would be difficult, if not impossible, to enforce.

Confidentiality

The duty of confidentiality applies as it does to any physician or nurse. This includes the safeguarding of all medical information, records, and results. The legal basis of the duty of confidentiality remains unclear, however, and the duty is ultimately relative rather than absolute. Material should be regarded as confidential if it has been obtained in circumstances which would indicate that this was the intention. Circumstances can arise in any medical specialty in which disclosure may be necessary; in such cases the clinician will be expected to justify his or her action, before a court if necessary.

OH practitioners may sometimes feel that they are not in a traditional nurse/doctor-patient relationship when they are acting on behalf of a third party. This might be the case with respect to a job applicant whom an OH practitioner sees in order to advise the employing company. Offers of employment are usually conditional upon “medical clearance”—is the

Major responsibilities of occupational health physicians

Professional ethical obligations

• Provide a good standard of practice and care
• Keep up to date and maintain performance
• Respect confidentiality and maintain trust
• Maintain good communications

See also: Faculty of Occupational Medicine. Good medical practice for occupational physicians, 2001

Guidance

• Health assessments
• Advice on absence
• Confidentiality
• Health records
• Relationships with others


The OH physician must exercise professional skill and judgement in giving advice, and there is an ethical duty to inform the applicant of any abnormality uncovered by the process; however, the contractual duty lies with the prospective employer.
applicant fit, in medical terms, for the duties of the post? The degree and extent of the duty upon the OH physician has been explored in two leading English cases.

**Medical reports**

When the OH practitioner is asked to provide advice on an individual’s health for employment purposes, they should obtain written consent before releasing their opinion. This is correct ethical practice.

Because the OH practitioner is not usually the clinician caring for that individual, the Access to Medical Reports Act 1988 will not generally apply. It will apply, however, if the OH practitioner seeks further information from any other specialist or general practitioner who has been providing such care. The provisions of the Data Protection Act 1998 apply to obtaining, use, and retention of any personal information, including OH records.

**Health and safety law**

**Statutory duties upon the employer**

*Health and safety*
The Health and Safety at Work etc. Act (HSWA) 1974 is the main statute covering the general responsibilities of the employer. It covers others who might be affected by workplace activities—contractors, visitors, and the general public. The workplace must be safe and well maintained, with safe systems and organisation of work. Equipment and tools must be suitable and well maintained. Ensuring that employees behave safely is also down to the employer, who has the responsibility for supervision. Supervisory staff must be demonstrably competent. This duty is only limited when the employee might be considered to be “on a frolic of his own,” as the courts have termed it.

The underlying principle of the statutory framework is that those who generate risk as a consequence of work activities have a duty to protect the health and safety of anyone who might be affected by those risks. Occasionally the duty is absolute but more commonly the extent of the duty is “as far as reasonably practicable.” This allows the employer to balance the degree of risk against the difficulty and cost of reducing it. A small employer with modest resources may therefore argue that it could not go so far in risk reduction as a multinational company, for instance.

A great deal of more recent legislation, driven largely by directives from the European Commission, has focused on particular areas.

The general move has been away from the prescriptive approach and towards a duty on the employer (and the self employed) to assess risks arising from work activities. The employer must then identify and institute preventive actions on the basis of their assessment.

**Reporting injuries and disease**

Fatal and major injuries, those resulting in three or more days lost from work, and certain occupational diseases must be reported to the Health and Safety Executive (HSE), as per the Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 1995 (RIDDOR). For a disease to be reported, the disease must be listed in the regulations, the affected employee must be involved in a relevant task or activity, and there must be a written diagnosis from a doctor. Under-reporting is considerable—the patient or treating doctor may not realise that the condition is work related, employers have little incentive to report, or the patient may fear for their job and therefore not wish to agree to disclosure.

---

**Duty of care at pre-employment**

*Baker v Kaye* (1997)  Mr Baker, applying for a job as International Sales Director, attended for pre-employment assessment by Dr Kaye. During the assessment, Dr Kaye elicited a history of significant alcohol consumption, supported subsequently by abnormal liver enzymes. Mr Baker had already resigned from his existing post, and when Dr Kaye advised the new employer that he did not consider Mr Baker fit for employment, Mr Baker sued for loss of the new post. The court in this case held that the OH physician owed a duty of care to the prospective employee, as well as to the employer, but as Dr Kaye had taken reasonable care in making the assessment, he was found not to be negligent.

*Kapfunde v Abbey National plc* (1998)  However, the Court of Appeal, in *Kapfunde v Abbey National plc* (1998), disagreed with the decision in the case above.

Mrs Kapfunde, who suffered from sickle cell disease, applied for a job at the Abbey National. Dr Daniel, advising Abbey National, reported that the applicant’s medical history and previous absence record indicated that she was likely to have an above average sickness record. Mrs Kapfunde was not considered for the job, and subsequently sued Abbey National, arguing that Dr Daniel had been negligent. The Court, in judging Dr Daniel not negligent (because she had exercised reasonable skill and care in reaching her decision), added that neither did she owe a duty of care to Mrs Kapfunde.

---

**Modern domestic legislation since 1988 based on risk assessment**

More than 20 European directives have produced a large number of specific regulations, notably the “Framework” Directive for the Introduction of Measures to Encourage Improvements in Safety of Health of Workers, which was enacted into UK law by the Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1992 (updated in 1999), and together with its five “daughter” directives forms the “six pack” (marked *).

- Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1992 (now MHSWR 1999)*
- Workplace (Health, Safety, and Welfare) Regulations 1992*
- Provision and Use of Work Equipment Regulations 1992*
- Personal Protective Equipment Regulations 1992*
- Display Screen Equipment Regulations 1992*
- Manual Handling Operations Regulations 1992*
- Working Time Regulations 1998

Many of these are accompanied by an approved code of practice or guidance notes. These are not legally binding in their own right. However, they bring detail to the statute, and guidance on how compliance may be achieved. An employer would have to justify a diversion from their recommendations.

---

Information on potential health risks must be given to the workforce, with suitable instruction and training on control measures. Sometimes medical surveillance may also be specified.
Failure to comply
Despite the extensive legislation to prevent them, work related injuries and illness still occur. In these cases, the legal system has two distinct roles: to punish the negligent employer, and to compensate the injured employee.

Prosecution
The enforcing authorities. The HSE is responsible for enforcement activities in most workplaces, including factories, farms, hospitals, schools, railways, mines, nuclear installations, and also driving as part of work. The exceptions are—for example, retail and finance, where responsibility lies with local authorities. The Health and Safety Commission and the HSE were established by the HSWA 1974. The HSE includes the Employment Medical Advisory Service, which comprises doctors and nurses who are OH specialists and who have the full powers of inspectors.
The law. Breach of the HSWA is subject to criminal sanctions. Prosecutions (most are undertaken by the HSE in the Magistrates’ and Crown Courts) will generally result in a fine. Manslaughter. After a fatal accident, the HSE will defer to the police. The Crown Prosecution Service may then bring a case for manslaughter. However, prosecution is rarely successful. The difficulty is that a “company” is not an individual and therefore not capable of a crime of this nature.

Manslaughter
An unsuccessful case
A total of 188 people died when the Herald of Free Enterprise capsized at Zeebrugge in 1987. The case against P&O showed failures within the management and with several individuals on the vessel. However, no single person was found sufficiently at fault for the charge to apply
A successful case
Peter Kite, the managing director of Oll Ltd, received a custodial sentence after four teenagers drowned during a canoeing trip in Lyme Bay in 1993. Kite ran the small company and was found to be the “controlling mind.” There was a history of his ignoring warnings about safety and he clearly failed to adhere to accepted standards. The company was also found guilty of manslaughter and fined £60 000
Current thinking
Reform of this area has been considered since the 1996 Law Commission Report. This recommended new offences of corporate killing and individual offences of reckless killing and killing by gross carelessness. However, legislation has not been forthcoming. In May 1998 Simon Jones, aged 24, died on his first day at work at Shoreham Dockyard; the resulting unsuccessful action provoked further outcry. In 2000-1, 26 cases were referred by the Health and Safety Executive to the Crown Prosecution Service for consideration of manslaughter charges; six are proceeding. Since 1992, 162 referrals have led to 45 prosecutions and 10 convictions. Five individuals have received prison sentences

Compensation
An employee who suffers from a work related illness or injury has two possible routes to seek compensation. Firstly, they may claim from the government if they have a “prescribed disease” via the Industrial Injuries Benefit Scheme. Secondly, and entirely separately, they may claim against the employer via a personal injury claim in the civil court.
Prescribed diseases. The Industrial Injuries Scheme administered by the Department of Work and Pensions “prescribes” a number of occupational illnesses for compensation. To qualify for compensation, the applicant must have the prescribed

Main powers of enforcement authorities
Health and Safety Executive
• Enters and inspects workplaces
• Issues improvement or prohibition notices (immediate or deferred)
• Prosecutes
Employment Medical Advisory Service
• Gives advice on health and safety issues to employers and employees
• Investigates complaints or concerns about health, or after a report under Reporting of Injuries, Diseases, and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 1995
• Has the same legal powers as inspectors (where the Health and Safety Executive is the reporting authority)
• Appoints doctors for health surveillance required by regulations (Ionizing Radiation Regulations 2000, Control of Lead at Work Regulations 1998, Diving at Work Regulations 1997)

Criminal law and enforcement activities
UK criminal law
• Arises from statute and is a punitive system for offences against society as a whole
• Acts of parliament and regulations made thereunder provide the “rules” by which employers are expected to abide
• Case law—the court’s decisions in specific cases—provides guidance on the interpretation of these rules
• Decisions made in higher courts are binding upon lower courts
• The burden of proof in criminal cases is “beyond reasonable doubt,” a higher standard than that applying in civil claims “on the balance of probabilities”
Health and Safety Executive (2000-1) Activities
• 11,058 enforcement notices (70% in manufacturing and construction)
• 6673 improvement notices
• 2977 prosecutions, resulting in 1493 convictions (72%)
• Average penalty £6250
Local authorities (1999-2000)
• 4850 improvement notices
• 1250 prohibition notices
• 412 prosecutions, resulting in 358 convictions (87%)
• Average fine £4955

Zebrugge ferry disaster. Reproduced with permission from Rex Features
grounds relating to discrimination or health and safety, require employment is required before a complaint for unfair dismissal be unfairly dismissed. In general, one year's continuous employment is required before a complaint for unfair dismissal can be brought. Some types of unfair dismissal, notably certain grounds relating to discrimination or health and safety, require no such qualifying period—this might be the case if an employee were dismissed because he or she raised the issue of hazardous working conditions.

Employment law

Legislation
A considerable body of both European and domestic legislation exists in this area. The Employment Rights Act 1996 (ERA) consolidated employees’ rights into a single statute. Other primary and subordinate legislation relates to issues of discrimination, pay, and sick pay and are supported by various influential codes of practice, such as those produced by the Advisory, Conciliation and Arbitration Service.

Complaints in this area are heard by employment tribunals, which were established so that employment disputes can be settled rapidly and without the expense of going to court. The employment tribunal comprises three members, including an experienced lawyer as the chair. Appeals are referred first to the Employment Appeal Tribunal, and ultimately to the Appeal Court.

Dismissal
The Employment Rights Act gives employees the right not to be unfairly dismissed. In general, one year’s continuous employment is required before a complaint for unfair dismissal can be brought. Some types of unfair dismissal, notably certain grounds relating to discrimination or health and safety, require no such qualifying period—this might be the case if an employee were dismissed because he or she raised the issue of hazardous working conditions.

Areas of interest in civil litigation

Work related stress (WRS)
The 1995 case of Walker v Northumberland County Council attracted considerable attention. Mr Walker was an area social services officer. He had a heavy caseload, and frequently requested help. After five months’ absence for a “nervous breakdown,” he returned to a backlog of work, and the promised assistance did not materialise. After a second breakdown, he sued his employer. The Council was held not to be liable for his first breakdown as they were not aware that he was susceptible to stress. However, it was liable for the second breakdown. The risk was foreseeable and preventable, and there was a duty not to cause Mr Walker psychiatric injury. Damages were £175,000

However, successful actions for WRS are few and far between, and the burden of proof on the employee remains considerable. In February 2002 the Court of Appeal overturned three awards (Hatton v Sutherland and others) of almost £200,000. It set down 16 guidelines that it considered relevant, and which will aid both courts and employers. These include the following:

- The employer is entitled to assume that the employee can deal with the normal pressures of the job unless there is a known vulnerability
- No occupations should be regarded as intrinsically dangerous

If the only alternative would be to dismiss or demote the employee, the employer would not be in breach of duty if the employee willingly continues in the job

The hurdle for applicants under this heading remains high and, if anything, this judgement will make a claim for WRS more difficult.
Dismissing occurs when the contract of employment is terminated by the employer, when a fixed term contract expires and is not renewed, or when an employee terminates the contract as a result of the employers’ conduct. The five potentially fair reasons for dismissal are given in the box.

Absence from work may generate grounds for dismissal and, if absence is attributed to ill health, OH advice will be required. It is important to differentiate between long term absence and recurrent short term absenteeism.

Long term absence—This may give rise to fair dismissal on the grounds of capability, which includes both ill health and incompetence. The employer is expected to gather enough information to assess the situation fully and to decide on a reasonable course of action. This should include consultation with the employee and will often include a medical opinion. The employer might consider alternative work, although it is under no statutory duty to do so (unless the case falls under the disability discrimination legislation, vide infra). The employer cannot know details of the illness because of confidentiality, but is entitled to ask when the employee might recover, whether the employee will be capable of returning to their former job and, if not, the likely restrictions on capability.

The final decision on employment is a management rather than a medical decision, with the physician in an advisory role. It is important to appreciate that the cause of ill health is irrelevant to the fairness of the dismissal, even if the current employment is likely to have been the cause.

Attendance—The problem of recurrent short term absenteeism may be approached rather differently. Employers may view this as an attendance issue and are entitled to expect a certain level of reliability from employees. The genuineness of the illness is not relevant, as an employer may ultimately fairly dismiss on the grounds of either capability or “some other substantial reason.” However, the employer should investigate fully and act in line with its absence policy, giving due warning to the employee that attendance is expected to improve. It is good practice (although not essential, depending on the case) to take medical advice as to whether poor attendance is because of an important underlying medical condition. (If there is, the case might more properly be dealt with as a capability problem.)

Disability discrimination
The employment provisions of the Disability Discrimination Act 1995 came into force on 2 December 1996, with duties on the employer to accommodate disabled people, whether existing employees or job applicants. It is unlawful to discriminate—that is, to treat anyone with a disability less favourably for reasons relating to the disability. There is a duty to make “reasonable adjustments” to allow the disabled person to work. However, the Act can allow the employer to justify discriminatory treatment.

Awards for complaints under the Disability Discrimination Act have no upper limit; the stakes are therefore potentially high. Employment tribunals have sometimes had difficulty dealing with the medical issues, as they do not normally use medical experts. Experience of this legislation has clarified and confused in almost equal measure.

Reasons for fair dismissal
1. Relating to capability (“skill, aptitude, health, or any other physical or mental quality”) or qualifications (“any degree, diploma, or other academic, technical, or professional qualification”)
2. Relating to conduct (behaviour at, or sometimes outside, the workplace)
3. Redundancy
4. If employee cannot continue to work without breach of statutory duty (such as after loss of driving licence)
5. Some other substantial reason (SOSR) sufficient to justify dismissal

In February 2002 the compensatory award for unfair dismissal was limited to £52,600. The burden of proof is said to be neutral, although the employer is required to show that the dismissal was not unfair. An employment tribunal will judge the circumstances of the case—including elements such as the size, resources, consistency of behaviour, and procedural correctness of the employer—in deciding reasonableness

The Disability Discrimination Act (DDA) and some definitions

Disability—“a physical or mental impairment causing a substantial and long term adverse effect on the ability to carry out normal day to day activities”

- A physical impairment is not defined in the legislation, but is likely to encompass any “organic or bodily detriment,” including severe disfigurements (facial scars or burns), but excluding deliberately acquired disfigurements (tattoos or body piercings)
- A mental impairment is any clinically well recognised condition (that is, one recognised by a responsible body of medical opinion), and must be beyond a reaction that could be described as a normal human reaction
- A substantial adverse effect is defined as one that is more than minor or trivial
- Normal day to day activities are:
  - Mobility
  - Manual dexterity
  - Physical co-ordination
  - Continence
  - Ability to lift, carry, or otherwise move everyday objects
  - Speech, hearing, or eyesight
  - Memory or ability to concentrate, learn, or understand
  - Perception of the risk of physical danger
- Long term implies an impairment that has lasted 12 months or more, is likely to last 12 months or more, or is terminal

Certain specific conditions (for instance, nicotine or alcohol dependence) are excluded from the Disability Discrimination Act. Controlled or corrected, progressive, and recurring conditions may be included

Reasonable adjustments to allow the disabled to work
- Accessible and equitable recruitment processes
- Modifications to equipment
- Changes to job design and work environment
- Resources and cost are relevant

Justification
- The failure to adjust must be both material to the circumstances of the case and substantial
- Stricter than “reasonable”
- Requires hard evidence

The Disability Discrimination Act does not currently apply to organisations with fewer than 15 employees, but this exemption will be removed from October 2004. The provisions of the Act are also likely to be extended to include the emergency services, and other medical conditions. The justification provision will be removed.
Outcomes of the Disability Discrimination Act

5662 cases brought up to March 2000 (England and Wales)—23% successful

Medical conditions
- 21% back or neck conditions
- 16% hand or arm conditions
- 14% depression or anxiety

Legal issues
- 34% concerned failure to transfer to suitable alternative work
- 26% sought to justify on the basis of the amount of sick leave
- 51% required medical evidence

Awards
Total compensation in 1999: £369,297
Average: £9981 per award
Maximum award in 2001: £278,800

Future developments

Many other areas may come to have relevance to the work of the OH practitioner, two of which are considered below.

Human rights

The Human Rights Act 1998 came into force in October 2000, bringing the European Convention on Human Rights into UK law. It makes no explicit reference to HSWA. However, under the right to privacy it may prevent employers impinging on areas such as drug testing and surveillance. The lack of legal aid for employment tribunals (in England and Wales) and the fairness of the employment tribunal system may generate debate under the provisions for the right to a fair trial.

Rehabilitation

In contrast to the numerous duties to prevent ill health or injury, there is currently no requirement to rehabilitate back to the workplace. This has a huge cost: in 2000, 2.29 million people claimed incapacity benefit, and employers paid out £750 million in compensation under employer’s liability insurance schemes. The United Kingdom has a poor record; a Swedish worker has an almost 50% chance of returning to work after an injury, whereas in the United Kingdom the figure is only 15%. Employers may have to develop a policy in this area as in all other health and safety fields. The Departments of Health, and of Work and Pensions are working on a pilot initiative to encourage early return to work, with its effectiveness evaluated by the National Centre for Social Research.

Frequent questions for the OH practitioner in relation to the Disability Discrimination Act

- Is the condition covered? In practice, employment tribunals have been hesitant to exclude a condition even when there is considerable scientific debate about the exact nature of the diagnosis (for example, chronic fatigue syndrome)
- Does the Act apply? This is a legal decision, and judgement rests with the employment tribunals. The OH practitioner can advise, and the employer make its own judgement, but the employment tribunal is the final arbiter
- Is treatment unequal? The “comparator” against which the disabled person should be considered must be an able bodied individual; not one who has another condition but does not fall under the Act
- Have accommodations been considered? The employer must make genuine efforts to accommodate the disabled individual. The guidance that accompanies the Act must be followed closely
- Is unequal treatment justified? Less favourable treatment may currently be justified, but the employer must make a properly constructed argument with evidence to support its case
- What happens if health and safety may be compromised? The employer has a difficult balancing act under these circumstances. However, provided the employer has undertaken a proper risk assessment and subsequently generated a rational policy, then the tribunal cannot disregard the policy on the basis of a differing medical view. It is vital, though, that the employer acts on competent advice backed up by good evidence
- May the disabled person assume a risk to their own health? In other words, at what threshold does paternalism on the part of the employer take over from the well informed view of the individual? Current case law suggests that when there is a significant risk to health, the employer has the right (or even duty) to exclude the employee from that work activity

Further reading

- Lewis D, Sargeant M. Essentials of employment law. City: IPD 2000. All the basics from a legal perspective
- Health and Safety Executive Annual Report 2000/1 (www.hse.gov.uk). Information on the activities of the HSE, and the data collected on occupational ill health and accidents
- Data Protection Commissioner. www.dataprotection.gov.uk. How data must be managed, applied to all personal information, including health records
- www.courtservice.gov.uk. Employment appeal tribunal and high court decisions
- www.lawreports.co.uk. Judgements from the House of Lords downwards
6 Back pain
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The number of working days lost as a result of back problems has increased dramatically in recent years. Over 80 million days were lost because of registered disability in 1994, but the total estimate, including short spells, is probably in the order of 130 million—four times more than 30 years ago. This increase does not reflect an increased incidence of back problems, which has changed little over the period, but it is probably because of an altered reaction to the problem, with increases in sick certification and state benefit, perhaps reflecting patients’ and doctors’ expectations, concerns by employers, and social and medicolegal pressures. There is, however, recent UK evidence to suggest that the peak in this rise of back pain incapacity is now past and claims for benefit are now falling. Whether the disability has simply been reclassified as “stress” (which is rising) is uncertain.

The costs of back pain are huge. Recent estimates suggest that the overall cost to the UK economy is about £6 billion a year. Improved management and better outcomes would lead to major financial and medical benefits.

Who gets back pain?
The problem affects workers of all ages. It usually starts between the late teens and the 40s, with the peak prevalence in 45-60 year olds and little difference between the sexes. The prevalence of back disability is increased in people performing heavy manual work, smokers, and those in non-managerial positions. Clearly these factors interact in many patients. It is often difficult to determine whether heavy manual work has caused or aggravated a back problem or whether a worker cannot do the job because of back pain. Obesity and tallness are also associated with back problems. Postural abnormalities do not predict back problems, except possibly gross discrepancies in leg length.

Psychological factors are important. Psychological distress in a population without back pain predicts the development of back pain. In the Boeing aircraft factory, workers who did not enjoy their jobs had a greatly increased risk of reporting back injury.

Causes of back pain
The major causes of back pain are mechanical strains and sprains, lumbar spondylosis, herniated intervertebral disc, and spinal stenosis. In many cases it is not possible to make a specific mechanical diagnosis. Such problems are commonly called non-specific back pain. Non-mechanical causes of back pain include inflammatory disorders such as ankylosing spondylitis and infections, primary and secondary neoplasms, and metabolic bone disorders such as osteoporosis. The patient’s clinical characteristics and a general health screen will exclude systemic disease.

Pre-employment screening
There is no evidence that physical build, flexibility of spine movements, or other physical characteristics are of any value in predicting the development of back problems, and they should not be used for screening purposes. In particular, lumbar radiographs are not helpful in identifying people liable to develop back pain at work.

A detailed medical and occupational history is required for all employees, and an assessment of their fitness to do the job.
The most useful single item of information in predicting potential back problems is a history of back pain, particularly if it is recent and severe enough to cause absence from work.

**Preventing back injuries**

Manual handling is commonly associated with strains and sprains of the back and resultant disability. *Manual handling, Guidance on regulations* lists measures that employers should take to reduce the risk of problems. These include:

- Avoiding hazardous manual handling operations as far as is reasonably possible (lifting aids may be appropriate)
- Making an appropriate assessment of any hazardous manual handling operations that cannot be avoided
- Reducing the risks of injuries from these operations as far as is reasonably possible.

**Weight limits**

In Britain no limits for weights that may be lifted have been stated. This is because setting a weight limit is a fallacious approach as so much depends on the individual and the circumstances of any procedure. When a load is moved away from the trunk the level of stress on the lower back increases. As a rough guide, holding a load at arms’ length imposes five times the stress experienced with the same load held close to the trunk. Moreover, the further away the load is from the trunk the less easy it is to control, adding to the problems.

Guidelines to loads that may be lifted are necessarily crude, given the wide range of individual physical capabilities even among fit and healthy people. There are no truly safe loads. Present guidelines do no more than identify when manual lifting and lowering operations may not need a detailed risk assessment. If the handler’s hands enter more than one of the box zones during the operation, the smallest weight figures apply. Where the handler’s hands are close to a boundary an intermediate weight may be chosen. Where lifting or lowering with the hands beyond the box zones is unavoidable, a more detailed assessment should always be made.

**Lifting techniques**

The technique for lifting is important. Simple ergonomic principles will protect the back against excessive strains. A poor posture increases the risk of injury. Examples include stooping and twisting while weight bearing, carrying loads in an asymmetric fashion, moving loads excessive distances, and excessive pushing and pulling. Repeated or prolonged physical effort may carry additional risk. Many episodes of back pain develop after sudden or unanticipated movements such as a stumble on the stairs or an unexpected twist.

Wherever manual handling occurs employers should consider the risks of injury and how to reduce them by reviewing the task required, the load carried, the working environment, and individual capability. Redesigning the job and providing mechanical assistance may be appropriate, and individual workers should be trained in safe manual handling.

**Diagnosis and prognosis**

**Diagnostic triage**

On simple clinical grounds, patients with acute back problems can be triaged into simple backache, nerve root pain, and possible serious spinal conditions. Simple back pain will be managed by an occupational health physician or general practitioner. Nerve root pain will initially be dealt with by a general practitioner in a similar way to simple backache, although at a slower pace, providing there is no major or

---

Excessive loading—simple mechanical aids can eliminate this

Reduction in handling capacity as hands move away from trunk

Guide to loads that may be lifted in various positions, assuming that the load is easily grasped with both hands.
progressive motor weakness. However, early referral to a specialist may be required. Patients with possible serious spinal conditions require urgent referral, and emergency referral is needed for those with widespread or progressive neurological changes.

Prognosis
Most patients have simple backache. The exact condition and source of the pain are rarely identifiable, but the principles of management are now well established. Nearly all episodes of acute back pain resolve rapidly. Most patients return to work within a few days, and 90% return within six weeks. Some patients, however, develop chronic back pain, and this small proportion with prolonged disability is responsible for most of the costs associated with back injuries.

With longer time off work, the chances of ever getting back to work decrease rapidly. Only 25% of those off work for a year and 10% of those off work for two years will return to productive employment.

Investigations
Routine radiographs of the lumbar spine should be avoided. Apparent degenerative changes are common and correlate poorly with symptoms: they are better considered as age related changes. Radiographs are necessary when there is the question of possible serious spinal conditions, but a negative result does not exclude infection or tumour.

Imaging with computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging is of no value for simple backache. These techniques also often display age related changes that correlate poorly with symptoms. The presence of these changes does not influence management.

Management
Simple backache
The early management of acute back pain is important. Much of the traditional management of back pain seems to promote chronicity. In view of the increasing toll of back disability, the Clinical Standards Advisory Group of the UK Departments of Health has published guidelines on managing back problems. These emphasise the importance of maintaining physical activity and minimising the period off work.

Indications for emergency referral
- Difficulty with micturition
- Loss of anal sphincter tone or faecal incontinence
- Saddle anaesthesia about anus, perineum, or genitals
- Widespread (more than one nerve root) or progressive motor weakness in legs or disturbed gait

Characteristics of simple backache
- Onset generally at ages 20-55 years
- Pain in lumbosacral region, buttocks, and thighs
- Pain is mechanical in nature—varies with physical activity and with time
- Patient is well
- Prognosis is good—90% of patients recover from acute attack in six weeks

Characteristics of nerve root pain
- Unilateral leg pain worse than back pain
- Pain generally radiates to foot or toes
- Numbness and paraesthesia in same distribution
- Signs of nerve irritation—reduced straight leg raise which reproduces leg pain
- Motor, sensory, or reflex change—limited to one nerve foot
- Prognosis reasonable—50% of patients recover from acute attack in six weeks

Red flags suggesting possible serious spinal pathology
- Age at onset <20 or >55 years
- Violent trauma—such as fall from height, or road traffic accident
- Constant, progressive, non-mechanical pain
- Thoracic pain
- History of cancer
- Use of systemic corticosteroids
- Misea of drugs, infection with HIV
- Patient systematically unwell
- Weight loss
- Persisting severe restriction of lumbar flexion
- Widespread neurological signs
- Structural deformity
The natural course of simple backache is spontaneous resolution within a short time. Treatment is directed at relief of symptoms, a minimum period of rest, physical activity, and a rapid return to work.

Pain relief is with simple analgesics such as paracetamol or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. Narcotics should be avoided if possible, and never used for more than two weeks.

Rest is prescribed only if essential. Bed rest should be limited to three days as longer periods increase the duration of disability.

Early activity is encouraged. Patients should be reassured that exercise promotes recovery. The particular type of exercise is less important. There may be some increase in pain, but the patient should be reassured that hurt does not mean harm, and that those who exercise have fewer recurrences, take less time off work, and require less healthcare in the future.

Physical therapy should be arranged if symptoms last for more than a few days. This may include manipulation, exercises, and encouraging physical activity. Other techniques such as short wave diathermy, infrared treatment, ice packs, ultrasonography, massage, and traction provide only transient symptomatic benefit, but may enable patients to exercise and mobilise more rapidly. Some factories employ therapists so that physical therapy is available early in the work environment. This approach seems promising in promoting quick recovery and reducing risks of chronicity.

**Persistent back pain**

By six weeks, most patients will have recovered and be back at work. A detailed review is required for those with persistent problems. These patients should undergo a biopsychosocial assessment. There are particular risk factors for chronicity and for back pain and more prolonged disability, and their early identification will help in planning treatment.

Biological assessment includes reviewing the diagnostic triage, seeking evidence of nerve root problems or possible serious spinal conditions with appropriate referral. At this stage, measurement of the erythrocyte sedimentation rate, and radiographs, are indicated.

Psychological assessment should include the patient’s attitudes and beliefs about pain. Many patients will not attempt to regain mobility because of unjustified fears about the risks of activity and work. Patients may have psychological distress and depressive symptoms, and develop characteristics of abnormal illness behaviour.

Social assessment includes patients’ relationships with their families (who may reinforce the patient’s disability), and work problems related to the physical demands of the job, job satisfaction, compensation, and medicolegal issues.

**Referral**

When a patient with simple backache does not return to work within three months, specialist referral is required to provide a second opinion about the diagnosis, to arrange investigations, and to advise on management, reassurance, multidisciplinary rehabilitation, and pain management. If pain in the back is referred to the buttocks or thighs the appropriate speciality is rheumatology, pain management, or rehabilitation medicine. For nerve root pain, the patient should be referred to orthopaedics or neurosurgery.

Psychological and social factors are increasingly recognised as important, and a multidisciplinary rehabilitation programme is likely to be effective. This may include incremental exercise and physical reconditioning, behavioural medicine, and encouragement to return to work.

### Risk factors for back pain becoming chronic

- History of low back pain
- Previous time off work because of back pain
- Radicular pain, possibly with reduced straight leg raise and neurological signs
- Poor physical fitness
- Poor general health
- Smoking
- Psychological distress and depression
- Disproportionate pain behaviour
- Low job satisfaction
- Personal problems—alcohol intake, marital, financial problems
- Medicolegal proceedings

### Further reading

- Bigos SJ, Battie MC, Spengler D, Fisher LD, Fordyce WE, Hansson TH, et al. A prospective study of work perceptions and psychosocial factors affecting the report of back injury. Spine 1991;16:1-46. These two papers have emphasised the importance of the pre-back pain psychological state in predicting the future development of back problems in both primary care and in industry
- Another predictive study highlighting the importance of the back history
- Deyo RA, Diehl AJ, Rosenthal M. How many days of bed rest for acute low back pain? New Engl J Med 1986;315:1064-70. The first study indicating that bed rest should be minimised in the management of back pain and that longer periods tend to be harmful
- Waddeall G, Burton AK. Occupational health guidelines for the management of low back pain at work—evidence review. London: Faculty of Occupational Medicine, 2000. The principles underlining the management of back pain in relation to work (whether caused by work or impeding work, or both) have been subject to a number of reviews. This review has been carefully evidence based and is an invaluable source of current knowledge on this subject. Also available at www.facocmed.ac.uk
Work modification
Early return to work should be a priority because the physical and psychological consequences of inactivity and unemployment contribute to further dysfunction. Although patients should be encouraged to exercise, some are not capable of undertaking heavy manual work. Careful ergonomic assessment is necessary to avoid excessive stresses on the back. In particular, care should be taken to minimise tasks that require bending, lifting, and twisting. Light work—such as reception or inspection duties that require sitting, standing, and walking but avoid long periods in any one position—may be appropriate. At this point a coordinated approach with an Occupational Health Department is likely to be very helpful.

Improved management of patients with work related neck and arm disorders can reduce the number of working days lost and the incidence of work related illness. A patient’s quality of life and potential economic loss is largely dependent on the medical consultation.

The consultation
Every patient who seeks medical attention for neck and arm problems is entitled to a thorough medical examination. It is important for the patient—even when the disorder is non-specific—to get a clear message from the treating physician as to whether progressive disease is present, and for the physician to get the patient to engage with and have control over their rehabilitation and return to work.

The assessment of work related musculoskeletal disorders consists of a clinical examination, an exposure history, a workplace assessment, and suitable further tests.

History
The type, onset, and localisation of symptoms should be explored in detail. The use of a manikin ("bodymapping") to let the patient mark the type and location of pain has good reliability. It is important to distinguish between nociceptive and neurogenic pain. Nociceptive pain usually originates from peripheral pain receptors reacting to mechanical or chemical stimuli. Muscle pain can be regarded as nociceptive. Neurogenic pain is caused by a dysfunction in the nervous system. Accompanying sensory disturbances are common, and they can be caused by entrapment of nerves. Neurogenic pain may follow the sensory distribution of a nerve, whereas nociceptive pain is usually more diffuse and does not correspond to a single nerve distribution. Examples of questions to be asked are: “Does the pain radiate?” “Where to?” Diffuse symptoms may indicate musculoskeletal referred pain, whereas pain radiating towards specific dermatomes suggests a cervical root lesion (radiculopathy). For each single symptom the character, quality, distribution, intensity, frequency, and duration should be described. Information should be elicited about the relation between symptoms and posture, about movements and loading during occupational activity, and the relationship of symptoms to recreational activities and rest.

Special efforts should be made to identify red flags. Examples of red flags are weight loss and severe pain in the mornings. This may indicate a severe systemic disease, endocrine disorder, infection or malignancy. The family and medical history, and questions about morning stiffness and signs of inflammatory activity (joint swellings) may suggest a rheumatoid disorder.

Work and exposure history
A person’s job title usually supplies insufficient information to determine whether the disorder is work related and whether the patient can return to their job. The actual work task has to be described in terms of what the patient produces, work posture, repetition, material handling, and work organisation. Any history of sudden events of high energy transfers (formerly termed “accidents”) that could have resulted in clinical or subclinical injury should be explored.

7 Upper limb disorders
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Characteristics of non-specific musculoskeletal pain in neck, arm, and hand

History
- Pain and stiffness gradually increase during work and are worst at the end of the working day and week
- Pain localised to cervical spine and the angle between the neck and shoulder or to the upper part of forearm
- Usually no radiation of pain
- Symptoms are improved by heat and worsened by cold draughts

Signs
- Tenderness over neck and shoulder muscles or tenderness over forearm extensor muscles
- Reduced range of active movement of cervical spine (normal passive movement)
- No neurological deficits

Differential diagnosis
- Tendonitis
- Nerve entrapments
- Systemic diseases

Management of work related neck and arm disorders

Clinical management
- Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs can reduce pain and inflammation
- Acupuncture can reduce pain
- Corticosteroids—a single subacromial injection of corticosteroid mixed with local anaesthetic may cure shoulder tendonitis. For tennis elbow and carpal tunnel syndrome, corticosteroids should be used by specialists only
- Heparin (15 000 IU/day in a single intravenous dose) given for 3-4 days is an effective treatment for acute crepitating peritendinitis
- Surgery—surgical division of the carpal ligament is the first choice of treatment for carpal tunnel syndrome. Chronic severe shoulder tendonitis, surgical removal of the lateral part of the acromion may relieve pain at night
- Splints—whether splints should be used to treat early hand and wrist tendonitis and carpal tunnel syndrome is still debated

Modifications to working environment
- Job analysis—to assess work relatedness of a patient’s symptoms it is necessary to evaluate working posture, repetition, force and handling of loads, psychological and social factors, and static posture or task invariability
- Job design—job enlargement can reduce the duration and frequency of awkward postures and load handling. Job enrichment reduces poor work content and task invariability. Layout of workplace and technical aids should be improved
- Technique training—ergonomists and supervisors can improve working technique to reduce stressors of postures, motion, and load handling
- Rests and breaks should be organised to allow recovery
For a better assessment of exposure, the patient should be encouraged to bring photographs of their station, products, and tools. Direct observation of the task at the worksite is valuable and can also be used as the basis for suggestions about job redesign and return to work policies during rehabilitation. Direct evaluation can also be enhanced by video recording.

Clinical examination
The physical examination should include the following steps: (1) inspection; (2) testing for range of motion; (3) testing for muscle contraction, pain, and muscle strength; (4) palpation of muscle tendons and insertions; and (5) specific tests. The physician must have a diagnostic strategy to identify and rule out systemic diseases. As a general rule when tests are used for screening or to rule out disease, the test with the highest sensitivity is preferred. When tests are used to confirm or rule in disease, the test with the highest specificity is preferred. Serial (multiple) tests with results that are all normal tend to rule out disease convincingly; serial tests with results that are all abnormal tend to confirm disease convincingly. Several textbooks cover the physical examination of the musculoskeletal system.

Further investigations
Blood tests such as sedimentation rate and rheumatoid factor can be used to rule out general inflammatory disorders. Imaging tests such as radiographs, ultrasound, and magnetic resonance imaging to detect morphological changes should be done if there are red flags present. Radiographic findings such as spinal degeneration, cervical ribs, etc. should be interpreted with caution because they may be normal physiological findings unrelated to back, neck, or arm symptoms. Patients who are told that their radiograph shows that their back or cervical spine is “worn out” may be resistant to rehabilitation. Even advanced magnetic resonance imaging of the spine may show severe degenerative changes that are not related to the patient’s symptoms. A patient may deduce from the radiographic findings that they have a progressive disease and thereby become “medicalised.” This may, in turn, influence their participation in active rehabilitation and impair the process of returning to work.

Common work related musculoskeletal disorders may constitute a disturbance of sensory neural processing. In the future both neurosensory testing—for example, vibratory perception threshold—and biochemical markers, may become a part of clinical musculoskeletal assessment.

Classification of disease (ICD-10)
The terminology of common musculoskeletal disorders is confusing. The use of terms such as repetitive strain injury (RSI) and cumulative trauma disorder (CTD) should be avoided. The evidence base is often weak or non-existent for these terms. In industrial settings ergonomics may modify the symptoms and signs of disorders and diseases. In a task involving repetitive arm elevation, signs of both tendinitis and non-specific disorders may be present, which are probably related to both concurrent strain on rotator cuff tendons and static strain on neck and shoulder muscles. The occurrence of musculoskeletal symptoms and clinical signs in working and mixed populations has been described. If the different musculoskeletal symptoms and signs do not wholly comply with the criteria for a disease, the recommendation is to choose an ICD label that focuses on the symptoms rather than on the disease. An example of this for non-specific neck and shoulder problems could involve a patient with chronic neck pain and stiffness. The term “disability” may be useful for such a patient, but alternative ICD labels such as “osteoarthritis” or “disc herniation” do not. The patient may have a history of chronic neck pain that is not explained by any specific medical condition. This is not uncommon, and a comment in the patient’s notes may be useful to indicate that no diagnosis has been made.

Principles of managing hand and arm pain in keyboard operators
- Exclude clear pathological causes such as carpal tunnel syndrome
- Explore psychological profile, including attitudes to work, and support from management and colleagues
- Reassure patient that the condition will improve and is likely to resolve
- Keep the patient physically active and at work. Both aerobic and strength training will reduce pain and increase performance
- If necessary reduce keyboard work
- Liaise with patient’s workplace—if possible, with an occupational physician or nurse
- Consider variation of work tasks, reduced work intensity, encouraging short breaks from keyboard work, or job rotation
- Ensure that workstation ergonomics have been evaluated and are satisfactory and that the patient has been taught to use the equipment properly and has the right glasses
- Monitor patient’s progress with regular follow up
- When symptoms have subsided advise gradual increase in normal activities
- Exercise may improve blood flow and reduce pain. Strength training may reduce pain and increase performance. Heat application may be worth trying
- Advice from an experienced physiotherapist may assist in rehabilitation
- Those few patients who do not respond to this multidisciplinary management may be at risk of developing chronic symptoms. Revisit the biopsychosocial aspects
- Consider specialist referral (for example, to an occupational physician, rheumatologist, or pain or rehabilitation specialist)
- In extreme cases where long term disablement seems likely, retraining may be necessary. Voice activated software is now widely available

No consensus accepted criteria exist for most ICD-10 (international classification of diseases, 10th revision) musculoskeletal related diagnoses for manual work. When considering the criteria for different musculoskeletal disorders it is reasonable to look first at proposed criteria for surveillance, and epidemiological studies.
pain with or without radiation to the forearm would be the label “cervicobrachial syndrome M53.1” (ICD-10, nerve root entrapment is excluded).

**Risk factors**

**Multiple factors**

Certain occupations are associated with a high risk for neck and arm pain. Some risk factors can be identified, but the interaction between different risk factors is not understood, and there are not enough data yet to set accurate limits for disease effects. It is important to recognise that personal characteristics and other environmental and sociocultural factors usually play a role in these disorders. A patient with neck pain may be exposed to an awkward posture at work but also to social stress at home: both factors contribute to sustained contraction of the trapezius muscles, inducing pain and stiffness. The cause of a work related disorder can sometimes be attributed to a specific exposure in a job, but there is often simultaneous exposure to several different factors. Individual factors must also be considered when assessing the history of a patient with a work related disorder, and when redesigning a job before such a patient returns to work.

**Awkward postures**

Working with hands at or above shoulder level counts as an awkward posture and may be one determinant of rotator cuff tendinitis. Awkward postures may cause mechanical trauma or compression, reducing blood flow and tissue nutrition.

The pathogenesis of rotator cuff tendinitis is mainly impingement—compression of the rotator cuff tendons when they are forced under the coracoacromial arch during elevation of the arm. The supraspinatus tendon is forced under the anterior edge of the acromion, causing both a compression that impairs blood circulation through the tendon and mechanical friction to the tendon. Reduced blood flow because of static muscle contraction may contribute to degeneration of the rotator cuff tendons.

Abduction and forward flexion of more than 30° may also constitute a risk factor because the pressure induced within the supraspinatus muscle will exceed 30 mm Hg, impairing blood flow. The vessels to the supraspinatus tendon run through the muscle, and so raised intramuscular pressure can affect the tendon vasculature.

**Static postures (task invariability)**

It used to be argued that to prevent work related musculoskeletal disorders it was necessary to minimise the load that workers were exposed to. This concept has led to the creation of jobs with low external load, but some of these are still not ideal because poor work content usually leads to a job with invariable tasks, resulting in constrained postures and a low static load for the neck and arms. Ergonomists now try to design jobs that are not only physically variable but also psychologically variable and stimulating.

The health problems caused by task invariability may result from prolonged static contraction of the trapezius muscle during work or daily activity, resulting in an overload of type I muscle fibres, explaining the neck pain. At a low level of muscle contraction, the low threshold motor units (type I fibres) operate. A low static contraction during work may result in a recruitment pattern in which only the type I muscle fibres are used, causing selective fatigue of motor units and damage to the type I fibres. Biopsies of the trapezius muscle from patients with work related trapezius myalgia show enlarged

---

**Risk factors for work related neck and arm disorders**

- Working posture
  - Awkward postures or task invariability
  - Static postures
- Repetitive motion
- Force—handling loads or tools
- Psychological and social factors
  - Work organisation
  - Stress
- Working environment

---

Poultry dressing involves forceful and repetitive manipulation in cold conditions—ergonomic assessment is essential

---

Impingement of the supraspinatus tendon against the surface of the anterior part of the acromion when the arm is raised to shoulder height. Pressure and mechanical friction are centred on the tendon (thick black arrows).
type I fibres and a reduced ratio of type I fibre areas to capillary areas. Strength training improves the performance of the type 2 fibres and there is reduced perceived exertion during work in patients with non-specific neck pain.

Another pain hypothesis is a relative shortage of energy in the muscle cells. When the energy demand in the muscle fibre is excessive, pain can result. The postural pain syndrome associated with sagging shoulders is a type of cervico-brachial pain that may be caused by prolonged stretching of the trapezius muscle or the brachial plexus. In cervical brachial pain syndromes, pain may be triggered by a pain locus in muscles, tendons, joint capsules, ligaments, or vessels. Nociceptors (pain receptors) in these loci may be the origin not only of the neck and shoulder pain but also of the referred pain to the arm and hand. The nociceptive pain may trigger a chronic pain syndrome that can affect the sympathetic nervous system. A possible pathogenic mechanism is that a small injury caused by a strain or a microrupture during some activity (work or leisure time) does not recover properly. Pain receptors induce a pathway of signals to the central nervous system by increasing the susceptibility to stimuli. The neurological response to normal activity is perceived as pain, and a chronic pain syndrome is the result. The predominant clinical symptom is activity related pain. Stiffness and severe pain at extreme postures are also common. The patient affected by chronic pain must be recognised as soon as possible for proper treatment and rehabilitation, preferably in a pain clinic.

Awkward and static postures are common in players of musical instruments. Pain in the neck and arm have been related to gripping an instrument in an awkward posture. Pain in the left shoulder and arm in professional violinists can be the result of static holding of the violin with the left arm.

Neck flexion while working at a visual display terminal may be associated with non-specific shoulder symptoms. A prospective study showed that a non-optimal sight angle with the head overextended was related to neck symptoms, and extreme radial deviation of the hands was related to hand and arm disorders. An exposure-response relation has been found for neck pain and angle of neck flexion in keyboard operators: neck pain was more prevalent among operators who flex their necks more acutely. Incorrect glasses or the need for glasses when working at a visual display terminal may result in neck and shoulder pain, by affecting posture and because of muscle activity in the trapezius muscle caused by a reflex mechanism of oculomotor strain during sustained visual work at short distances.

The development of non-keyboard input devices, such as the computer mouse, has resulted in new postures that may cause a combination of symptoms from the wrist to the shoulder. Work tasks of long duration with a flexed and, to some extent, extended wrist have been reported as risk factors for carpal tunnel syndrome.

**Repetition motion**

Repetitive motions of the shoulder may constitute a risk for rotator cuff tendinitis. An experimental study showed that women performing repetitive forward flexions of the shoulder developed shoulder tendinitis. Clinical signs of tendinitis were present up to two weeks after the experiments. Repetitive motions by industrial assembly workers (truck making, meat packing, and circuit board assembly) have been associated with the development of shoulder tendinitis, lateral epicondylitis, and tendinitis at the wrist (de Quervain’s disease). Eccentric exertion with injury of the extensor carpi radialis brevis muscle is one mechanical model for the pathogenesis of lateral epicondylitis.

---

Intramuscular pressure in the supraspinatus muscle at different angles of abduction and forward flexion

Differential recruitment of muscle fibres with different levels of contraction. At low level static contraction, only type I muscle fibres may be recruited, leading to their selective fatigue and damage

Association between neck flexion and pain and stiffness in the neck
Repetitive motion, being a causal factor for tendonitis, is consistent with the high risk of shoulder tendonitis in competitive swimmers, and epicondylitis in tennis players.

**Force—handling load or tools**

Only a few studies have investigated the effect of handling loads on neck and arm symptoms. Handling heavy loads seems to be associated with osteoarthritis and cervical spondylodiscsis. Low frequency vibration exposure of high magnitude is associated with osteoarthritis of the elbow, wrist, and acromioclavicular joints, and symptoms in the elbow and shoulder. Impacts, jerks, and blows with high energy transfer to the hands at low frequency might have the potential to result in musculoskeletal disorders, considering the general model for injuries. Furthermore, the observed associations with vibration exposure and musculoskeletal disorders might result from the strong dynamic and static joint loading and the repetitive hand and arm motions required in tasks where handheld machines are used.

**Psychological and social factors**

Psychological and social factors are generally more strongly associated with back pain than with shoulder pain. Furthermore, the association is stronger for non-specific pain than for pain with a specific diagnosis. This means that a diagnosis of general cervicobrachial pain may be more strongly related to psychological and social factors than are carpal tunnel syndrome or shoulder tendonitis. Highly demanding work and poor work content (repetitive tasks with short cycles) have been identified as risk factors for neck and shoulder pain. Psychological factors and personality type may be determinants of muscle tension and the development of myofascial pain.

Piece work is associated with neck and arm disorders when compared with work paid by the hour. This may be because of an increased work pace in addition to high psychological demand and low control in the work situation. Management style, in terms of social support to employees, is claimed to be associated with increased reporting of neck and shoulder symptoms. Social support from management obviously affects turnover of workers, and sick leave.

Psychological stress and burnout are associated with depression. Depressive moods are associated with musculoskeletal pain. It is likely that both psychological stress and chronic musculoskeletal pain can cause depressive moods. When assessing a patient with chronic musculoskeletal pain, a psychological evaluation and identification of possible affective disorders should be done. Treatment of depression can reduce musculoskeletal pain and facilitate return to work.

**Individual susceptibility**

Individuals may have increased vulnerability to injury because of disease, genetic factors, or lack of fitness. This individual susceptibility may result in a lower threshold for given exposures to cause work related musculoskeletal disorders. Additionally, the exposure may trigger symptoms earlier and at an unusual location because of localised vulnerability in a person who has preclinical systemic disease. As examples, a worker exposed to repetitive flexion in the shoulder developed tendonitis one year before developing rheumatoid arthritis. An electrician exposed to repetitive power grips and vibration developed symptoms and signs of carpal tunnel syndrome: at surgery these were found to be caused by amyloidosis. For work related musculoskeletal disorders individual factors usually have a low magnitude of risk compared with relevant ergonomic factors.

---

**Upper limb disorders**

- **Outward rotation of the shoulder and ulnar deviation of the wrist may be found with use of a computer mouse (yellow) and keyboard (blue)**

---

**Work related musculoskeletal disorders found in blue collar and white collar workers**

### Shoulder pain

**Blue collar workers—assembly workers**
- Usually shoulder tendonitis due to working with hands above shoulder height
- Repetitive forward flexions

**White collar workers—keyboard operators**
- Usually non-specific cervicobrachial pain, which may be caused by task invariability leading to static tension of trapezius muscle

### Hand and wrist pain

**Blue collar workers—assembly workers**
- Repetitive power grips may cause repetitive strain of extensor tendons and tendonitis
- Carpal tunnel syndrome may also be related to repetitive power grips

**White collar workers—keyboard operators**
- Intensive keying may cause repetitive strain of extensor tendons and tendonitis
- Carpal tunnel syndrome may also be related to intensive keying

**Individual susceptibility to musculoskeletal disorders**

- **Age**
  - For most musculoskeletal disorders, risk increases with age
- **Sex**
  - Among both the general population and industrial workers, women have a higher incidence of carpal tunnel syndrome and muscular pain in the neck and shoulder than men
  - Whether this is due to genetic factors or to different exposures at work and at home is not clear

### Anatomical differences or malformations

- A rough surface and the sharp edge of the intertubercular sulcus on the humeral head increases wear on the tendon of the long head of biceps muscle, which may make a person more prone to biceps tendonitis
- A cervical rib is a common cause of neurogenic thoracic outlet syndrome: a repetitive task may be the occupational exposure that triggers clinical disease
- Width of the carpal tunnel has been proposed as a risk factor for carpal tunnel syndrome, but there is no consensus
Further reading

- Hoppenfeld S. *Physical examination of the spine and extremities*. Connecticut: Appletom Century-Crofts, 1976. Includes a detailed description of examining different parts of the musculoskeletal system, with extensive illustrations, with emphasis on neurological evaluations
- Saxton JM. A review of current literature on physiological tests and soft tissue biomarkers applicable to work-related upper limb disorders. *Occup Med (Lond)* 2000;50:121-30. Concludes by proposing new ways that testing might be implemented during occupational health surveillance to enable early warning of impending problems and to provide more insight into the underlying nature of soft tissue disorders
- Harrington JM, Carter JT, Birrell L, Gompertz D. Surveillance case definitions for work related upper limb pain syndromes. *Occup Environ Med* 1998;55:264-71. Describes the consensus case definitions that were agreed for carpal tunnel syndrome, tenosynovitis of the wrist, de Quervain’s disease of the wrist, epicondylitis, shoulder capsulitis (frozen shoulder), and shoulder tendonitis. The consensus group also identified a condition defined as “non-specific diffuse forearm pain,” although this is essentially a diagnosis made by exclusion. The group did not have enough experience of the thoracic outlet syndrome to make recommendations
8 Work related stress

Tom Cox

It is clear from large scale surveys of working people, and of those who have recently worked, that stress is currently one of the two main work related challenges to health. (The other is musculoskeletal disorders.) It is therefore not surprising that a plethora of guidance on work stress is available from government bodies, the social partners, and professional and scientific organisations, and it is unlikely that any individual or organisation could successfully claim ignorance of the topic or a lack of basic knowledge.

What is and what is not stress?

Stress is not an illness; neither is it a meaningful descriptive term to apply to a situation such as a domestic scenario or a workplace, although they might be described as “stressful” or containing “stressors.”

Stress is an emotional state that is very real for many people, and poses a major threat to the quality of their lives and to their health. Although that experience is rooted in the way the person sees and thinks about their world, it is essentially emotional in nature, normally involving a mixture of negative feelings, such as unpleasant arousal, apprehension, shame, guilt, or anger. It is not necessarily trivial.

Why do people experience stress?

Stress is the emotional state that results from someone’s perceptions of an imbalance between the demands (pressures) on them, and their ability to cope with those demands. The control they have over related events and the support that they receive in coping are very important factors in this equation. Demands can be internally (self) generated as well as externally imposed, and a person’s needs and expectations can be important in their experience of stress.

Classically, people at risk experience events that place demands on them with which they cannot cope. Their inability to cope may be because of lack of relevant knowledge or skill. They feel out of control and without support. Under these circumstances, they are more likely to experience stress and show the commonly associated patterns of cognitive, behavioural, and physiological change. Interestingly, although some of these changes may represent attempts at coping, others may be detrimental to coping. It is easy to see how a vicious cycle can quickly become established in that the person’s ability to cope may be degraded by their experience of stress.

The correlates of stress

The experience of stress alters the way people think, feel, and behave. Many of the changes that occur are modest and potentially reversible, although detrimental to the person’s quality of life at the time. Other changes may be more enduring, and have substantial consequences for health.

Behavioural changes may include increases in health risk behaviour, such as smoking and drinking, and decreases in health positive behaviour, such as exercise and relaxation. Many behavioural changes represent attempts to cope with the

Myths and facts

“Work related stress is not a serious problem”
Wrong—in the United Kingdom, as many as one in five people report themselves to be suffering from high levels of work related stress. That’s around 5 million workers. An estimated half a million individuals report experiencing stress at a level they believe has made them ill. The cost to Britain’s economy is estimated at 6.7 million working days lost per year. It costs society between about £3.7 billion and £3.8 billion

Health and Safety Executive

The Ad hoc Group on Work Stress of the European Commission offered the following definition of work stress

Work stress is the emotional reaction to aversive and noxious aspects of organisations, work, and the work environment. It is a state characterised by extremes of arousal, and by discomfort and distress. It is often characterised by feelings of being out of control and helplessness. Stress can arise as a result of exposure to both physical and psychosocial hazards and may, in turn, affect not only psychological, physical, and social health, but also availability for work and work performance

Stress can occur through work. It may be experienced as a result of exposure to a wide range of work related hazards and, in practice, often coexists with adverse influences operating outside the workplace

Some factors affecting individual susceptibility to stress

- Individual constitution
- Lifestyle and work style
- Coping mechanisms
- Emotional stability
- Previous experiences
- Expectation
- Self confidence
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Managing stress at work

Work stress can be managed from two different perspectives: the individual and the organisational. The occupational health practitioner has a role to play in each approach.

Education, treatment, and rehabilitation: the individual

Much of little value has been written about individual stress management, and many weird and wonderful treatments are offered commercially. A healthy scepticism is warranted here as few of these treatments are based in scientific knowledge and even fewer have been evaluated.

Three strategies that might help the individual experiencing stress through work are: further education and training in relevant work or life skills, short term treatment for any medical condition, and managed rehabilitation to a normal pattern of working life.

Without doubt, the most effective form of stress management training is through a proper analysis of training needs in relation to the person’s job; lifestyle counselling can also be valuable. Fundamental problems in the demands-ability balance may need to be examined. At the same time, reducing health risk behaviour and strengthening health positive

Some possible self reported symptoms of work stress

- Anxiety about work, continually agitated
- Continual complaints of unreasonable or unrelenting work demands
- Deep exhaustion
- Disturbed sleep and daytime tiredness
- Expressed dislike of work or work colleagues and low job satisfaction
- Feelings of being out of control or helpless
- Feelings of lack of support and care from others
- Forgetfulness
- Inability to concentrate, continually distracted
- Inability to think straight
- Irritability, being short tempered
- Loss of sexual interest, or impaired sexual performance
- Loss of the “big picture:’ unable to get events into perspective
- Repeated absences from work

Psychosocial and organisational hazards: a taxonomy

Content of work

- Task content: lack of variety or short work cycles, fragmented or meaningless work, underuse of skills, high uncertainty
- Workload and workpace: work overload or underload, lack of control over pacing, time pressure
- Work schedule: shift working, inflexible work schedules, unpredictable, long or unsociable hours
- Control: low participation in decision making, lack of control over work

Context to work

- Organisational culture and function: poor communication, low levels of support for problem solving and personal development, lack of definition of organisational objectives
- Role in organisation: role ambiguity and role conflict, responsibility for people
- Career development: career stagnation and uncertainty, under or over promotion, poor job insecurity, low social value to work
- Interpersonal relations at work: social or physical isolation, poor relationships with superiors, interpersonal conflict, and lack of social support
- Home-work interface: conflicting demands of work and home, poor support at home, dual career problems

Adapted from Cox (1993)

Work related factors and ill health: the Whitehall II Study

This research concentrated on how the design of work affected people’s mental well being and related health outcomes. The key findings were as follows:

- Having little say in how the work is done is associated with poor mental health in men and a higher risk of alcohol dependence in women
- Work requiring a fast pace and the need to resolve conflicting priorities is associated with a higher risk of psychiatric disorder in both sexes, and poor physical fitness or illness in men
- A combination of putting high effort into work and poor recognition of employees’ effort by managers is associated with increased risk of alcohol dependence in men, poor mental health in both sexes, and poor physical fitness or illness in women
- A lack of understanding and support from managers and colleagues at work is associated with higher risk of psychiatric disorder. Good social support at work, particularly from managers for their staff, has a protective effect
- Aspects of poor work design is also associated with employees taking more sickness absence

emotional experience of stress—for example, by drinking more. However, this type of coping can easily become a secondary source of stress and ill health if sustained.

Evidence shows that cognitive stress is associated with poor decision making, impaired concentration, reduced attention span, impaired memory, and confusion. People who report “being stressed” also tend to admit to “not being able to think straight.” Social behaviour and interpersonal relations may also be affected, possibly reflecting these and other psychological changes such as exhaustion and increased irritability.

The effects of stress are thought to contribute to a range of disorders as wide as cancer, heart disease, musculoskeletal conditions, skin disease, gastrointestinal disorders, and sexual problems. The evidence is strongest for links between certain types of prolonged stress and ischaemic heart disease, hypertension, and mental illness. Evidence also suggests that stress plays a part in the aetiology, course, and outcome (recovery from disability) of musculoskeletal disorders. Most of the evidence for such links is epidemiological. The pathophysiological mechanisms are not clear—perhaps the effects are direct (chemical mediators, effects on immunity) or indirect (the results of secondary, damaging behaviour).

It is likely that what is bad for the individual employee is also bad for their organisation. Organisational concerns associated with work related stress include high absenteeism, increased staff turnover, low job satisfaction, low morale, poor organisational commitment, poor performance and productivity, possible increased accident and near miss rates, and, in some cases, an increase in employee and client complaints and litigation.

Causes of stress at work

“Psychosocial and organisational” hazards refer to those aspects of the design and management of work and of its social and organisational contexts that are known to contribute to employee stress—so, to a lesser extent, do “physical” hazards such as noise and extremes of temperature. There is a reasonable consensus on the nature of the psychosocial and organisational hazards, and they have been divided into nine broad categories.

Organisational culture and work environment

- Interpersonal relations: poor relationships with supervisors, interpersonal conflict, and lack of social support
- Work load and workpace: work overload or underload, lack of control over pacing, time pressure
- Control: low participation in decision making, lack of control over work

Work related factors and ill health: the Whitehall II Study

This research concentrated on how the design of work affected people’s mental well being and related health outcomes. The key findings were as follows:

- Having little say in how the work is done is associated with poor mental health in men and a higher risk of alcohol dependence in women
- Work requiring a fast pace and the need to resolve conflicting priorities is associated with a higher risk of psychiatric disorder in both sexes, and poor physical fitness or illness in men
- A combination of putting high effort into work and poor recognition of employees’ effort by managers is associated with increased risk of alcohol dependence in men, poor mental health in both sexes, and poor physical fitness or illness in women
- A lack of understanding and support from managers and colleagues at work is associated with higher risk of psychiatric disorder. Good social support at work, particularly from managers for their staff, has a protective effect
- Aspects of poor work design is also associated with employees taking more sickness absence

Adapted from Cox (1993)
behaviour such as exercise and relaxation may both improve the person’s psychological and physical health, and offer a distraction from their problems.

If the person is affected by anxiety, depression, or some other stress induced illness, then that should be treated in the conventional way, possibly with drugs or psychological treatments, but always appropriately combined with education and rehabilitation. Managed rehabilitation is critical to the success of any treatment for work stress, and necessarily entails a dialogue between the occupational health practitioner and line management.

Employers have a duty of care under common law to take reasonable and practicable steps to protect their employees’ safety and health at work. This duty clearly extends to psychological as well as physical health, and to psychosocial and organisational as well as physical hazards. It is clear that an employer’s failure to consider stress seriously can result in legal challenge. Employers also have duties under statutory health and safety law. Such law has evolved to prevent harm to employees through work, whereas common law allows for financial redress when harm has occurred. These two bodies of law are complementary, as are the duties they impose.

The occupational health practitioner can advise employers on two issues: prevention through risk management, and provision of employee support systems.

According to guidance from the Health and Safety Executive in the United Kingdom and the European Commission, work stress is to be treated as a health and safety issue and dealt with in organisations through the application of a risk management approach (essentially systematic problem solving). Organisations will need to include methods of assessing the risk from exposure to psychosocial and organisational hazards in their routine assessments and develop ways of reducing such risks if necessary. Methods to do this are

### Causes of stress and possible solutions

#### Poor management culture
**Examples of good management are when:**
- An organisation is committed to promoting the wellbeing of employees through good management practice
- The people who work in the organisation are valued and respected
- They receive support from the organisation if they wish to raise problems affecting their work

#### Poor relations
**Examples of good relations are when:**
- There is good communication between employer and employees, so that the employees understand what is expected, and the employer reacts to any problems experienced by the employees
- Employees are not bullied or harassed, and policies are in place to manage this

#### Role uncertainty
**People understand their role when:**
- They know why they are undertaking the work and how this fits in with the organisation’s wider aims and objectives
- Jobs are clearly defined to avoid confusion

#### Too many demands
**Demands are at the right level when:**
- Staff are able to cope with the volume and complexity of the work
- The work is scheduled sensibly so that there is enough time to do allocated tasks; shift work systems are agreed with employees or their representatives; people are not expected to work long hours over an extended period

#### Poor management of change
**Good change management includes when the organisation:**
- Communicates to employees the reason why change is essential
- Has a clear understanding of what it wants change to achieve
- Has a timetable for implementing change, which includes realistic first steps
- Ensures a supportive climate for employees

#### Lack of control
**People feel in control when:**
- They are given a say in how they do their work
- The amount of control they have is balanced against the demands placed on them

#### Lack of training and support, and failure to take account of individual factors
**Examples of good practice:**
- Employees receive suitable and sufficient training to do their jobs
- Employees receive support from their immediate line management, even when things go wrong
- The organisation encourages people to share their concerns about health and safety and, in particular, work related stress
- The individual is fair to the employer—they discuss their concerns and work towards agreed solutions

### Expectations of a person experiencing stress through work
- Timely and appropriate support from both management and occupational health
- A professional and sensitive approach
- Help in solving the problem at source: moderating work pressures, providing education and training, increasing control over work events, and improving support
- Advice, if necessary, on lifestyle
- Short term treatment for any associated medical problems
- Active management of rehabilitation to work
available, and occupational health practitioners have a major role to play both as expert advisers and organisational champions.

The successful provision of employee support (to deal with stress) depends on three things: a broad based and competent system, an accessible system, and an integrated system. Most large organisations provide good employee support in theory, but fail themselves and their employees in practice because the overall system is fragmented, often competitive for resources, and territorial, and lacks internal collaboration at the case level. Much can be achieved by bringing existing systems together, by training staff in relation to work stress, and by marketing what is available within the organisation.

Further reading

- Cox T. From environmental exposure to ill health. In: McCaig R, Harrington M, eds. The changing nature of occupational health. Sudbury: HSE Books, 1998. This chapter in an edited volume in memory of Dr Thomas Legge provides a more detailed account of the model of stress referred to here, with more information from the organisational perspective. It also includes further discussion of the individual perspective
- Griffiths A. The psychosocial work environment. In: McCaig R, Harrington M, eds. The changing nature of occupational health. Sudbury: HSE Books, 1998. This chapter, in what has become known as the Thomas Legge book, focuses on the psychosocial work environment and provides an informed and detailed discussion of the psychosocial and organisational aspects of work, their design, and management. This chapter is usefully read in conjunction with that by the author in the same volume
- Cox T, Griffiths A, Randall R. A risk management approach to the prevention of work stress. In: Schabracq MA, Winnubst JAM, Cooper C, eds. Handbook of work and health psychology, 2nd ed. Chichester: Wiley and Sons, 2002. A detailed discussion of the risk management approach to work stress; includes a short series of organisational case studies to illustrate that approach in practice. The chapter touches on risk reduction strategies that focus on the individual employee as well as those that operate at the organisational level

The box containing information on psychosocial and organisational hazards is adapted from Cox 1993. The box containing causes of stress and possible solutions is adapted from Health and Safety Executive. Work related factors and ill health: the Whitehall II study. Sudbury: HSE Books, 2000 (CRR 266/2000).
Introduction

Mental illness affects about a tenth of all adults at any one time—about 450 million people worldwide. Lifetime prevalence is much higher. Mental disorders now account for about 12% of the global burden of disease and this is expected to rise to 15% by the year 2020. Neuropsychiatric conditions account for 30% of all years lived with disability.

Mental disorders and substance abuse are important issues in the workplace, partly because they are so common in the general adult population and partly because increasing rates of employment in many countries mean that the less able are entering the workforce. Mental ill health at work seems to be rising and in the United Kingdom at least, it has overtaken musculoskeletal disorders as the main cause of absence from work, long term sickness, and retirement on medical grounds. Whatever the cause of mental disorders, they have consequences for work performance and economic productivity. Appropriately tailored work is generally beneficial for people suffering mental illness, and the workplace can be an important setting for mental health promotion and the prevention of illness.

Positive mental health is not just the absence of mental disorder but has been defined as a positive sense of wellbeing, implying the presence of self esteem; optimism; a sense of mastery and coherence; the ability to initiate, develop, and sustain mutually satisfying personal relationships; and the ability to cope with adversity (resilience). Factors such as these enhance a person’s capacity to contribute to family and other social networks, the local community, and society at large. They are also qualities that may be expected to influence work performance.

The spectrum of mental health problems

Mental disorder is common in the adult population of the United Kingdom, as elsewhere in Europe and the rest of the world.

Those who are unemployed have higher rates of mental illness than people in employment, partly because of the socially stressful aspects of unemployment and partly because people with mental illness experience more difficulty in finding and maintaining work.

### Rates of mental illness in employed and unemployed, Great Britain 2000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Working full time</th>
<th>Working part time</th>
<th>Unemployed</th>
<th>Inactive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neurosis (per thousand in past week)</td>
<td>136 (7)</td>
<td>161 (11)</td>
<td>196 (30)</td>
<td>270 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable psychosis (per thousand in past year)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>6 (2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>17 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol dependence (per thousand in past 6 months)</td>
<td>94 (5)</td>
<td>53 (8)</td>
<td>146 (25)</td>
<td>67 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug dependence (per thousand in past year)</td>
<td>40 (4)</td>
<td>32 (6)</td>
<td>137 (23)</td>
<td>40 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ONS survey of psychiatric morbidity among adults living in private households, 2000

### The spectrum of mental health disorders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Rough prevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Psychological distress usually connected with various life situations, events, and problems</td>
<td>Most of us from time to time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common mental disorders (depression, anxiety disorders in adults, and emotional and conduct disorders in children)</td>
<td>10-20% of adults in general population but 40-50% in highly vulnerable populations; 30% of primary care attenders; 10% of children in general population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe mental disorders with disturbances in perception, beliefs, and thought processes (psychoses)</td>
<td>0.5% of general population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance abuse disorders (excess consumption and dependency on alcohol, drugs, and tobacco)</td>
<td>Highly country specific; 5% and above, increasing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating disorders</td>
<td>1-5%; mostly women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal personality traits that are handicapping to the individual and/or others</td>
<td>Not known; existing studies suggest 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive organic diseases of the brain (dementia)</td>
<td>Senile dementia: 5% of over 65s and 20% of over 80s (hence the demographic time bomb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical organic dementias</td>
<td>Situation specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS dementia</td>
<td>A growing problem in countries where people with AIDS live long enough to develop it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxic organic brain syndromes</td>
<td>Industry specific (mercury, lead, carbon monoxide) or environmental</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Prevalence of psychiatric disorders per 1000 population in adults aged 16-64 years in Great Britain 2000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>All adults</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mixed anxiety and depressive disorders</td>
<td>112 (6)</td>
<td>72 (5)</td>
<td>92 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalised anxiety disorder</td>
<td>48 (3)</td>
<td>46 (4)</td>
<td>47 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive episode</td>
<td>30 (3)</td>
<td>26 (3)</td>
<td>28 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phobias</td>
<td>24 (2)</td>
<td>15 (2)</td>
<td>19 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive-compulsive disorder</td>
<td>15 (2)</td>
<td>10 (2)</td>
<td>12 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic disorder</td>
<td>7 (1)</td>
<td>8 (2)</td>
<td>7 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any neurotic disorder</td>
<td>202 (8)</td>
<td>144 (7)</td>
<td>173 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Prevalence of psychiatric disorders per 1000 population in adults aged 16-64 years in Great Britain 2000 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Rate per thousand in past year (se)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Probable psychosis</td>
<td>5 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug dependence</td>
<td>24 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol dependence</td>
<td>32 (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ONS survey of psychiatric morbidity among adults living in private households, 2000
Causes and consequences of mental disorder

Causes

The causation of mental disorder is multifactorial, being half genetic and half environmental for psychoses but largely environmental for the non-psychotic disorders.

Some disorders have a genetic basis, especially the major psychoses. Malnutrition can be a direct cause, whether in childhood or as an adult (for example, pellagra). Rarely, endocrine disorders such as myxodema may be causative. Occupational and environmental causes include infection (for example, encephalitis), the toxic effects of exposures at work (for example, mercury poisoning), and trauma (head injury).

Psychological factors—for example, poor coping skills and persistently low self esteem—also contribute. Such routine adverse life events as bereavement or job loss can lead to at least temporary mental disorder in the vulnerable. Unusually distressing or life threatening events may predispose towards the development of post-traumatic stress disorder. Such mechanisms are exacerbated by inadequate social support networks. Chronic social adversity (unemployment, poverty, illiteracy, child labour, violence, and war) is also often responsible, especially among underprivileged people.

Longitudinal studies have shown that unemployment, redundancy, or even the threat of redundancy cause mental illness, although naturally, employees who are already mentally ill are more likely to lose their jobs—either voluntarily or involuntarily. Given what is known about the mean rates of illness in the population as a whole and a higher rate in the unemployed, one would expect to find comparatively lower rates of illness in people at work. However, those studies that have been done in particular groups of workers have shown quite high rates of mental illness. It has been suggested, Newbold and colleagues (1982) have suggested that this is due to the combination of psychological stress and adverse environments (for example, job insecurity, low esteem). Unemployment, however, does not appear to be the sole cause of mental disorder, and those studies that have been done in particular groups of workers have shown quite high rates of mental illness.

Prevalence of mental disorders in the workplace

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Number studied</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total prevalence per 1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fraser R, 1947</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>Light and medium engineering workers</td>
<td>Medical assessment</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heron and Braithwaite, 1953</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>Colliery workers: Sedentary Surface manual Underground workers</td>
<td>Middlesex questionnaire</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenkins R, et al., 1982</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>Times journalists: 1 month after redundancy notice and 2 months before closure date 3 months after redundancy notice, when redundancy revoked and new owner arrived 12 weeks after threat of redundancy removed</td>
<td>Clinical interview schedule</td>
<td>378</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacBride R, et al., 1981</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>Air traffic controllers during an industrial dispute 4 months later 10 months later</td>
<td>General health questionnaire</td>
<td>480</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenkins R, 1985</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>Executive officers in civil service</td>
<td>Clinical interview schedule</td>
<td>562</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGrath A, et al., 1989</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>Nurses Teachers Social workers</td>
<td>General health questionnaire</td>
<td>270</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Risk factors associated with common mental disorders: odds ratio (OR) of sociodemographic correlates of revised clinical interview schedule (CIS-R) score of 12 or more; *p < 0.05 **p < 0.01

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Adjusted odds ratio</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1.28**</td>
<td>1.11 to 1.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Adjusted odds ratio</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16-24</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.89 to 1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.99 to 1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>1.31*</td>
<td>1.01 to 1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.53 to 0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family unit type</th>
<th>Adjusted odds ratio</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Couple, no children</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple with 1 + children</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.75 to 1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lone parent + child</td>
<td>1.41*</td>
<td>1.08 to 1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One person only</td>
<td>1.23*</td>
<td>1.00 to 1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult with parents</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.26 to 0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult with one parent</td>
<td>0.71*</td>
<td>0.53 to 0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employment status</th>
<th>Adjusted odds ratio</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Working full time</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working part time</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.96 to 1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>1.44*</td>
<td>1.02 to 2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economically inactive</td>
<td>2.26**</td>
<td>1.92 to 2.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tenure</th>
<th>Adjusted odds ratio</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner-occupier</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter</td>
<td>1.41**</td>
<td>1.22 to 1.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Adjusted odds ratio</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Semi-rural or rural</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1.16*</td>
<td>1.01 to 1.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
however, that some bias may have occurred in studying working populations that were chosen because they are perceived to be particularly stress prone. The table on page 46 shows the strength of some of these risk factors in relation to mental illness in the United Kingdom.

The clinical interview schedule is a semistructured standardised clinical interview for use in epidemiological studies in the community, primary care, and workplace settings. It was originally devised to be used by mental health researchers, but has since been revised for use by lay interviewers with no mental health training.

Consequences
The development of mental illness is often followed by a series of psychosocial problems. Physical illness may occur, partly as a result of self destructive behaviour. Suicide is now the tenth leading cause of death worldwide. A descent in the social order is common, and with this comes poverty and secondary effects on social relationships, especially family ones. These potential developments are paralleled by effects on working life—for example, loss of job status or unemployment. The employer incurs the costs of sickness absence, impaired productivity, and increased devotion of time to human resources issues. The table shows the high level of social disability associated with mental disorder, both psychotic and non-psychotic.

The role of the employer
Whether or not a person’s illness is contributed to by work, their workplace bears the consequences of the illness in terms of reduced productivity, sickness absence, labour turnover, accidents, and so on. It should be in the employer’s interest to provide a good working environment, supportive if necessary, and to enlist some kind of occupational health service to detect and, sometimes, to help rehabilitate people with mental disorders in collaboration with other health and social agencies. In fact, such is the negative attitude of employers towards potential employees with such an illness that, far from offering support, they usually attempt instead to exclude. Mental disorders that have a substantial impact on everyday life are regarded as disabilities in the United Kingdom, and employers are forbidden to discriminate unreasonably against such people when offering employment. Instead, adjustments to working life must be entertained.

Less serious disorders that have little influence on everyday life, and drug and alcohol dependence, which are not covered by the Disability Discrimination Act, may nevertheless cause immense problems for employers and fellow employees. Mental disorders can be screened for but, rather like back pain, the lifetime prevalence is so high that excluding candidates with a history of mental disorder will simply reduce the potential workforce to unmanageable levels. Certain conditions, if declared, do probably render applicants potentially unfit for certain occupations: psychotic illness, personality disorder, and substance abuse for the caring professions; personality disorder and dependency disorders in safety sensitive jobs.

The role of Government
To support a successful economy and to make an appropriate contribution to the prevention of discrimination against people with mental illness, government agencies and other national bodies may need to take action on environmental conditions at work; access to employment, including sheltered employment for those who need it; opportunities for employment rehabilitation; the promotion of workplace mental health

Mental disorder is already prevalent within the workplace. Working conditions are known to have a considerable influence on mental health. Therefore, to minimise the damage from this source to both employees and employers, the most sensible course would seem to be for employers to institute mental health policies as part of their human resources framework.

Workplace mental health policy
A workplace mental health policy is agreed between employers, employees, and their representatives—for example, trade unions, and includes:

- A statement that the organisation is committed to a course of action which might include
  - increased understanding of causes of mental health problems in the workforce
  - action to combat workplace stressors and helping staff to manage their stress
  - action to manage mental health problems effectively through early recognition and appropriate management
  - action to manage the return to work of those who have suffered mental health problems to ensure their skills are not lost to the enterprise
- Commitment to a healthy workforce, placing a huge value on both physical and mental health
- Acknowledging that mental health problems may have many causes, including stressors in the workplace and in the outside world
- Listing factors that may lead to increased stress levels in the organisation (customised, based on discussion with staff and needs assessment)
- Recognising that domestic factors (such as housing, family problems, and bereavement) may add to levels of stress experienced by employees

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Difficulties in activities of daily living in household samples</th>
<th>% with any difficulties</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>People assessed as having ...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicidal thoughts in the past week</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable psychosis in the past year</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurosis in the past week</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None of the above</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...
policies; and the provision of occupational health for the workforce. This is especially important now that many governments encourage the return to work of those who have suffered mental health problems, as well as those recovering from physical illness as part of “welfare to work” schemes. In times of full employment this may well increase the proportion of those at work who are psychiatrically vulnerable.

The role of schools in supporting subsequent occupational health initiatives

Besides their primary educational role, schools are important settings for mental health promotion. They can teach children important life skills aimed at reducing acute and chronic social stressors and enhancing social supports, all of which have a direct influence on mental health, and which may be expected to influence subsequent mental health in adult working life. Thus, employers as a body have an interest in encouraging mental health promotion in schools in the same way that they encourage mathematical and literacy skills, as well as physical health. Such mental health promotion should include teaching of coping skills, citizenship skills, exam skills and techniques, stress management, achieving potential in relationships and working situations, recognising and combating bullying, learning to say no to risky behaviours, and education about parenting and child rearing in collaboration with a health education and addiction programme.

The role of health professionals

Health professionals, including occupational health professionals, need to be adept at detecting and assessing mental health problems in the workplace. Managers may suspect mental health problems but they cannot be expected to diagnose or assess them, and they need help from health professionals in understanding and managing them. An occupational physician should be able to take an adequate psychiatric history, identify any possible physical agents responsible or stressors (in or out of work), and then perform a mental state examination to complete a risk assessment.

An occupational health professional’s most important and unique contributions to helping manage people at work who have had or are experiencing mental health problems are to try to reduce stigma and discrimination, foster an understanding among managers and work colleagues, and advise on adjustments to the workplace when employees decompensate or when they return after a period off work because of mental illness.

The high rate of suicidal thoughts in people with depression means that teaching good assessment and management techniques to health and social care professionals should be a priority, as should national and local action to minimise environmental risk factors for suicide.

Common mental disorders that may present in the workplace

**Mixed anxiety or depression**

Mixed anxiety or depression is the commonest disorder seen in occupational settings. People with this disorder may present with one or more physical symptoms—for example, various pains, poor sleep, and fatigue, accompanied by a variety of psychological symptoms. It is a prime cause of absence from
work “due to stress.” Together with related states, it contributes considerably to the disability accompanying musculoskeletal disorders, especially back pain, and to fatigue states.

**Depression**

Depression is common, with a lifetime prevalence in the United States of 17% for a major episode. The sufferer may present with physical symptoms, irritability, anxiety or insomnia, worries about social problems such as financial or marital difficulties, increased drug or alcohol use, or (in a new mother) constant worries about her baby or fear of harming the baby. Some groups are at higher risk—for example, those who have recently given birth or had a stroke, and those with physical disorders such as Parkinson’s disease or multiple sclerosis.

**Differential diagnosis**

The differential diagnosis includes acute psychotic disorder if hallucinations or delusions are present; bipolar disorder if there is a history of manic episodes; poisoning or substance misuse if heavy alcohol or drug use has occurred; and chronic mixed anxiety-depression. Some medications may produce symptoms of depression (for example, β blockers, other antihypertensives, H2 blockers, oral contraceptives, corticosteroids). Unexplained somatic symptoms, anxiety, or alcohol or drug disorders may coexist with depression.

**Alcohol and drug misuse**

Employees (or employers) with alcohol problems may present with depression, nervousness, insomnia, physical conditions such as peptic ulcer, gastritis, liver disease, hypertension, accidents or injuries, poor memory or concentration, and evidence of self neglect (for example, poor hygiene). They may be people in whom treatment for depression has failed. Patients may also have legal and social problems resulting from alcohol—for example, marital problems, domestic violence, child abuse, or missed work. Signs of alcohol withdrawal may be present—for example, sweating, tremors, morning sickness, hallucinations, and seizures. Those with alcohol problems often deny or are unaware of their problems, and it may be others who request professional help.

**Management by the occupational health department**

Employees may be referred with a suspicion of an alcohol problem or the possibility may be raised at the first interview. Assessment may be aided by simple well validated screening questionnaires such as the CAGE questionnaire and, for less excessive but still harmful drinking, the alcohol use disorders identification test (AUDIT) questionnaire.

The assessment should be conducted in a straightforward non-judgmental way and cover drinking pattern, amount, type, circumstances, and duration, as well as symptoms; convictions for drink driving should be specifically asked about. Laboratory tests may help diagnosis but have a limited use in isolation. They can help in patient education and in monitoring alcohol reduction, as can a drink diary.

**Managing alcohol problems at work**

This is best done in the context of an alcohol and drugs policy at work, which will always include a ban on the use of illegal drugs at work but which may have a variable attitude to alcohol at work, perhaps allowing alcohol to individuals whose jobs are not safety sensitive, for social occasions, or after the working day is over, etc. Whatever the policy, it needs to be signed up to.

---

**Diagnostic features of depression**

- Low or sad mood
- Loss of interest or pleasure
- At least four of the following:
  - disturbed sleep
  - disturbed appetite
  - guilt or low self worth
  - pessimism or hopelessness about future
  - decreased libido
  - diurnal mood variation
  - poor concentration
  - suicidal thoughts or acts
  - loss of self confidence
  - fatigue or loss of energy
  - agitation or slowing of movement or speech
- Symptoms of anxiety or nervousness are also frequently present

**Essential information about depression for patient, family, work colleagues, and managers**

- Depression is a common illness and effective treatments are available
- Depression is not weakness or laziness
- Depression can affect a person’s ability to cope

Information leaflets or audiotapes can be used to reinforce the information

**Alcohol dependency**

The presence of three or more of the following suggests alcohol dependency

- Strong desire or compulsion to use alcohol
- Difficulty controlling alcohol use
- Withdrawal (anxiety, tremors, sweating, hallucinations) when drinking has ceased
- Tolerance—drinking large amounts of alcohol without appearing intoxicated
- Continued alcohol use despite harmful consequences

**Presentation of alcohol problems at work**

- Poor attendance—frequent sickness absence, certified or uncertified—may be regular—for example, after weekends or breaks
- Lateness for work
- Poor performance—mistakes, slowness, poor judgement, frequent mishaps
- Prolonged lunch hours, afternoon sleepiness
- Poor personal hygiene, scruffiness, smelling of alcohol
- Irrational or noisy behaviour, inappropriate comments, irritability
- Frequent disappearances during the day
- Signs of violence—cuts and bruises
- Dishonesty or deviousness
- Frequent sickness absence because of gastrointestinal upsets
by management and workers’ representatives. If there is an Occupational Health Department or some kind of welfare service, then referrals by managers or individuals themselves for alcohol related problems should be possible, and the condition treated initially as a health problem, and only when there is refusal or inability to stop or reduce drinking to reasonable levels are disciplinary procedures invoked. Time off work as sick leave may be required. Referral to a general practitioner or alcohol misuse specialist will be necessary. Compliance with undertakings can be managed by an occupational health department, using random testing if required. The same process can be used for employees who use illegal drugs, although the very illegality of the drugs can lead to disciplinary measures much more quickly.

Both alcohol and drug abuse are chronic conditions, and any employer or Occupational Health Service has to realise the high probability of relapse, although research shows that rehabilitation is more likely to be successful when the problem is dealt with in a work context when the individual is threatened with potential job loss. Early recognition, assessment, and active management of the situation also help.

Alcohol and drug abuse is a serious problem for society and is clearly increasing in incidence; it is also a huge problem for employers. The yearly cost to industry of alcohol misuse has been estimated at about £3 billion in the United Kingdom through accidents, reduced productivity, and absenteeism. Hangovers alone have been estimated to cost industry £50-100 million.

Drugs of abuse other than alcohol can have serious effects on performance, probity, and so on. Testing for drugs at pre-employment or randomly is practised in some safety sensitive industries. The testing has to be done using proper chain of custody techniques and in the context of an agreed drugs policy, which may or may not allow for rehabilitation while still employed. Employers and occupational health professionals who undertake coercive testing for drugs of abuse must ask themselves whether by instituting this programme they are trying to exclude “undesirables” from this workplace or to identify those who, while under the influence of drugs, may present a safety or security risk? This issue raises concerns about human rights.

Women’s issues
Women, by virtue of their increased exposure to acute life events, chronic social stresses, lower social status and income, and smaller social networks, are often particularly vulnerable to common mental disorders. This is reflected, hardly surprisingly, in higher rates of sickness absence because of psychological causes. Disorders associated with menstruation, pregnancy, and childbirth are additional disorders specific to women. New mothers often feel pressured to return to work early after childbirth, and one of the most important preventive actions that can be taken in the mental health arena is to recognize postnatal illness and ensure adequate and prompt treatment.

Eating disorders
An eating disorder may be declared at a pre-employment screening. The two main types, anorexia and bulimia, of which the latter is more common, occur mainly in young women. An individual may present with binge eating and extreme weight control measures such as self induced vomiting, and excessive use of diet pills and laxatives, usually covert. In the case of employees, management may ask occupational health professionals for help because of concerns about an inappropriate weight loss or weight gain. Patients with eating disorders should be referred to one of the occupational health department or some kind of welfare organization for treatment. Patients with eating disorders should be referred to the occupational health department or some kind of welfare organization for treatment.

CAGE questionnaire
Four questions:
• Have you ever felt you ought to Cut down on your drinking?
• Have people Annoyed you by criticising your drinking?
• Have you ever felt bad or Guilty about your drinking?
• Have you ever had a drink first thing in the morning to steady your nerves or get rid of a hangover (“Eye-opener”)?

Over 90% of dependent drinkers answer “yes” to two or more of these questions.

Alcohol use disorders identification test (AUDIT)

Management of alcohol dependence
Essential information for employees, managers, and families
• Alcohol dependence is an illness with serious consequences
• Ceasing or reducing alcohol use brings mental and physical benefits
• Drinking during pregnancy may harm the baby
• For people with alcohol dependence, physical complications of alcohol abuse or psychiatric disorder, abstinence from alcohol is the preferred goal
• In some cases of harmful alcohol use without dependence, or where the individual is unwilling to quit, controlled or reduced drinking is a reasonable goal
• Relapses are common. Controlling or stopping drinking often requires several attempts. Outcome depends on the motivation and confidence of the patient

Advice and support to patient and family
• Discuss costs and benefits of drinking from individual’s perspective
• Give feedback about health risks, including the results of gamma glutaryl transferase and mean corpuscular volume measurements
• Emphasise personal responsibility for change and give clear advice
• Consider targeted counselling

For patients willing to stop now
• Set a definite day to quit
• Discuss symptoms and management of alcohol withdrawal (may require time off or even hospitalisation)
• Discuss strategies to avoid or cope with high risk situations (for example, how to face stressful events without alcohol, ways to respond to friends or colleagues who still drink)
• Help identify colleagues, friends, and family who will support ceasing alcohol use
• Discuss support after withdrawal
• Mention self help organisations such as Alcoholics Anonymous, which are often helpful

Concern has arisen that a history of such disorders makes candidates unsuitable for caring professions such as nursing or school teaching, but this is not necessarily the case. In this context, attention should be paid to any accompanying behavioural disorders including self harm—for example, and personality disorders, rather than uncomplicated eating disorders.
individual’s weight loss. Both anorexia and bulimia may present as physical disorders (for example, seizures or cardiac arrhythmias) that may have employment consequences and need treatment. Bulimia is, in general, a much more transitory condition with a better record of successful treatment. Anorexia nervosa is often more chronic and intractable and may involve prolonged sickness absence because of hospitalisation.

Bipolar disorder

Patients may present with a period of depression, mania, or excitement, or referral may be made by others because of the individual’s lack of insight.

The diagnostic features of bipolar disorder are given in the box. Periods of either mania or depression may predominate. Episodes may alternate often or may be separated by periods of normal mood. In severe cases, patients may have hallucinations (hearing voices or seeing visions) or delusions (strange or illogical beliefs) during periods of mania or depression. The differential diagnosis includes poisoning or drug or alcohol misuse, which may cause similar symptoms.

Individuals often enter the hypomanic state rapidly, with danger to themselves and to others at work, especially if their job is safety sensitive. Some kind of early warning system should be instituted by the Occupational Health Department with the individual’s consent and the cooperation of managers or sympathetic work colleagues.

High risk occupations

Certain occupations are at high risk for work related mental illness (and, incidentally, for fatigue states). These include occupations such as teaching, nursing, and the police force where there is a need for emotional commitment in the personal problems of other people and where there are considerable staff shortages, high demand, and poor locus of control.

Certain occupations are also at high risk for suicide. These include vets, doctors, dentists, pharmacists, and farmers—they have greater access to the means of suicide and better knowledge about effective methods of suicide, as well as being in demanding occupations.

Health professionals lead stressful lives, and epidemiological studies have confirmed the high levels of depression and anxiety in healthcare staff, indicating the need to address the support of this key group.

Employers are becoming increasingly worried—mainly for legal reasons—about the effect of demanding work on the mental health of vulnerable employees. This is a contentious area with little in the way of legal precedent but one where advice is frequently asked of occupational health professionals. Careful psychological assessment, knowledge of the job stressors, and a traditional risk assessment offer the best way forward. Attempts have been made, using a partially evidence based approach, to define health standards, including medical criteria, for entry into certain demanding professions—the armed forces, medicine, nursing, teaching, and civil emergency services. This can be helpful.

The potential for violence and bullying at work has also concerned employers, but such behaviour does not in fact usually emanate from those with mental illness but from those with problematic personality types or drug and alcohol problems.

### Diagnostic features of bipolar disorder

#### Periods of mania characterised by
- Increased energy and activity
- Elevated mood or irritability
- Rapid speech
- Loss of inhibitions
- Decreased need for sleep
- Increased importance of self
- Persistent distraction

#### Periods of depression characterised by
- Low or sad mood
- Loss of interest or pleasure
- Disturbed sleep
- Guilt or low self worth
- Fatigue or loss of energy
- Poor concentration
- Disturbed appetite
- Suicidal thoughts or acts

### Further reading

- World Health Organization collaborating centre for research and training for mental Health, eds. WHO guide to mental health in primary care. London: Royal Society of Medicine Press, 2000. This is a pocket guide for the assessment, diagnosis, management, and criteria for referral of common mental disorders at primary care level. It has been specifically tailored for the United Kingdom by the WHO Collaborating Centre at the Institute of Psychiatry and contains the evidence base, resources including voluntary agencies, and a discussion of how to audit training needs.
- Andrews A, Jenkins R. Management of mental disorders. Aldershot: Datapress, 2000. This is a two volume manual for the management of mental disorders, and is suitable for all members of the multidisciplinary team. It contains specific guidance on psychological therapies.
- Jenkins R. Minor psychiatric morbidity and labour turnover. Br J Ind Med 1985;42:534-9. The paper shows that the presence of minor psychiatric morbidity (depression and anxiety) is associated with substantially increased labour turnover, with associated costs for employers.
- Jenkins R. Minor psychiatric morbidity in civil servants and its contribution to sickness absence. Br J Ind Med 1985;42:147-54. This paper describes the substantial association between minor psychiatric morbidity and sickness absence both retrospectively (the 12 months before the assessment) and prospectively (the 12 months after the assessment), again with associated costs for employers.
- Jenkins R, Coney N. Promoting mental health at work. London: HMSO, 1992. These two books look at the business case for action to develop mental health policies in the workplace, from the CBI and TUC perspective, and examines a range of good practice examples from different companies.
- Department of Health. ABC of mental health in the workplace. London: HMSO, 1996. This government publication sets out the key elements of a workplace mental health policy.
- Miller DM, Lipsedge M, Litchfield P, eds. Work and Mental Health—an employer’s guide. Gaskell and Faculty of Occupational Medicine, 2002. Straightforward and comprehensive account of the impact of mental health problems on work and how to deal with them.
The third area of concern is safety. The potential problems with psychotic or dementing employees and employees who misuse drugs or alcohol will be obvious. The assessment of less serious mental disorders and their relation to safety is a job for the occupational physician using a similar approach to that described for assessing those entering demanding jobs. Psychotropic medication often affects cognition, especially at the beginning of treatment; drowsiness and lack of concentration are common and should be anticipated.

Mental ill health at work is likely to become the dominant occupational health issue of the future. There is enormous scope for research and enormous need for public education and the destigmatisation of mental illness.

10 Human factors
Deborah Lucas

The term “human factors” is often invoked after an accident, whether a minor incident in the workplace or a major disaster entailing significant loss of life. In many respects “human factors” is regarded by the layman as being synonymous with “human failure”—an unavoidable aspect of the human condition. Although there is a long list of major accidents across all hazardous industrial sectors where human failures were causal factors, this is not to imply that human errors are inevitable. Research over the past 20 years has shown much about the origins of different types of error and the best means of reducing their occurrence. However, the loss of life in disasters such as the Clapham Junction rail crash in 1988, the Southall and Ladbroke Grove train crashes in 1997 and 1999, respectively, and the sinking of the Herald of Free Enterprise in 1987 are high in the British public’s mind. All of these disasters had human factors as a cause: a maintenance worker not disconnecting a wire, a train driver passing a red danger signal, and a bosun failing to close the bow doors of a ferry. The nuclear industry faced up to the issue of human factors after Three Mile Island in 1979 and the Chernobyl accident in 1986. The oil sector recognised the issue after the Piper Alpha tragedy in 1988. The aviation, rail, and marine transportation sectors are all actively considering the issue of human factors. Proper consideration of human factors is a key ingredient of effective health and safety management in all industrial sectors.

Modern health care is also a complex and, at times, high risk activity where adverse events are inevitable. However, a substantial proportion of adverse events results from preventable human failure by medical staff. Adverse events occur in about 10% of admissions to hospital in the United Kingdom—a rate of 850,000 adverse events a year. In the United Kingdom, 400 people die or are seriously injured every year in adverse events involving medical devices. Hospital acquired infections are estimated to cost the NHS nearly £1 billion every year, but about 15% of such infections may be avoidable. In the United States it is estimated that between 44,000 and 98,000 people die annually because of medical errors. Yet health care is not unique. There are many parallels with other high risk sectors, which have been examining the need to reduce human failures in complex systems for over two decades.

Definition

Human factors are often described as the thread that runs through all the key health and safety management issues, and numerous definitions of human factors and the related term ergonomics exist. The definition given by the UK Health and Safety Executive is “Human factors refer to environmental, organisational and job factors, and human and individual characteristics, which influence behaviour at work in a way that can affect health and safety.” Key elements have been identified by psychologists and ergonomists after an incident or accident, and in the military field human factors programmes explicitly consider six aspects or domains during the design or procurement of a system. These domains have been found to be useful in other industrial contexts.

Examples of human failures in medicine

- A patient is inadvertently given a drug that they are known to be allergic to
- A clinician misreads the results of a test
- A child receives an adult dose of a toxic drug
- A patient is given medicine that has a similar sounding name to that prescribed
- A toxic drug is administered by the wrong route—for example, intrathecally
- A heart attack is not diagnosed by emergency room staff in an older patient with ambiguous symptoms

Common errors relating to drugs

- Unavailable drug information (for example, lack of up to date warnings)
- Miscommunication of drug orders (for example, through poor handwriting, confusion between drugs with similar names, misuse of zeros and decimal points, confusion between milligrams and micrograms)
- Incomplete patient information (such as not knowing about other medicines they are taking)
- Lack of suitable labelling when a drug is repackaged into smaller units
- Workplace factors that distract medical staff from their immediate tasks (such as poor lighting, heat, noise, and interruptions)
Human failure

Research across industries has shown much about the types of human failure and the underlying psychological mechanisms. A key distinction can be made between unintended human errors and deliberate rule violations. However, even deliberate violations can result from system pressures such as shortage of time because of a lack of staff, or the correct equipment not being available. In high hazard industries it is no longer acceptable to attribute a safety incident just to a “human error” with the assumption that this was somehow beyond the control of managers and safety management systems. A detailed

## Human factors considered in the development of military systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Issues to consider</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staffing</td>
<td>How many people are needed to operate and maintain the system?</td>
<td>Workload</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Job descriptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Staffing levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Team organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>What human characteristics, including aptitudes and experience, are</td>
<td>Selection and recruitment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>needed to operate and maintain the system?</td>
<td>Career development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Required qualifications, competences, and experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Specific characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>What is the best way to develop and maintain the required knowledge,</td>
<td>Training needs analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>skills, and abilities to operate and maintain the system?</td>
<td>Documentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Team training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skill maintenance and update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human factors</td>
<td>How can human factors be built into the system design to optimise</td>
<td>Equipment design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>engineering</td>
<td>human performance?</td>
<td>Workstation design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Workplace layout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>User interface design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maintenance access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health hazards</td>
<td>What are the short term and long term health hazards from operation</td>
<td>Minimising exposure to health hazards such as toxic materials, electricity,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of the system?</td>
<td>musculoskeletal injury, noise and vibration, extremes of temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System safety</td>
<td>How can safety risks that humans might cause when operating or</td>
<td>Sources of human errors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>maintaining the system be avoided?</td>
<td>Effects of misuse of equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Abnormal and emergency situations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Typical causes of human failures in accidents

### Job factors
- Illogical design of equipment and instruments
- Constant disturbances and interruptions
- Missing or unclear instructions
- High workload
- Noisy and unpleasant working conditions

### Individual attributes
- Low skill and competence levels
- Tired staff
- Bored or disheartened staff
- Individual medical or fitness problems

### Organisational aspects
- High work pressure because of poor work planning
- Poor health and safety culture
- One way communications (messages sent but no checks to ensure they are received or are appropriate)
- Lack of safety systems and barriers
- Inadequate responses to previous incidents
in investigation into the causes of incidents involving human failure will show a number of immediate and underlying causes and contributing factors. Many of these will be problems with organisational systems rather than with the individual member of staff.

Control measures

There is no “magic bullet” for the problems of human fallibility. However, thoughtful, multifaceted approaches can reduce the probability of human failures leading to serious consequences. In medicine, knowledge and tools to enhance patient safety are emerging, and much can be learnt from other industries, particularly the high hazard sectors such as the nuclear industry, aviation, and transportation.

Designing for people

Many sources of human error can be removed through effective design of equipment and procedures. Such “error tolerant” designs consider the tasks that the equipment is intended for and the errors the user may make. To give an example, in the early days of automatic teller machines, the user’s bank card was returned to them by the machine after their cash had been issued. Banks found that many people took their money but forgot to take their card. This error was prevented by returning the card before the cash appeared.

Consideration of human factors is an important aspect of overall design and equipment procurement, and should be considered early in the design process. If left too late, then complicated procedures, added warnings, and requests for the user to “take care” can be the unfortunate result. Compliance with instructions and procedures differs according to the situation, the risks, the element of personal choice, and the probability of being detected. Written warnings are usually noticed, read less often, and complied with infrequently.

Poorly designed equipment can directly influence the chance of human errors occurring. For example, the layout of controls and displays can influence safety if switches are placed so that they can inadvertently be knocked on or off, if controls are poorly identified and can be selected by mistake, or when critical displays are not in the user’s normal field of view. The controls of different equipment may not be compatible: for example, a switch in the up position may be “on” in one

Case study: reducing errors in the administration of intravenous heparin

The intravenous administration of heparin (an anticoagulant) is a complex procedure, and this drug has been the subject of serious drug errors. A US hospital wanted to reduce errors in the administration of heparin in cardiac care units. They developed a form that combined the ordering and recording of the use of heparin. In addition, they improved communication with the hospital laboratory, converted all heparin protocols to pharmacy managed protocols, introduced pre-typed heparin orders and the double-checking of pump programming, and encouraged the use of low molecular weight heparin instead of standard heparin. These control measures were claimed to have reduced drug errors by 66%

Examples of ergonomic criteria for procuring equipment

- Does the equipment suit the body size of all users?
- Can users see and hear all they need to easily?
- Is it easy to understand the information displayed?
- Would the equipment cause discomfort if used for any length of time?
- Is it easy to learn how to use the equipment? Are instructions and any warning signs clear? Is the language used appropriate for the users?
- What errors may occur? Can these be detected easily, and corrected?
- Is the equipment compatible with other systems in use?
- Can users reach controls easily?
- Can users move safely between operating positions?
- Is the equipment too noisy, does it vibrate too much, is it paced too fast?

“Human beings make mistakes because the systems, tasks, and processes they work in are poorly designed”

Dr Lucian Leape, testifying to the US President’s Commission on Consumer Protection and Quality in Health Care

Arrangement of controls on a lathe and the “ideal” operator, who should have the following dimensions—4 feet 6 inches tall, shoulders 2 feet across, and an 8 foot arm span!
case but “off” in another. Alarm systems may be designed so that high priority alarms are not clearly differentiated and are thus easily missed.

Designing tasks, equipment, and workplaces to suit the users can prevent or reduce human errors and thus reduce accidents and ill health. A key message is that effective use of ergonomics will make work safer and more productive.

**Sleep and human performance**

Although it is often feasible to prevent human failures by the effective design of jobs and equipment, in other situations human performance problems may arise as the result of fatigue, shiftwork, poor communications, lack of experience, or inadequate risk perception. These aspects all need to be managed effectively to reduce the potential risks. One of the most commonly cited problems is lack of sleep for staff carrying out safety critical tasks. The decision to launch the Challenger space shuttle was partly attributed to the effects of fatigue on the decision making team. The rail crash at Selby in the United Kingdom in 2001 occurred because a car driver fell asleep and drove onto a railway line.

A significant proportion of road traffic accidents occur between 2 am and 5 am and are attributed to drivers falling asleep at the wheel. As we are not a nocturnal species, this is the time when our biological clock programmes us to sleep. Such circadian rhythms are hard to adjust to, even when working regular night shifts. Many people work shift systems, do night work, or work very extended hours including significant levels of overtime. Such working patterns can have adverse effects on their health as well as being associated with poorer performance on tasks that need attention or sustained vigilance, decision making, or high levels of skill. Sleep is a powerful biological need, and night work or certain shift systems can disrupt both the quantity and the quality of sleep. Sleeping during the day is never as satisfactory as sleeping at night. Sleep loss of just a few hours over a few days can lead to a build up of a sleep debt and reduced performance, but the person may not be aware of this.

A large body of research on shiftwork exists, but often the findings are not put into practice. Working patterns are usually seen as matters to be negotiated between employees and the employer, and additional overtime can be perceived as a financial advantage, and not as a potential health and safety issue. However, in high hazard industries awareness of the relation between sleepiness and accidents is growing.

**Organisational influences**

A number of factors within an organisation are associated with good safety performance. These affect not only human factors issues but also the “safety culture” of the organisation. A “culture” means shared attitudes, beliefs, and ways of behaving. An effective culture will be shown through good ways of informing and consulting all staff, recognition that everyone has a role to play in safety, visible commitment by managers to involving all staff, cooperation between members of the workforce, open two way communications, and high quality of training. The organisation that continually improves its own methods, and learns from mistakes (including accidents and “near misses”) will tend to have a better safety performance than one that blames individuals for “being careless” when accidents happen.

---

**The relationship between sleepiness and accidents: best practice approaches to managing the problem**

- Plan shift rosters to take biological rhythms into account
- Set limits for maximum hours of duty and time needed for recovery afterwards
- Educate shift workers on sleep routines, nutrition, and exercise
- Make environmental changes to the workplace including lighting, temperature, and comfort level, which can all influence alertness
- Plan safety critical tasks to avoid night shifts
- Provide medical advice for shift workers
- Recognise the possibility of true sleep disorders (sleep apnoea, narcolepsy) and referral for investigation and treatment

---

High hazard industries are becoming increasingly aware of the importance of proper consideration of human factors

The Herald of Free Enterprise sank because no effective system was in place to ensure the bow doors were closed
**Key principles**

Human factors is a broad concept that can be seen as too complex or difficult to do anything about. However, there are five key principles to be remembered, and these are ones that many regulatory bodies are promoting:

- Recognise that people do not make mistakes because of “carelessness” and accept that even the most experienced members of staff are vulnerable to unintentional errors.
- Learn from adverse events including “near misses.” Understand that usually there will be no single cause of an incident but a number of causes and contributing factors.
- Anticipate the influences on human performance. Key themes will include time pressure, experience, staffing levels, fatigue, and risk communications.
- Defend against paths to failure. In particular, appreciate the role of designing equipment and systems that are error tolerant.
- Encourage a “culture of safety.”

**Further reading**

- van der Schaaf TW, Shea CE. MECCA: Incident reporting lessons from industry applied to the medical domain. Conference on examining errors in health care, California: Rancho Mirage, 1996
- Institute of Medicine. To err is human: building a safer health system. Washington DC: IOM, 1999. Significant US report on medical errors; draws attention to the scale of the problem of potentially avoidable events that result in unintended harm to patients
- Building a safer NHS for patients. London: NHS Publications, 2001. Describes how promoting patient safety by reducing error is becoming a key priority of health services around the world. Sets out steps to implement a programme to reduce the impact of error within the NHS
- Noyes J. Designing for humans. London: Taylor and Francis. 2001. Overview of human-machine interaction and the design of environments at work, with focus on health and safety at work
The use of the term “physical agents” is not always clear. Sometimes it is taken to mean dusts and fibres whose effects are determined by their physical properties as well as their chemical composition. However, the term usually refers either to those agents that impart energy to the body by physical means (for example, the effects of radiation, heat, or noise and vibration), or to the effects of environments that differ in their physical characteristics from that existing at ground level on dry land (for example, found in diving and compressed air work, at altitude, and in flight).

The body offers some protection against physical agents experienced in the normal environment, such as heat and radiation—for example, by the physiological changes of heat acclimatisation or, at a cellular level, the operation of DNA repair mechanisms. Such mechanisms are limited in their effectiveness and can be overwhelmed if challenged by an exposure of sufficient magnitude. Even in artificial environments, such as work in compressed air tunnelling or high accelerations in flight, it is possible that a certain amount of physiological adaptation can take place. For example, the incidence of decompression illness often reduces after the first few days of exposure of a work force tunnelling in compressed air—an effect that is thought to be a form of acclimatisation—and some G tolerance can develop with physical fitness training.

Many physical agents have a threshold of exposure below which the body is unlikely to be harmed. Beyond that, it is necessary to restrict exposures, often by administrative controls such as limiting the duration of exposure (as in work rest schedules in the heat), providing shielding or protective clothing and equipment, or limiting the potential for harm by procedures such as staged decompression. Exposures must be carefully managed as some physical agents can kill within quite short periods.

Before exposure to hot, cold, or hyperbaric environments it is important to ensure that individuals have no predisposition to suffer from the effects of the environment. Fitness standards may be available, published by a variety of agencies. For ionising radiation it is important to know that individuals are medically fit for the type of work that they are expected to perform. (They may need to wear protective equipment—for example.)

### Heat

Regulation of the central (core) body temperature is an essential physiological function—core temperature must be within the range 36-38°C for the body to perform efficiently. In the face of heat gain from the environment or as a result of exercise, the body defends the core temperature by vasodilatation (increasing skin blood flow) and by sweating.

If heat gain is greater than heat loss by the evaporation of sweat, convective cooling, and thermal radiation, then the body stores heat. As it does so, the temperature of the brain and central organs (such as the liver)—the core temperature—increases and this threatens the survival of the individual. Eventually external cooling must be provided to prevent death. Heat hyperpyrexia (heat stroke) is the most serious effect of exposure to heat. It is generally characterised by a body

---

**The effects of physical agents have been well studied, and for many of these exposure criteria are now established at an international level. Fatalities are only likely to occur where established safety procedures are broken**

**Authorities that set exposure standards for physical agents**

- International Standards Organisation (ISO)
- American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH)
- International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP)
- International Commission on Non-Ionising Radiation Protection (ICNIRP)
- Other national, transnational, and international authorities

---

**The principles of managing work in hot environments**

- An assessment of the risk should be undertaken and ways sought to reduce the environmental heat load, paying attention to humidity and radiant heat, as well as air temperature
- Individuals should be screened for medical conditions that may predispose to heat illness, and should be physically fit, well hydrated, and ideally below 40 years of age
- Work-rest regimes should be established from published standards and adhered to, with regular opportunities taken for the worker to cool down
- Workers should be educated about heat illnesses, and first aid facilities should be available
- In planning work, the state of acclimatisation of the workers and the resistance to heat loss provided by their clothing has to be taken into account

---

**Heat acclimatisation increases the magnitude of these responses. Any factor that impairs either the circulation or the ability to sweat will compromise thermoregulation**
temperature of 40-41°C, an altered level of consciousness, and a hot dry skin resulting from failure of the sweating mechanism. These features are not invariable, however, so treatment should not be delayed if heat stroke is suspected.

Heat exhaustion results from a combination of thermal and cardiovascular strain. The individual is tired and may stumble, and has a rapid pulse and respiration rate. The condition may develop into heat stroke if not treated by rest, cooling, and fluids. Other effects are heat syncope (fainting), heat edema, (often in the unacclimatised), heat cramps, and heat rash (prickly heat). Working in high temperatures can also result in fatigue and an increased risk of accidents.

Workers in fire and rescue services may be exposed to extreme heat in an unpredictable manner. Their safety depends on proper selection, training, and monitoring of the duration of exposure. Personal heat stress monitors are not yet widely available, but their use in these circumstances may confer some benefit.

Cold

In cold conditions the problem is to balance heat produced by physical activity with heat lost to the environment. The rate of heat loss depends on the insulation of the clothing and the external climate, including air temperature and wind velocity. The windchill index (derived in units of kcal/m²/hour) relates to the risk of freezing of superficial tissues, and this, or the related chilling temperature (expressed in °C), is quite widely used as a measure of the discomfort of cold conditions.

The insulation of clothing may be impaired by moisture in the form of condensed sweat or by precipitation. Protection is generally easier in cold dry environments such as mountains or arctic regions than in cold wet conditions. The protection of individuals who are active in cold wet environments, and who need waterproof external garments, is only partly solved by the introduction of “breathable” fabrics. A particular problem occurs in those environments where there is a risk of immersion in cold water, with resulting catastrophic loss of insulation. Where this risk can be anticipated—for example, in helicopter flights over water, protective immersion suits should be used.

Large numbers of workers are employed indoors in conditions of moderate to severe cold, mostly in food preparation and storage. Only a few people are exposed to cold in scientific and testing laboratories. Cold stores can operate at temperatures as low as −30°C. Workers in cold stores must be provided with proper insulated clothing, and they must have regular breaks in warm conditions. A major problem in severe cold, indoors or outside, is to keep the hands and feet warm. The necessary insulation is bulky, which is less of a problem for footwear than for hand wear. Mitts provide better thermal protection than gloves, but limit dexterity.

Indoors, in moderately cold conditions—that is, temperatures below 15°C, it may also be hard to maintain comfort of the extremities, and exposure to draughts can be particularly troublesome. Limited evidence indicates that workers regularly exposed to cold conditions such as these may have worse than average general health.

Serious hypothermia should not occur in occupational settings. If there is a risk, people should not work alone, should have good communications with others, and should be trained in first aid management of the effects of cold. Hypothermia is treated by slow rewarming using the individual’s own metabolism, and copious insulation, possibly supplemented by body heat from another person.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups of people at risk from heat illness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Unacclimatised workers in the tropics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Workers in hot industries who have had a break from exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Workers with an intercurrent illness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Workers in the emergency services—for example, fire or mines rescue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• People undertaking very heavy physical activity—for example, military recruits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• People working even moderately hard at normal temperatures in all enveloping protective clothing—for example, fire crews dealing with chemical spills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Older people and the very young when ambient temperatures are raised for prolonged periods</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The wet bulb globe temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The wet bulb globe temperature (WBGT) index is an index of heat stress. It is derived from the natural wet bulb temperature (WB), the dry bulb temperature (DB), and the globe temperature (GT) (a measure of radiant heating) in the ratio:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBGT = 0.7WB + 0.2GT + 0.1DB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The WBGT index is measured using a “Christmas tree” array of thermometers, or purpose built electronic sensors and integrating apparatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The index was originally derived to protect troops exercising outdoors by relating environmental conditions to the risk of heat illness. It has since been developed and used extensively in industry and is the basis for International Standard 7243 and guidance by the ACGIH. These documents give upper boundaries of WBGT value for continuous and intermittent work of different intensities. Other standards apply in relation to thermal comfort—for example, ISO 7730</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heat stroke</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heat stroke is a medical emergency. The body temperature should be lowered by tepid sponging and fanning with cool air. Intravenous fluids may be necessary. The following may predispose to heat exhaustion and heat stroke:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Obesity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lack of fitness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Age 50 years or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Drug or alcohol abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• History of heat illness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Drug treatment (for example, antihistamines, tricyclic antidepressants, or antipsychotics)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pre-existing disease of cardiovascular system, skin, gastrointestinal tract, or renal system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Frostbite in an outdoor worker
The peripheral effects of cold are frost nip, frost bite, and non-freezing cold injury. Frost nip appears as a white area on the skin, and in frost bite the appearance is of marbled white frozen tissue that is anaesthetic to touch. Treatment is by slow rewarming, often using body heat. Non-freezing cold injury often does not manifest until exposure to cold ceases, and it results in warm painful swollen extremities, usually the feet. Chilblains are a minor form of cold injury.

Ionising radiation

Ionising radiation displaces electrons from their normal orbits around the nucleus of the atom. The resulting ionisation alters the nature of biological molecules, especially DNA, resulting in gene mutation or cell death. α Small particles are relatively large and easily stopped. β Small particles are small and can penetrate up to a centimetre in tissue. Neutrons are smaller than α particles but are much more penetrating. γ Small radiation and x rays are packets of energy transmitted as electromagnetic radiation, and are highly penetrating. 

External irradiation is that arising from a source—that is, either a radiation generator, such as an x ray machine, or a radioactive substance—that is separate from the body. The irradiation ceases when the generator is switched off or the source is moved away or shielded. The body can be contaminated by particles of radioactive material that lie on the skin externally or are incorporated into the tissues, resulting in internal irradiation. The latter will persist as long as the radioactive material is in the body. Alpha emitters such as plutonium are particularly harmful sources of internal irradiation.

Large doses of ionising radiation cause death by damage to the brain, gut, and haemopoietic system. Such exposures only occur in the event of accidents or deliberate release in nuclear warfare. Lower doses can damage the skin or the lens of the eye. This may occur if sources are mishandled or exposures are prolonged—for example, in industrial radiography or interventional radiography. The direct effects of radiation are considered to have a dose threshold for their occurrence, and the severity of the effect is related to the dose received.

The stochastic effects of radiation (including the induction of cancer and hereditary effects) do not have a threshold, and the likelihood of the effect is related to the dose. Risk estimates for the stochastic effects of radiation have been derived from epidemiological studies (cancer) and animal studies (hereditary effects). The most important epidemiological data are from the Life Span Study of survivors of the atom bombs used in 1945. The risk estimates are published by a number of bodies of which the ICRP is the most influential. The ICRP also publishes dose limits derived from the risk estimates, and these are the basis of the statutory dose limits applied in many countries. Risk estimates and dose limits are regularly updated as the underlying science develops.

Workers who are substantially exposed to ionising radiation are subject to regular medical surveillance. This is to ensure that they are fit for their proposed work with radiation—for example, the need to work with unsealed sources or to use respiratory protective equipment. They are also subject to dose monitoring. Exposure to ionising radiation should be as low as reasonably practicable (ALARP) by the provision of appropriate controls, including shielding and reduction of exposure time. As legislative controls have been tightened, so the typical exposure to ionising radiation of workers has fallen. In the United Kingdom, average annual occupational doses are 1-2 millisieverts per year (about the same as background radiation).

### Conditions that preclude work in moderate to severe cold
- History of ischaemic heart disease
- Peripheral vascular disease
- Hypertension or Raynaud’s phenomenon
- Asthma
- Metabolic disorders
- Sickle cell disease
- Arthritis

### Doses and units of radiation
- Absorbed dose—the energy of ionising radiation a body absorbs, measured in gray
- Dose equivalent—an adjustment of the absorbed dose, using a quality factor for the type of radiation involved, to take account of the effectiveness of the different types of radiations in harming biological systems; measured in sieverts
- Effective dose—an integrated index of the risk of harm, derived by multiplying the dose equivalent for each of the major tissues by a weighting factor based on the tissue’s sensitivity to harm by radiation. The weighted values are summed. The unit is the sievert

### The probabilities of harm from exposure to ionising radiation derived by the ICRP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Whole population</th>
<th>Working population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatal cancers</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary disorders</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total risk</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ICRP recommends an effective dose limit of 20 mSv (averaged over a defined five year period) for workers, and 1 mSv per year for the public. Limits are also set for exposure of the eye lens, the skin, and the hands and feet. The dose limit for the fetus is the same as the public dose limit of 1 mSv a year.
Studies of large cohorts of workers occupationally exposed to radiation consistently show a healthy worker effect. Nevertheless, cases of cancer of types known to be produced by ionising radiation do occur in these populations, sometimes with a slight excess. Individuals may be compensated for such disease on the basis of presumption of origin or probability of causation.

Electromagnetic fields

Electromagnetic fields with wavelengths shorter than 0.1 mm—that is, ultraviolet and below, contain insufficient energy to break molecular bonds and so do not result in ionisation. This “non-ionising radiation” does, however, have other frequency dependent effects on biological tissues. Broad divisions of this radiation include microwave and radio frequency radiation, as well as extremely low frequency, which includes the frequencies of power distribution.

At high frequencies—for example, microwaves used in communication systems—the main effect is tissue heating, a phenomenon made use of in the microwave oven. This effect is quantified by the specific absorption rate of energy into the body, and in most situations there are unlikely to be ill effects. This might not be the case where the individual is also working hard, or is exposed to a hot environment. At lower frequencies the effects of electric and magnetic fields are considered separately. Exposure to magnetic fields can set up circulating currents within the body, which have the potential to interfere with physiological processes if sufficiently great. For example, muscle activation could potentially occur during magnetic resonance imaging. Low frequency electric fields do not penetrate the body, but can generate charges on the body surface.

Other recognised but rarer effects include the phenomenon of microwave hearing. Some people hear repeated clicks when exposed to pulsed sources of electromagnetic fields, usually radars. A visual illusion of flickering lights (magnetophosphenes) can be produced when the retina is exposed to intense magnetic fields. Exposure standards, which reflect the frequency dependence of effects, have been derived to protect against the established effects of electromagnetic fields.

Since the late 1970s there has been increasing public concern about exposure to electromagnetic fields. This was prompted by epidemiological studies of the association between childhood cancer and residential exposure to magnetic fields. In 2001 the International Agency for Research on Cancer concluded that there was limited evidence that residential magnetic fields increase the risk of childhood leukaemia, resulting in a classification of “2B” “possibly carcinogenic” for extremely low frequency magnetic fields. It is thought that any risk relates to those exposed to fields at or above 0.4 microtesla, which are relatively large. The UK Childhood Cancer Study (UKCCS), the world’s largest case control study on the causes of childhood cancer, found no evidence to support the association between residential magnetic field exposure and childhood leukaemia or other cancers. Any real effects must be very small in magnitude.

Public concern also extends to the possible effects of exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phone hand sets and base stations. In the United Kingdom an independent expert group was commissioned to study the evidence in relation to mobile phone technology. This group concluded that exposure to radio frequency radiation below the ICNIRP guidelines did not adversely affect population health, but in

### Typical magnetic and electrical fields

**Typical magnetic fields**
- Natural fields—70 microtesla (static)
- Mains power—200 nanotesla (if not close to power lines), 20 microtesla (beneath power lines)
- Electric trains—50 microtesla
- Cathode ray tubes—700 nanotesla (alternating)

**Typical electric fields**
- Natural fields—200 V/m (static)
- Mains power—100 V/m (in homes), 10 kV/m (under large power lines)
- Electric trains—300 V/m
- Cathode ray tubes—10 V/m (alternating), 15 kV/m (static)

### ICNIRP 1998 Exposure guidelines to time varying electric and magnetic fields

- These specify basic restrictions in terms of current density for the head and trunk, whole body and localised specific absorption rates, and power density
- Reference levels below which the basic restrictions are unlikely to be exceeded are specified in terms of electric field strength (E), magnetic field strength (H), magnetic flux density (B), and power density (S). These are given separately for occupational exposure and for the general public, with lower values for the latter. Reference levels are also given for contact currents from conductive objects and for induced current in any limb

### Exposure from mobile phones and base stations

- Public exposures from base stations are low; typical power densities have been measured as 1 mW/m², with maximum power densities of 10 mW/m²
- For comparison, the ICNIRP public exposure guidelines are a power density of 4.5 W/m² at 900 MHz and 9 W/m² at 1.8 GHz
- Power densities can exceed guidelines very close to the antenna, and for this reason public access to these antennae has to be controlled
- Hand sets can generate power densities of up to 200 W/m², but the resulting fields inside the body are appreciably less than those measured externally

### Units for electromagnetic fields

- Electric field strength (E)—volts per metre
- Magnetic field strength (H)—amps per metre
- Power density (S) (vector product of E and H)—watts per square metre
- Magnetic flux density (B)—Tesla (1 Tesla is about equal to 10 000 Gauss)
view of other biological evidence it concluded that it was not possible to say that exposures below current guidelines were totally without potential adverse health effects. The group therefore advocated a precautionary approach in the use of this technology—for example, suggesting that the use of mobile phones by children for non-essential calls should be discouraged.

There is no evidence that exposure to electromagnetic fields from the use of display screen equipment has any harmful effects.

Optical radiations

Optical radiation comprises ultraviolet, visible, and infrared radiation, which have wavelengths between 100 nm and 1 mm. Their harmful effects are largely restricted to the skin and the eye. Ultraviolet radiation is implicated in non-melanoma and melanoma cancers. Outdoor workers—for example, farmers and the deck crews of ships—have an increased risk of non-melanoma cancer. Fortunately this is usually curable. As a sensible precaution, all those who work outdoors should avoid overexposure of the bare skin to sunlight and sunburn in order to reduce their risk of melanoma cancer. Some evidence suggests that exposure to ultraviolet radiation can impair the function of the immune system.

Ultraviolet radiation is responsible for the painful symptoms of arc eye (photokeratoconjunctivitis), which occurs some hours after exposure to a bright source of ultraviolet radiation such as a welding arc. Often, bystanders who are adventitiously exposed get this condition.

Infrared radiation can cause thermal damage to the skin and eyes, both of which are easily protected, the latter with appropriate goggles. In developed countries occupational cataract from exposure to infrared radiation is largely of historical interest, given proper protection. In developing countries, however, cataracts may occur as a result of overexposure to infrared radiation, possibly exacerbated by episodes of dehydration.

Sources of optical radiation where the light waves are in phase (for example, from lasers) can cause serious thermal damage to the retina, and skin burns. Engineering and administrative controls and personal protection are needed to prevent damage where high powered lasers are in use. Routine eye examination is not appropriate for laser workers, although a baseline assessment of visual acuity is useful to identify the functionally monocular individual, for whom a greater duty of care exists.

If unusual skin symptoms are reported in workers exposed to optical radiation the possibility of photosensitisation should be considered, as can occur with exposure to plant products—for example, psoralens released in parsley cutting. Photosensitisation can also occur from certain drugs. If workers complain of “sunburn” from working in the vicinity of ultraviolet sources such as insect killing lamps, it is important to check that the bulbs have the correct frequency spectrum.

Altered ambient pressure

Compressed air is used in civil engineering to stabilise the ground and to remove water from workings. Alternative methods of doing so are available, and should always be considered before opting to use compressed air. The effects of hyperbaric exposure in diving and compressed air work are different. Surface diving usually entails short exposures to high pressures, whereas compressed air work generally entails

### Possible effects of optical radiation on the eye
- Ultraviolet C/B—arc eye
- Ultraviolet B—pigmentation of lens
- Ultraviolet A—retinal damage in aphakia
- Visible—accelerated ageing (high power sources), burns of retina (lasers)
- Infrared—corneal burns, usually prevented by blink reflex, cataract, retinal burns, from infrared A sources including lasers

### Wavelengths of optical radiation
- Ultraviolet C (UVC)—100-280 nm
- Ultraviolet B (UVB)—280-315 nm
- Ultraviolet A (UVA)—315-400 nm
- Visible—400-760 nm
- Infrared—760 nm–1 mm

### The most potent sources of optical radiation are those in which the light waves are coherent or in phase, typically coming from laser sources

### Working at pressure
- Atmospheric pressure is 14.7 psi
- 1 atmosphere, 1 bar, 10 m (or 33 feet) of sea water, are broadly equivalent pressures
- Absolute pressure is that of the working environment added to atmospheric pressure
- Decompression illness is very rare at pressures below 1.7 bar absolute. There is no risk from slight elevations of pressure such as in clean rooms
- Typical pressures experienced in civil engineering works are in the range 2-3.5 bar absolute
- Saturation diving techniques become necessary at depths below 50 m, 6 bar absolute
prolonged exposures at relatively low pressures. In diving, the physical effort required for the task may be limited, often using only the arms, whereas heavy manual work may be undertaken in compressed air work.

One effect that differs little in either situation is barotrauma—damage to an air containing organ by pressure exerted across a structure, typically in the ear or respiratory tract. Individuals exposed to raised pressures must be able to equalise such pressures—for example, by steady exhalation, during ascent from diving. The risk of barotrauma is minimised by excluding individuals with upper respiratory tract infections and by careful control of the rate of change of pressure during compression and decompression.

Decompression illness and osteonecrosis
More serious health effects are decompression illness and osteonecrosis. Under pressure, inert gas (principally nitrogen) dissolves in the tissues. When the pressure is reduced, this gas will come out of solution and form bubbles, in much the same way that bubbles form when pressure on carbonated drinks is released. These bubbles in turn cause effects which, if they are in the circulation or central nervous system, can be life threatening.

Decompression illness occurs in two types: pain only (previously type 1), in which symptoms occur in the skin (niggles) or around joints (bends), and serious (previously type 2), in which symptoms can occur in the circulation or nervous system. Symptoms can arise from gas bubbles in the pulmonary or coronary circulations (for example, the chokes), or from damage to the brain or spinal cord (for example, the staggers). Serious decompression illness can be life threatening.

To reduce the potential for bubble formation during decompression, pressure is reduced in a controlled, staged manner, the details of which depend on the duration and pressure of the preceding hyperbaric exposure. At its simplest this can be achieved by a series of timed stops at specified depths during ascent to the surface.

Decompression regimens inevitably entail a compromise between the long times needed for nitrogen to evolve from the tissues and the practical constraints arising from keeping a group of workers (in the case of civil engineering work) in the decompression chamber for long periods. The decompression chamber is an airlock between the working chamber and the external environment. Workers remain seated, resting, while the ambient pressure is reduced in a controlled fashion over one or more hours. Breathing oxygen during decompression helps to remove nitrogen from the body and shortens decompression times. As exposures increase in terms of both depth and time, longer decompression periods are required.

At some of the higher pressures encountered in diving, the only practical approach is to adopt saturation methods, where individuals live and work under pressure for long periods, avoiding the need to decompress between working exposures. With careful control of decompression and oxygen breathing, the incidence of decompression illness in offshore diving work has been kept very low. Further advances are needed in civil engineering work, where oxygen decompression is not yet always routine.

When decompression illness occurs it should always be treated by therapeutic recompression, as such events increase the risk of osteonecrosis. This serious complication of hyperbaric work results from compromise of the blood flow within bone structures. A section of normal bone dies and is replaced by softer material. If this occurs below the surface of a joint, such as the hip joint, there is a real risk of the joint surface collapsing, resulting in permanent disability.

Guidance on exposures, and international standards
- ICNIRP. Guidelines for limiting exposure to time-varying electric, magnetic, and electromagnetic fields (up to 300GHz). Health Phys 1998;74:494-522
Risk factors for osteonecrosis are not clearly established. It can occur after one “bad” decompression but is normally seen only after higher pressure exposures. Risk factors in compressed air work include the number of hyperbaric exposures and the number of episodes of decompression illness.

Barotrauma and decompression illness may occur in aviation environments. They are most likely to occur if an aircraft pressurisation system fails at an altitude above 20,000 feet, after a high altitude ejection, or after flight at altitude in an unpressurised aircraft. The risks can be minimised by breathing 100% oxygen (denitrogenation) before flights or training exposures in an altitude chamber carrying a risk of decompression illness. Osteonecrosis after decompression in aviation is exceedingly rare.

Living and working at altitude
Living and working at altitude carries different risks—namely, acute mountain sickness, high altitude pulmonary oedema (HAPE), and high altitude cerebral oedema (HACE). Symptoms of acute mountain sickness can occur at altitudes of 2,500 m, with the prevalence reaching 40% at altitudes over 4,000 m. The symptoms include headache, nausea and vomiting, sleep disturbance, and muscle weakness, and are thought to arise from a mild oedema of the lungs, the splanchic circulation, and the brain. The condition is treated by descent to a lower altitude. Breathing oxygen, and taking acetazolamide and dexamethasone can also help. The main preventative measure is to limit the rate of ascent to altitude. Unlike acute mountain sickness, both high altitude pulmonary oedema and high altitude cerebral oedema are life threatening. The former is treated by descent and the use of oxygen.

People who live at high altitude show physiological adaptations to their environment, although even these may fail with time. Chronic mountain sickness (Monge’s disease) is a loss of tolerance to hypoxia, which occurs particularly in middle aged men. It results in an erythropoiesis, with the haematocrit rising as high as 80%. Clinical effects include cyanosis, dyspnoea, cough, palpitations, and headache. The condition can only be alleviated by moving to a lower altitude.

Acceleration
Exposure to sustained acceleration is experienced on fairground rides (2-3 G) or in flight, and then only significantly in aerobatic or military flying. Radial acceleration occurs during banked turns. When the head is to the inside of the turn the acceleration is positive in the "z" axis. With the head on the outside of the turn the acceleration is negative in the same axis. Positive G increases the hydrostatic weight of the column of blood above the heart, reducing arterial pressure and perfusion of the retina and the brain. Negative G has the opposite effect, increasing arterial pressure and resulting in engorgement of the head and neck.

Protection from positive G is provided by posture, keeping the body nearer the horizontal plane than the vertical, by lifting the legs up and lowering the backrest. Valsalva type manoeuvres are used slightly in anticipation of acceleration to increase the pressure in the arterial system, and protective anti-G suits are routinely worn by military pilots. These prevent pooling of blood in the peripheries and limit the descent of the heart and diaphragm under acceleration.

Further reading
- Bennett PB, Elliott DH. A comprehensive textbook, which includes a chapter on compressed air work. A standard reference covering all aspects of hyperbaric exposures including clinical hyperbaric oxygen therapy.

Effects of positive headwards acceleration
- 3.4 G—darkening of visual fields
- 3.5–4.5 G—loss of peripheral vision
- 5-6 G—loss of consciousness

If the rate of onset of acceleration is high, loss of consciousness will be the first symptom.
Sound is generated when a vibrating source transmits energy to the surrounding air, creating small changes in pressure. If the frequency of the sound produced lies between about 20 and 16,000 Hz it may be perceived by the hearing mechanism and is classed as being “audible.” Sound levels are measured in decibels (dB), a logarithmic unit in which the faintest sound detectable by the human ear is set at 0 and the level doubles for every 3 dB. In assessing audible sound it is conventional to use a weighted scale that filters the actual pressure level in specified octave bands by an agreed amount to resemble the response of the ear over those frequencies. The most commonly used weighting is the “A” network, and resultant units are expressed as dB(A). Noise is simply unwanted sound.

The body is also susceptible to non-acoustic vibration transmitted by direct contact with oscillating surfaces. As with sound, frequency is important: vibration below 2 Hz and above 1500 Hz is not thought to be harmful; motion between 5 Hz and 20 Hz is considered potentially most damaging. Vibration can be measured in various ways, but is normally expressed as acceleration in metres per second squared (m/s²) averaged over the three axes. As vibration at frequencies below 2 Hz and above 1500 Hz is not thought to cause damage, weighting is applied to measurements of vibration magnitude to allow for this frequency dependence of the risk of harm.

Health effects of noise

The principal hazard from noise is impairment of hearing. This may be confined to a reversible alteration in hearing levels, known as temporary threshold shift, which resolves spontaneously in the quiet. It may last from a few minutes to months depending on the noise level encountered. If exposure to high noise levels is sustained for a prolonged period a permanent shift can occur, termed noise induced hearing loss. Short bursts of very high intensity sound (such as an explosion or gunfire), known as impulse noise, can also cause additional harm to the ear by rupturing the tympanic membrane or even disrupting the ossicles.

There has been considerable interest in recent years in the non-auditory effects of noise. Comprehensive literature reviews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-auditory health and physiological effects of noise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiovascular effects:</strong> in laboratory studies, noise has been shown to produce increases in diastolic blood pressure. However, there is no clear evidence that long term exposure to noise is a risk factor for hypertension.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Some studies suggested an association between noise exposure or noise annoyance and the frequency of psychiatric symptoms</strong> but these findings have been questioned in later studies. There is some evidence that noise sensitivity is an indicator of vulnerability to minor psychiatric disorder, and that annoyance responses are stronger among individuals with psychiatric disorders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The effect of noise on performance is complex. Some research found no clear evidence of effects at noise levels below 95 dB, whereas other research suggests that performance may be affected at much lower levels</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fatigue, headaches, and irritability</strong> have been found to be over-represented in groups exposed to noise, but methodological flaws in study design have made valid conclusions difficult.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
have been published, but much of the evidence remains weak or equivocal.

**Noise induced hearing loss**

Noise induced hearing loss is caused by damage to the cilia on the basilar membrane in the organ of Corti in the inner ear. This damage is progressive and irreversible and results in loss of both absolute sensitivity of the ear and in frequency selectivity. Characteristically, loss is initially predominant in the higher frequencies (3-6kHz) and classically, a depression at 4kHz may be seen on audiometry. With continuing exposure hearing loss extends to both higher and lower frequencies and is frequently superimposed on the effects of age related hearing loss, also known as presbyacusis.

The development of noise induced hearing loss is insidious, and deafness may be considerable by the time an individual seeks assistance. Initially those affected describe difficulty in hearing conversations against a noisy background. Because consonants have a higher frequency than vowels, they are more difficult for a person with noise deafness to recognise, with resultant degradation of discrimination of speech. Hearing loss is frequently associated with tinnitus, which may be more disabling than deafness. On examination, the tympanic membranes usually seem normal, but testing with a tuning fork shows a sensorineural deafness. Industrial noise usually gives rise to bilateral hearing loss but specific activities, such as use of firearms, may produce unilateral deafness depending on the location of the noise source in relation to the ears. Audiometry shows a hearing loss that is predominantly high frequency, although in severe cases lower frequencies are affected. This latter case produces far greater disability because of the impact on the speech range (0.5-2.0kHz).

Noise induced hearing loss is common. Data from the UK National Household Survey indicate that in excess of 130,000 people have hearing problems arising from noise at work, and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration estimates that there are 10 million people with similar hearing problems in the United States. Manufacturing industry has been the source of most cases in the past, but noise levels can be high for those working in many other sectors including construction, transport, and the armed forces. More recently, concern has been raised in relation to call centre operatives, but any potential problems seem to relate to extraneous noises received through headsets (acoustic shock) rather than to ambient noise levels.

**Risk management**

Noise induced hearing loss is a preventable condition and, as with any hazard, the first step is to assess the risk. As a general guide, noise levels are likely to be hazardous if communication without shouting is difficult at a distance of two metres. If there is reason to believe that there may be a problem then noise levels should be measured by a competent person. The risk of developing noise induced hearing loss is a function of both the level of noise exposure and its duration. Noise levels are therefore often expressed as daily personal noise exposure (L E Pd), which averages the dose over an eight hour working day. L E Pd action levels of 85 dB(A) and 90 dB(A) have been set in both the United States and European Union, above which certain control measures are mandatory. However, at the time of writing, negotiations are far advanced in Europe for a new Noise Directive, which will replace the existing directive (86/188/EC, implemented in the United Kingdom by the Noise at Work Regulations 1989) with tougher legislation that will reduce the action levels to 80 dB(A) and 85 dB(A), and introduce a limit value on exposure of 87 dB(A).

The best means of hazard control is elimination, and machinery noise can often be reduced substantially by better

---

**Noise induced hearing loss in the United Kingdom (adapted from Health and Safety Executive statistics 2000-1)**

- UK Health and Safety Executive statistics are obtained from a variety of sources, including the occupational physicians reporting activities (OPRA), occupational surveillance scheme for audiologists (OSSA), and industrial injuries scheme (prescribed diseases)
- The industry groups with the highest annual average incidence rates of new cases qualifying for benefit were extraction, energy, and water supply (7.9 cases per 100,000 employees), manufacturing (3.9), and construction (2.3) (based on 1999 and 2000 data). Of cases qualifying for benefit, 11% were in shipbuilding, repair, or breaking, and 9% were in the coal mining industry. Of new cases qualifying for benefit in 2000, 52% were in the occupational group of metal machinery and related trades workers
- Noise induced hearing loss is not reportable under the Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrence Regulations 1995 (RIDDOR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of cases (OSSA/OPRA, estimated for 2000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensorineural hearing loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinnitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tympanic disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescribed diseases*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*To qualify for benefit, there must be at least 50dB of hearing loss. The degree of disability is calculated from the hearing loss in such a way that 50dB in both ears equates to 20% disability. Under current guidelines, a worker must have been employed for at least 10 years in specified noisy occupations. Of the almost 2000 disallowed claims in 1998, 800 claimants had 35-49dB hearing loss

**Differential diagnosis of noise induced hearing loss**

- Conductive—Wax, acute otitis media, chronic otitis media, otosclerosis, tympanic membrane injury, barotrauma, ossicular dislocation
- Sensorineural—Presbyacusis, congenital (maternal rubella, hereditary, perinatal anoxia), infective (measles, mumps, meningitis), vascular (haemorrhage, spasm or thrombosis of cochlear vessels), traumatic (head injury), toxic (streptomycin, neomycin, carbon monoxide, carbon disulphide), Meniere’s disease, late otosclerosis, acoustic nerve tumours (usually unilateral)
design and maintenance. Damping and enclosure of vibrating machinery can greatly reduce exposure, or people can be provided with well insulated noise refuges in otherwise noisy environments. As a last resort, people can be issued with hearing protection: ear muffs (which completely cover the ear), ear plugs (which are inserted into the auditory canal), or semi-inserts (which cover the entrance to the ear canal).

Health surveillance

Health surveillance (including audiometry), although not a legal requirement, can provide a useful adjunct to risk management and is considered good practice where the second action level (see table) is exceeded. Hearing conservation

---

**Main requirements of the UK Noise at Work Regulations 1989**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action required where $L_{EP,d}$ is likely to be:</th>
<th>$&lt;85$ dB(A)</th>
<th>85 dB(A) First action level</th>
<th>90 dB(A) Second action level†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employers’ duties</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General duty to reduce risk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of hearing damage to be reduced to the lowest level reasonably practicable*</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assessment of noise exposure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise assessments to be made by a competent person</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Noise reduction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce exposure to noise as far as is reasonably practicable by means other than ear protectors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision of information to workers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide adequate information, instruction, and training about</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>risks to hearing, what employees should do to minimise risk,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>how they can obtain ear protectors (if they are exposed to an</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{EP,d}$ between 85 and 90 dB(A)), and their obligations under the Regulations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark ear protection zones with safety signs, so far as reasonably practicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ear protectors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure so far as is practicable that protectors are:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provided to employees exposed to an $L_{EP,d}$ of 85 dB(A) or above and less than 90 dB(A), who ask for them</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provided to all exposed above the second action level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintained and repaired</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Properly used by all exposed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure so far as reasonably practicable that all who go into a marked ear protection zone use ear protectors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maintenance and use of noise control equipment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure so far as is practicable that:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All equipment provided under the Regulations is used, except for the ear protectors provided between first action level and second action level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure all equipment is maintained</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employees’ duties</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of equipment; so far as is practicable:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use ear protectors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use any other protective equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report any defects discovered to employer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Machine makers’ and suppliers’ duties</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision of information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide information on the noise likely to be generated</td>
<td>In theory if equipment provided to comply with*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The dB(A) action levels are values of daily personal noise exposure $L_{EP,d}$.
†All the actions indicated at 90 dB(A) are also required where the peak sound pressure is at or above 200 pascals.
‡This requirement applies to all who enter the zones, even if they do not stay long enough to receive an exposure of 90 dB(A) $L_{EP,d}$.
programmes will normally include a structured interview to gather relevant health data. This should cover relevant medical history based on the differential diagnosis for noise induced hearing loss, and a history of previous noise exposure such as previous employment in noisy industries, service in the armed forces, and leisure pursuits such as shooting or regular clubbing. The ear canal and tympanic membrane should be examined. Personal protective equipment should be inspected, and workers reminded of its correct use. Audiometric testing should be undertaken in a soundproof booth, and the screening results should be fully discussed, with onward referral if required.

Such programmes aim to identify at an early stage individuals particularly susceptible to noise damage, and to reinforce hazard information together with the use of control measures. The UK Health and Safety Executive has produced comprehensive guidelines on the conduct of audiometric testing programmes, including a helpful categorisation scheme that provides a template for the management of individuals according to the degree of hearing loss identified. The five categories within the scheme and the suggested action for each, and a chart of age related hearing loss at low and high frequencies are given in the two tables.

### Classification of audiograms into warning and referral levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age in years</th>
<th>Sum of hearing levels</th>
<th>Referral level</th>
<th>Warning level</th>
<th>Sum of hearing levels</th>
<th>Referral level</th>
<th>Warning level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>0.5, 1, 2kHz</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3, 4, 6kHz</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29</td>
<td>0.5, 1, 2kHz</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>3, 4, 6kHz</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34</td>
<td>0.5, 1, 2kHz</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3, 4, 6kHz</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-39</td>
<td>0.5, 1, 2kHz</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3, 4, 6kHz</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-44</td>
<td>0.5, 1, 2kHz</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3, 4, 6kHz</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-49</td>
<td>0.5, 1, 2kHz</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3, 4, 6kHz</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>0.5, 1, 2kHz</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3, 4, 6kHz</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td>0.5, 1, 2kHz</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3, 4, 6kHz</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-64</td>
<td>0.5, 1, 2kHz</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3, 4, 6kHz</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.5, 1, 2kHz</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3, 4, 6kHz</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### The Health and Safety Executive categorisation scheme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Suggested action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rapid change in hearing threshold has occurred (that is, a change in the sum of the hearing levels for either the low or high frequencies of 30 dB, compared with the previous audiogram, or 45 dB if the period between the tests is more than three years). This change may be due to noise exposure or disease</td>
<td>Referral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>This is usually related to medical factors. Unilateral hearing loss is not normally noise induced and may indicate auditory nerve disease. Unilateral hearing loss is considered to exist if the difference in the sums of the hearing levels between the two ears exceeds 45 dB for the low frequencies, or 60 dB for the high frequencies</td>
<td>Referral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Results show a pattern that could suggest significant noise inducing hearing loss (that is, where the sum of either the low or high frequencies, or both, in either ear, exceeds the value given for the appropriate age band)</td>
<td>Referral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Hearing has deteriorated beyond the level that might be accounted for by age alone, but not to the extent that medical referral is required</td>
<td>Warning. Formally notify the employee of the presence of hearing damage. Employee to understand that they have suffered some hearing loss; it is essential that they comply with the employer’s hearing conservation measures. Assess rate of progression of hearing loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Within normal limits</td>
<td>None, but assess rate of progression of hearing loss</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Health effects of vibration

Vibration and noise often emanate from the same source. Vibration may reach the body through a number of pathways, but consideration of adverse health effects centres on whole body vibration and hand arm vibration. As with noise, the risk of harm is a function of both the magnitude of exposure and of its duration: “doses” are therefore adjusted to a standard reference period of eight hours to allow comparison, and this figure is termed A(8). Measuring vibration is complex and should only be undertaken by those with specialist training.

**Whole body vibration**

Interest in the effects of whole body vibration stems from the middle of the 20th century when mechanisation, particularly of transport, became more prevalent. Vibration is transmitted either from a machine platform through the feet, or from a
seat through the buttocks. Exposure is most likely to occur with vehicle use and this includes road, off road, rail, air, and maritime use: it is estimated that as many as 9 million people in the United Kingdom are regularly exposed to whole body vibration. The disorders reported in groups exposed in this way include gastric problems, vestibular dysfunction, circulatory changes, menstrual disturbance, and psychological effects. However, the main problem associated with whole body vibration is back pain, and the UK Health and Safety Executive estimates that up to 21,000 cases may be caused by exposure, with a further 13,500-31,500 cases of exacerbation of a pre-existing condition. The evidence base for a causal link between whole body vibration and back pain nevertheless remains weak, and has recently been comprehensively reviewed.

Hand arm vibration
Vibration may be transmitted to the hands and arms by the use of hand held power tools, hand guided machinery, or by holding materials being processed by machines. Exposure is particularly common in agriculture, construction (particularly masonry), mining, engineering, forestry, public utilities, and shipbuilding. It is estimated that about 1 million people in the United Kingdom are exposed to potentially harmful levels of hand arm vibration in their work, and as many as 300,000 may have developed adverse health effects as a result.

The health effects of exposure to hand arm vibration have been recognised for many years and have been ascribed a variety of labels. There is now general consensus on the use of the term “hand arm vibration syndrome” to describe the vascular (sometimes also known as vibration white finger), neurological, and musculoskeletal symptoms that can result. Acute vibration exposure causes vasoconstriction of the blood vessels supplying the fingers and, if prolonged, it may damage the endothelium and stimulate smooth muscle proliferation so that the lumen of the vessels gradually narrows. Damage also occurs to the peripheral nerves, with acute oedema and chronic demyelination. Muscular weakness in the hand is common, carpal tunnel syndrome is recognised in some cases, and there is evidence to indicate that premature osteoarthrosis of the wrist and elbow may occur. The precise relation between these elements of the syndrome remains a matter for debate, but there is no doubt that the vascular and neurological components can occur separately.

In the early stages of vibration injury the only symptom may be a tingling in the fingers, most noticeable at the end of the working day. This may be associated with a loss of sensation and periodic blanching of the tips of the fingers when exposed to cold. As the condition progresses the blanching extends to the root of the fingers, although the thumbs are rarely affected. In more severe cases there is considerable pain, with a loss of grip strength and dexterity, and attacks may occur even in warm surroundings. Rarely the condition can progress to the extent that circulation is permanently impaired and the fingers become cyanosed—exceptionally, cases of vibration induced gangrene have been reported.

Risk management
Assessment of risk is based on the type of vibrating equipment employed and its pattern of use. In the United Kingdom the action level for introducing preventative measures is if exposure regularly exceeds an A(8) of 2.8 m/s² (dominant axis). It is important to recognise that this is not a “safe” level: some individuals are likely to develop hand arm vibrations with prolonged use even if this threshold is not exceeded. A new European Vibration Directive has recently been adapted (to be transferred into UK law in 2005), which sets a limit value
on exposure of 5 m/s² (sum of three axes) and an action value of 2.5 m/s² (sum of three axes).

Manufacturers of vibrating tools may be able to provide useful data on levels under standard conditions, but care must be taken because actual levels in field use can differ substantially from those generated in a controlled environment. Similarly, field measurements can vary widely depending on mode of use and the materials being worked. In practice it is therefore usual to institute a preventive programme wherever there is prolonged use of tools likely to be hazardous.

Prevention programmes aim to eliminate or substitute the hazardous process where possible. Where this is not possible, the procurement of low vibration machinery, fitting of vibration reducing adaptations (such as vibration reducing handles), regular maintenance and re-engineering of processes to avoid the need for prolonged tight gripping of high vibration parts will reduce exposure. Keeping the hands and body warm helps to maintain a good blood supply to the fingers and thereby reduces the risk of injury. Vibration reducing gloves are available but their efficacy is limited. A key element in a preventive programme is the provision of training and information about the hazard and the means of reducing risk.

Health surveillance
Health surveillance aims to identify those who develop early symptoms so that progression can be avoided and it is appropriate if exposure levels are likely to trigger a prevention programme. Pre-employment screening is helpful in identifying individuals with conditions such as Raynaud’s disease that are a contraindication to work with vibrating tools, in establishing baseline measurements, and in educating workers about measures to minimise risk—not least the avoidance of smoking. It is good practice to repeat the assessment for newly exposed workers to identify those who may be particularly susceptible. Thereafter, annual review is recommended, with any symptoms being reported to a designated person as soon as they occur.

Assessment should comprise a structured history and relevant clinical examination that will identify early hand arm vibration syndrome and assist with differential diagnosis, as a number of constitutional conditions give rise to similar symptoms. Guidelines from the UK Health and Safety Executive (see Further reading) give a sample questionnaire and guidance on tests that may be helpful for examination. Various methods of grading signs and symptoms have been devised and those of Taylor and Pelmear, and Griffin have been widely used. However, the most commonly used system of classification for hand arm vibration syndrome is currently the Stockholm Workshop scale, which grades the vascular and sensorineural components by severity. This scale, and the speed of progression along it, can helpfully be used to guide the management of affected workers. No effective treatment is available for this condition: management relies on adjustments to work, and limitation of vibration exposure. Cessation of vibration exposure may well compromise an individual’s continuing employment, and great care is therefore required before making any such recommendation. A number of additional test measurements (detailed Lindsell CJ and Griffin MJ, 1988) can be carried out by specialist centres to help confirm the degree of incapacity, and referral should be considered in such circumstances.

The photographs showing the range of instruments for measuring noise and vibration and showing a vibrating tool for road breaking are courtesy of Castle Instruments. The figure showing how vibration is measured is adapted from HS(G)88.
Further reading

- Faculty of Occupational Medicine of the Royal College of Physicians of London. Hand-transmitted vibration: clinical effects and pathophysiology. London: Faculty of Occupational Medicine of the Royal College of Physicians of London, 1993. Part one summarises the evidence relating to hand arm vibration syndrome and recommends assessment methodologies; part two outlines in some detail the evidence base for the report. Currently being revised; publication is planned for 2004
The pattern of occupational lung disease is changing in industrialised countries. A reduction in manufacturing industries and stricter health and safety legislation during the past 50 years have resulted in a sharp decline in the incidence of silicosis, asbestosis, and other pneumoconioses. Asthma is now the most common occupational respiratory disorder in these countries. By contrast, the traditional occupational lung diseases are commonly seen in developing countries, and occupational asthma is reported less often. However, the true prevalence of asthma attributable to occupation in these countries remains unknown.

Since 1989, the understanding of the epidemiology of occupational lung disease in the United Kingdom has been greatly enhanced by the Surveillance of Work related and Occupational Respiratory Disease (SWORD) and Occupational Physicians Reporting Activity (OPRA) projects. Occupational and respiratory physicians systematically report new cases of occupational lung diseases, together with the suspected agent, industry, and occupation. The projects have provided an estimate of the incidence and pattern of occupational lung disease in the United Kingdom.

Occupational asthma

Occupational asthma is a disease characterised by variable airflow limitation and airway hyper-responsiveness caused by specific agents inhaled in the workplace. It does not include activation of pre-existing asthma or airway hyper-responsiveness induced by non-toxic irritants or physical stimuli such as cold air.

Two types of occupational asthma are recognised: immunological asthma appears after a latent period of occupational exposure; non-immunological occupational asthma develops without a period of latency and is associated with exposure to high concentrations of irritants. This latter type is referred to as reactive airways disease and is discussed separately. To date, more than 250 agents capable of causing immunological occupational asthma have been reported. In some jobs, such as hairdressing and farming, workers are exposed to many potential sensitisers and sensitisation may occur through interaction of several agents.

Substances that induce occupational asthma are classified as either high (>5 kDa) or low molecular weight allergens. High molecular weight substances are usually protein derived allergens such as natural rubber latex and flour. It is thought that some low molecular weight chemicals, such as diisocyanates, act as hapten and combine with a body protein to form a complete antigen.

Atopic individuals seem to be at increased risk of developing occupational asthma from some agents that induce specific immunoglobulin E (IgE)—for example, rat urinary proteins, and protease enzymes derived from Bacillus subtilis (detergent workers). However, atopic workers who are exposed to other agents—for example, isocyanates and plicatic acid (Western red cedar) seem to be at no more risk than non-atopic workers. Tobacco smokers are at greater risk of developing asthma after occupational exposure to several agents such as platinum salts, acid anhydride, and green coffee bean; the mechanism of this modifying effect is unknown.
Diagnosis
Between 5% and 10% of adult asthma is attributable to occupational factors. A detailed history of past and present occupational exposures is therefore essential in the assessment of a patient with adult onset asthma. Coughing at work or at the end of a shift is often the first symptom and precedes wheezing. Concurrent nasal congestion, lacrimation, and conjunctivitis may be associated with exposure to high molecular weight substances. The symptoms generally improve at weekends and holidays, but at advanced stages the respiratory symptoms may persist. Where possible, advice should be sought from the patient’s employer’s occupational health service, as they will have information on the substances that the employee is exposed to and will know if other workers have developed similar respiratory symptoms.

Investigations
Patients should record the best of three measurements of peak expiratory flow made every two hours from waking to sleeping over a period of one month (charts are available from Clement Clarke International Limited). Ideally, this period should include one or two weeks away from work. A drop in peak expiratory flow or substantial diurnal variability on working days but not on days away from work supports a diagnosis of occupational asthma. If there is any doubt, the patient should be referred to a specialist centre for further investigation.

A bronchial provocation test (inhalation test) with the suspected agent may be required to give the patient advice about future employment. The test may precipitate severe bronchospasm, so the procedure must be undertaken in a specialist hospital unit with inpatient facilities. The individual is exposed to the suspected sensitiser in circumstances that most closely resemble their exposure at work. Forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1), forced vital capacity, and responsiveness to histamine or methacholine are measured serially and then compared with serial measurements taken during a control challenge test performed on a separate day. An increase in airway hyper-responsiveness, particularly a late response, caused by the putative agent in concentrations that occur at work is taken as evidence of an allergic response. Although bronchial provocation testing is considered the gold standard test for the diagnosis of occupational asthma, false negatives can arise if the testing is conducted with the wrong material or if the concentration of the suspected agent is too low.

Management
Treatment of acute occupational asthma is the same as for asthma generally, but it is important to remove the sensitised individual from exposure to the substance causing their asthma, as subsequent exposure to even minimal quantities of the sensitising agent may precipitate severe bronchospasm. If their job entails working with the causative agent, relocation to another area will need to be considered. The employer’s occupational physician will be able to advise on suitable areas for redeployment and will be in a position to liaise with the employee’s manager. The employer should review their statutory risk assessments and control measures in the area.

Examples of high and low molecular weight substances that may cause occupational asthma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemicals (low molecular weight)</th>
<th>Occupational group at risk/industrial use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toluene di-isocyanate</td>
<td>Car or coach paint spray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colophony (pine resin)</td>
<td>Electronics industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex platinum salts</td>
<td>Platinum refinery workers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proteins (high molecular weight)</th>
<th>Occupational group at risk/industrial use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flour or grain</td>
<td>Bakers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodent urinary proteins</td>
<td>Laboratory workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon proteins</td>
<td>Fish processing plant workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural rubber latex</td>
<td>Healthcare professionals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Specialist investigation of occupational asthma
(a) Identification of atopy: skin prick tests with common allergens—for example, grass pollen, *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus*, and cat fur
(b) Skin prick tests with specific extracts of putative sensitising agent
(c) Serology: radioallergosorbent tests (RAST) to identify specific IgE antibody
(d) Bronchial provocation test with the suspected causative agent

A worker who develops occupational asthma should avoid further exposure to the causative agent. As this often means relocation or loss of current employment, it is essential that the specific cause is identified accurately.
where the affected employee was working to prevent other workers being similarly affected.

Reactive airways disease

Exposure to gases

Although fatalities from exposure to gases in the workplace are now rare in industrialised countries, inhalation accidents still occur relatively often. Accidental inhalation of gas (most commonly chlorine), fume, or vapour with irritant properties can lead to reactive airways disease. Frequently, individuals complain of a burning sensation in their nose and throat within minutes of exposure. The symptoms of asthma develop within 24 hours. The airway irritability usually resolves spontaneously but can persist indefinitely, and it may be provoked by a range of irritants or other provoking factors—for example, cold. The key to preventing the syndrome is good health and safety management.

On a wider scale, industrial accidents involving the release of a toxic irritant gas may cause pulmonary injury or even death in the surrounding population. The release of methylisocyanate from the Union Carbide pesticide plant in Bhopal, India, in 1984 resulted in many deaths from acute pulmonary oedema. Survivors still have chronic respiratory ill health.

Byssinosis

The symptoms of byssinosis occur as a result of hypersensitive airways and an acute reduction in FEV1 in susceptible individuals when they are exposed to dusts of cotton, sisal, hemp, or flax. It occurs most commonly in cotton mill workers and is probably a response to inhaled organic contaminants of the cotton boll, such as cotton bract (leaves at the base of the cotton flower that become hard and brittle during harvesting and comprise a major constituent of cotton dust in the mill). Smokers are at increased risk of developing the disease, but the pathogenic mechanisms underlying the disease remain obscure.

Characteristically, individuals experience acute dyspnoea with cough and chest tightness on the first day of the working week, three to four hours after the start of a work shift. The symptoms improve on subsequent working days, despite continued exposure to the sensitising agent. As the disease progresses the symptoms recur on subsequent days of the week, and eventually even occur at weekends and during holidays. Exposure of textile workers to cotton and flax dust per se does not seem to cause a significant loss of lung function. However, if the subset of workers who develop byssinosis are not removed from further exposure, they go on to develop long term respiratory impairment and subsequently have an excess risk of mortality from respiratory disease.

Pneumoconiosis

Pneumoconiosis is the generic term for the inhalation of mineral dust and the resultant diffuse, usually fibrotic, reaction in the acinar part of the lung. The term excludes asthma, neoplasia, and emphysema.

Silicosis is the commonest type of pneumoconiosis worldwide. It is caused by inhalation of crystalline silicon dioxide, and may affect people working in quarrying, mining, stone cutting and polishing, sandblasting, and fettling. Silicosis
occurs in several different forms depending on the level and duration of exposure.

Simple nodular silicosis is the most common form, and is similar clinically and radiographically to coal worker’s pneumoconiosis. Chronic silicosis presents with increasing dyspnoea over several years and chest radiography shows upper lobe fibrosis or calcified nodules. Acute silicosis results from a brief but heavy exposure: patients become intensely breathless and may die within months. Chest radiographs show an appearance resembling pulmonary oedema. Accelerated silicosis occurs as the result of less heavy exposure and presents as slowly progressive dyspnoea caused by upper lobe fibrosis.

Coal worker’s pneumoconiosis is caused by inhalation of coal dust, which is a complex mixture of coal, kaolin, mica, silica, and other minerals. Simple coal worker’s pneumoconiosis usually produces no symptoms or physical signs apart from exertional dyspnoea. The diagnosis is made by a history of exposure and the presence of characteristic opacities on chest radiographs. A small proportion of individuals with simple coal worker’s pneumoconiosis go on to develop progressive massive fibrosis which, when sufficiently advanced, causes dyspnoea, cor pulmonale, and ultimately death. Coal worker’s pneumoconiosis is disappearing in developed countries as mines close and working conditions improve; however, it remains widespread in China and India.

**Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and mining**

The relationship between occupational exposure to coal dust and loss of ventilatory function is well established. However, after accounting for the effects of smoking and dust exposure, some miners still develop a severe decline in FEV1; the reasons for this are not fully understood. In the United Kingdom, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease due to coal dust is a prescribed industrial disease in those who have worked underground for at least 20 years and whose FEV1 is at least 1 litre below the predicted value.

**Asbestos related diseases**

Exposure to asbestos causes several separate pleuropulmonary disorders, including pleural plaques, diffuse thickening of the pleura, benign pleural effusions, asbestosis, bronchial cancer, and malignant mesothelioma. Bronchial cancer and malignant mesothelioma are discussed in chapter 15.

Asbestosis is a diffuse interstitial pulmonary fibrosis caused by exposure to fibres of asbestos, and its diagnosis is aided by obtaining a history of regular exposure to any form of airborne asbestos. The presence of calcified pleural plaques on a chest radiograph indicates exposure to asbestos and helps to distinguish the condition from other causes of pulmonary fibrosis. Once the diagnosis is made, workers should be removed from further exposure. As there may be a synergistic effect between smoking and asbestosis in the development of lung cancer, workers should be encouraged to stop smoking.

**Extrinsic allergic alveolitis**

Extrinsic allergic alveolitis is a granulomatous inflammatory reaction caused by an immunological response to certain inhaled organic dusts and some low molecular weight chemicals. Farmer’s lung and bird fancier’s lung remain the most prevalent forms of the disease.
Acute extrinsic allergic alveolitis usually occurs after exposure to a high concentration of the causative agent. After a sensitising period, which may vary from weeks to years, the individual develops flu-like symptoms after exposure to the sensitising antigen. Prolonged illness may be associated with considerable weight loss, but symptoms usually improve within 48 hours of removal from the causative agent.

Chronic extrinsic allergic alveolitis is caused either by chronic exposure to low doses of the causative antigen, or as a consequence of repeated attacks of acute alveolitis over many years. It results in irreversible pulmonary fibrosis, and the dominant symptom is exertional dyspnoea. Weight loss may be considerable but other systemic symptoms are usually absent.

Diagnosis principally depends on a history of relevant exposure and on identification of a potential sensitising agent at home or at work. Inspiratory crackles may be heard on examination of the chest, and chest radiography in acute extrinsic allergic alveolitis may show a ground glass pattern or micronodular shadows. In chronic extrinsic allergic alveolitis lung shrinkage in the upper lobes is usually apparent. The diagnosis is confirmed by detailed pulmonary investigations and the demonstration of precipitating antibodies (precipitins) to the causal antigen in the serum.

Further reading

- Meyer JD, Holt DL, Chen Y, Cherry NM, McDonald JC. SWORD 1999. Surveillance of work-related and occupational respiratory disease in the UK. *Occ Med* 2001;51:204-8. This paper reports on the 1999 SWORD results and findings
- Mapp CE. Agents, old and new, causing occupational asthma. *Occup Environ Med* 2001;58:354-9. An up to date review of the causative agents of occupational asthma, including detailed discussion on isocyanates, latex, flour, enzymes, glutaraldehyde, and acrylates. The paper concludes with an extensive reference list for further reading
- Kogevinas M, Anto JM, Sunyer J, Tobias A, Kromhard H, Burney P. Occupational asthma in Europe and other industrialised areas: a population based study. *Lancet* 1999;353:1750-4. The results of a study of 15 637 young adults in Western European and other industrialised countries. The aim was to verify which occupations carry a high risk of asthma, and to estimate the proportion of asthma cases in the general population attributable to occupational exposures
- Health and Safety Executive. Proposals for reducing the incidence of occupational asthma, including an Approved Code of Practice: Control of substances that cause occupational asthma. Sudbury: HSE Books, 2002. This publication details the Health and Safety Executive’s current strategy for reducing the incidence of occupational asthma in the United Kingdom

### Some causes of extrinsic allergic alveolitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Source of antigen</th>
<th>Antigen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farmer’s lung</td>
<td>Mouldy hay and straw</td>
<td><em>Micropolyspora faeni</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Thermocatamycetes vulgaris</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bird fancier’s lung</td>
<td>Bird excreta and bloom</td>
<td>Bird serum proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bagassosis</td>
<td>Mouldy sugar cane</td>
<td><em>Thermocatamycetes sacchari</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilation pneumonitis</td>
<td>Contaminated air conditioning systems</td>
<td><em>Thermophilic actinomyces</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malt worker’s lung</td>
<td>Mouldy barley</td>
<td><em>Aspergillus clavatus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mushroom worker’s lung</td>
<td>Spores released during spawning</td>
<td><em>Thermophilic actinomyces</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheese washers’ lung</td>
<td>Mould dust</td>
<td><em>Penicillium case</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal handler’s lung</td>
<td>Dander, dried rodent urine</td>
<td>Serum and urine proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical extrinsic allergic alveolitis</td>
<td>Polyurethane foam manufacture and spray painting</td>
<td>Toluene (TDI) and diphenylmethane di-isocyanate (MDI)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The picture of victims of the Bhopal disaster is reproduced with permission of Rex Features. The table showing the estimated number of cases of work related and occupational respiratory disease is adapted from Health and Safety Executive Statistics 2000-1

The photograph of a harvester is reproduced with permission from Jeremy Walker/Science Photo Library. The photograph of cotton is with permission from Bill Barkdahle/Agstrect/Science Photo Library.

The photograph of the Bhopal disaster is reproduced with permission from Rex Features Ltd.

### Characteristic abnormalities of lung function in extrinsic allergic alveolitis

- Total lung capacity—reduced
- Residual volume—reduced
- Vital capacity—reduced
- Forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1)—reduced
- FEV1/forced vital capacity—normal or increased
- Transfer factor for carbon monoxide—reduced*
- Gas transfer coefficient—reduced

*Sensitive indicator of the disease
The pattern of infectious hazards at work changes constantly. Occupational infections, although not common, can be serious and easy to miss unless there is a high index of suspicion combined with an understanding of infectious disease. Furthermore, infections that are predominantly of historic interest in the developed world continue to pose a considerable problem in the developing world, and the changing pattern of travel means that those who visit or work overseas remain exposed. Drug resistance, the resurgence of certain diseases, and the emergence of new or previously unrecognised organisms further complicate matters, as does an increasing number of immunocompromised individuals. A detailed occupational history is therefore essential, as this will often point to the diagnosis of unusual illnesses caused by infectious hazards.

Occasional infections may be work specific or may be common in the general population, but they occur more often in those with occupational exposure. Like all occupational diseases, they are mostly preventable.

### The traditional model of infectious disease causation

#### The epidemiological triangle

- An external agent—the organism that produces the infection
- A susceptible host—attributes that influence an individual’s susceptibility or response to the agent—for example, age, sex, lifestyle
- Environmental factors that bring the host and agent together—factors that affect the agent and opportunity for exposure—for example, climate, physical surrounding, occupation, crowding

#### Basic concepts in infectious disease

- **Infectivity** of an agent is the proportion of exposed people who become infected (attack rate)
- **Pathogenicity** is the proportion of people exposed who develop clinical disease
- **Virulence** is the proportion of people with clinical disease who become severely ill or die
- **Infectious dose** is the number of organisms that are necessary to produce infection in the host, and this will vary according to the route of transmission and susceptibility of the host

#### Occurrence

- An infectious disease is **endemic** if there is a persistent low to moderate level of occurrence
- It is **sporadic** if the pattern of occurrence is irregular with occasional cases
- When the level of disease rises above the expected level for a period of time, it is referred to as an **epidemic**
- An **outbreak** is two or more cases of illness that are considered to be linked in time and place

#### Reservoir

This is any person, animal, arthropod, soil, etc. in which the infectious agent normally resides

#### Mode of transmission

This is the mechanism by which an infectious agent is spread from source or reservoir to a susceptible person—that is, direct (touching, biting, eating, droplet spread during sneezing, etc.), indirect (inanimate objects, fomites, vector borne) transmission, or airborne spread (dissemination of microbial aerosol to a suitable port of entry, usually the respiratory tract)

### Main occupational groups at risk of infection

The three main categories of occupational infections are zoonoses, infections from human sources, and infections from environmental sources

#### Zoonotic infections

About 300,000 workers are at risk in the United Kingdom. Zoonotic infections include anthrax, leptospirosis, Q fever, Lyme disease, orf, and psittacosis. Workers at risk:

- Farmers and other agricultural workers
- Veterinary surgeons
- Poultry workers
- Butchers and fishmongers
- Abattoir workers and slaughtermen
- Forestry workers
- Researchers and laboratory workers—that is, animal handlers
- Sewage workers
- Tanners
- Military staff
- Overseas workers

#### Infections from human sources

About 2 million people are employed in the health service sector in the United Kingdom. Infections in this category include tuberculosis, erythema infectosum, scabies, bloodborne viruses, and rubella. Workers at risk:

- Healthcare workers
- Social care workers
- Sewage workers
- Laboratory workers
- Overseas workers
- Archaeologists (during exhumations)

#### Infections from environmental sources

Examples include legionellosis and tetanus. Workers at risk:

- Construction workers
- Archaeologists
- Engineering workers
- Military staff
- Overseas workers
Epidemiology

As with all occupational ill health statistics, no single source of information provides comprehensive data on occupationally acquired infections. In the United Kingdom, the principal data sources, although useful, underestimate the true incidence of occupational infections.

Data from UK reporting schemes. The industry with the highest estimated rates of infection per 100,000 workers per year for 1998-2000 was health and social care, followed by fishing, and agriculture and forestry. Diarrhoeal illnesses were the most frequently reported conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>No of cases of infectious disease SIDAW 2000 (estimated)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhoeal illness</td>
<td>367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legionellosis</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptospirosis</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ornithosis</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q fever</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (for example, scabies)</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SIDAW total</strong></td>
<td><strong>561</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SWORD/OPRA 2000</strong></td>
<td><strong>77</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EPIDERM/OPRA 2000</strong></td>
<td><strong>88</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RIDDOR (2000-1 provisional)</strong></td>
<td><strong>93</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthrax (1), chlamydiosis (2), hepatitis (4), legionellosis (14), leptospirosis (12), Lyme disease (3), Q fever (1), tuberculosis (15), others (41)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prescribed diseases (1999-2000)</strong></td>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptospirosis (1), tuberculosis (4), viral hepatitis (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Zoonoses

These are infections that are naturally transmissible from vertebrate animals to man. The World Health Organization estimates that there are over 200 zoonoses worldwide, and around 40 occur in the United Kingdom.
Anthrax

Also known as malignant pustule, Woolsorter’s disease, and Ragpicker’s disease, anthrax is a notifiable disease, a prescribed disease, and RIDDOR 1995 reportable. It is an acute infection caused by *Bacillus anthracis* (a spore forming Gram positive bacterium), and the normal animal reservoirs are grazing mammals such as sheep, cattle, and goats. Human anthrax is primarily an occupational hazard for workers who process hides, hair, wool, bone, and bone products, but it also occurs in vets and agricultural workers who handle infected animals. It is rare in the United Kingdom (only three cases of anthrax were reported in England and Wales between 1998 and 2001), occurring in those who work directly or indirectly with infected animal products from epizootic areas. Most cases of anthrax occur in Africa, the Middle East, and the former Soviet Union.

Cutaneous anthrax accounts for 95-8% of cases, and occurs when the organism enters a cut or an abrasion. After an incubation period of one to seven days, a small papule develops at this site. Over 24-48 hours, it enlarges, eventually forming a characteristic black ulcer (eschar). If not treated, cutaneous anthrax may progress to bacteraemia, meningitis, and death.

Pulmonary and gastrointestinal anthrax occur infrequently, and are the result of inhalation and ingestion of anthrax spores, respectively. In pulmonary anthrax (Woolsorter’s disease) non-specific upper respiratory tract symptoms follow an incubation period of one to six days. Rapid deterioration in respiratory function and death generally follow unless treatment is started promptly. Gastrointestinal anthrax is characterised by severe abdominal pain, watery or bloody diarrhoea, and vomiting. Progression to bacteraemia is usually two to three days. Case fatality in both these forms of anthrax is high.

Most naturally occurring strains of anthrax are susceptible to penicillin, although doxycycline and ciprofloxacin have been used recently. Immunisation is also available for at risk workers, and oral antibiotics (ciprofloxacin and doxycycline) have been used as prophylaxis for individuals exposed to anthrax spores.

Pulmonary anthrax (Woolsorter’s disease) non-specific upper respiratory tract symptoms follow an incubation period of one to six days. Rapid deterioration in respiratory function and death generally follow unless treatment is started promptly. Gastrointestinal anthrax is characterised by severe abdominal pain, watery or bloody diarrhoea, and vomiting. Progression to bacteraemia is usually two to three days. Case fatality in both these forms of anthrax is high.

Most naturally occurring strains of anthrax are susceptible to penicillin, although doxycycline and ciprofloxacin have been used recently. Immunisation is also available for at risk workers, and oral antibiotics (ciprofloxacin and doxycycline) have been used as prophylaxis for individuals exposed to anthrax spores.

Leptospirosis

Leptospirosis is also known as Weil’s disease, canicola fever, haemorrhagic jaundice, mud fever and swineherd disease. It is a notifiable disease, prescribed disease, and RIDDOR 1995 reportable. Leptospirosis is a rare cause of septicaemia caused by pathogenic leptospires belonging to the genus *Leptospira*.
Leptospira interrogans (Li). The genus has over 200 serovars; of most importance in humans are Li hardjo (cattle associated leptospirosis), Li icterohaemorrhagiae (Weil’s disease), and Li canicola. The principal animal reservoirs are cattle, rats, and dogs, respectively.

The distribution of human disease depends on the local prevalence of animal infection and local environmental conditions. At risk occupational groups include agricultural workers, farmers, vets, miners, abattoir workers, and sewer and canal workers. In 2001 there were 25 notified cases of leptospirosis in England and Wales (predominantly caused by Li hardjo).

Leptospirosis is usually acquired by direct contact with infected animals or their urine, contaminated soil, food, or water (a hazard for those indulging in watersports). The incubation period is usually five to 14 days, and symptoms, which are not serotype specific, typically consist of fever, flu-like symptoms, headache, myalgia, photophobia, and conjunctival infection. In severe cases (often associated with Li icterohaemorrhagiae) haemorrhage into skin and mucous membranes, vomiting, jaundice, and hepatorenal failure may occur.

Mild infection is often self-limiting, but penicillin, erythromycin, and doxycycline are all effective treatments. For more severe disease, intensive and specialised therapy is necessary.

Immunisation of animals is possible for certain serovars, and in some countries (Japan, Italy, Spain) immunisation of at risk workers against certain serovars is available. In the United Kingdom, workers who may be exposed to leptospires usually carry an alert card provided by their employer to warn their doctors should they develop such symptoms.

Transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs)

Prior disease

These are a group of progressive and fatal neurological disorders occurring in humans and certain animal species. TSEs are thought to be caused by infectious proteins (prions) that are unusually resistant to conventional chemical and physical decontamination. They do not seem to be highly infectious and, with the exception of scrapie, do not seem to spread through casual contact.

Bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) was first recognised in British cattle in 1986. Its origin is still uncertain, but it probably originated in the early 1970s, developing into an epidemic because of changing practices in rendering cattle offal to produce animal protein in the form of meat and bone meal, which was included in compound cattle feed. This resulted in the recycling and wide distribution of BSE. In 1996, a previously unrecognised form of Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD) occurring in younger patients (range 14-53 years, mean 28 years), with a different symptom profile and different postmortem changes in the brain tissue, was identified in the United Kingdom.

The Government’s Spongiform Encephalopathy Advisory Committee concluded that the most likely explanation for the emergence of this variant CJD (vCJD) was that it had been transmitted to humans through exposure to BSE as a result of consumption of contaminated bovine food products.

A major concern now is the risk of transmission in a healthcare setting. Although there have been no reported cases of nosocomial transmission of vCJD, an expert group has been established by the UK government to advise on prevention and management of possible exposures.
The incubation period of vCJD is unknown, but is likely to be several years. The infectious dose is also unknown, and is likely to be dependent on the route of exposure. However, by February 2002, a total of 114 individuals throughout the United Kingdom (106 dead and 8 alive) were considered to have had definite or probable vCJD. There is currently no evidence to link any cases of vCJD with surgical procedures or with transmission by blood, but the possibility cannot be ruled out.

It is already current practice to dispose of instruments used on anyone showing symptoms of vCJD. A problem, however, occurs in those who have presymptomatic disease; precautions to avoid this theoretical risk of transmission are therefore essential. Any assessment of risk of transmission from instruments must consider a wide range of scenarios, and precautionary measures should be taken against risks that might occur, even if the level of risk is not known. The key message in reducing any risk of vCJD transmission is the rigorous implementation of washing, decontamination, and general hygiene procedures.

In January 2001, a recommendation that single use instruments were used for tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy surgery was made. This recommendation was withdrawn in December 2001 because adverse incidents (mainly haemorrhage, but also one death) were reported after the introduction of single use instruments. It was felt that on balance, the single use instruments represented an actual risk to patients, whereas the concerns regarding vCJD transmission were only theoretical. Further information is available at http://www.open.gov.uk/doh/coih.htm.

Infections from human sources

These infections are of most relevance to healthcare workers. They are important because healthcare workers are at high risk of acquiring infections occupationally and they are also a potential source of infection to their patients, particularly those who are immunologically impaired.

Bloodborne viruses

Occupational exposure to blood or body fluids poses a small risk of transmission of bloodborne pathogens. Those presenting the greatest crossinfection hazard are HIV, and hepatitis B and hepatitis C viruses. Although healthcare staff are at greatest risk, other occupational groups (for example, police officers) may also be exposed.

The risk of infection depends on the type and severity of the exposure, the infectivity of the source patient, the immune status of the exposed healthcare worker, and the availability of treatment after exposure.

Prevention entails minimising exposure to blood or body fluids, and consists of strict infection control, adherence to universal precautions, immunisation against hepatitis B, and prompt management of any occupational exposure.

Healthcare workers infected with bloodborne viruses can potentially transmit infection to their patients, and although the risk is small, guidelines exist in many countries to reduce this risk further. In the United Kingdom, all healthcare workers who perform exposure prone procedures are required to provide evidence that they are immune to hepatitis B as a result of immunisation, or that they are not HBe antigen (HBeAg) positive. Because of transmissions of HBV associated with codon 28 precore mutations, those who are HB surface antigen positive, but HBeAg negative, must now be tested for hepatitis B virus DNA; they may perform exposure prone work provided that their HB viral load is below 10^3 genome equivalents per millilitre, and this is subject to annual testing.

Although it is likely that most of the UK population has been exposed to BSE, the true number of individuals who have been infected is not known.

Reasons that vCJD might be spread from person to person in healthcare settings

- Classical CJD has been transmitted from person to person by a range of medical procedures including surgery, grafts or transplants, and treatment with pituitary extracts, and about 1% of classical CJD cases in the past are considered to have been iatrogenic
- Abnormal prion protein has been shown in the lymphoreticular tissue (tonsils, spleen, and lymph nodes) of patients with established vCJD
- Abnormal prion protein has been shown in the appendix of a patient who subsequently developed vCJD
- Although, to date, the transmissible agent has not been shown in blood, it is possible that abnormal prion protein, at concentrations not detectable with current techniques, may be associated with circulating B lymphocytes and with other cells of the immune and circulatory systems
- Abnormal prion protein has been shown to be highly tenacious and may not be inactivated by conventional sterilisation and decontamination procedures

Exposure prone procedures are invasive procedures where there is risk that injury to the worker may result in the exposure of a patient's open tissues to the blood of the worker. These incude procedures where a healthcare worker's gloved hand may be in contact with sharp instruments or tissues inside a patient's open body cavity, wound, or confined anatomical space where the hands may not be completely visible at all times.
Individuals who, as a result of testing, are found to be hepatitis C RNA positive should not perform exposure prone procedures. However, hepatitis C infected workers who have been successfully treated with antiviral therapy and remain hepatitis C virus RNA negative six months after finishing treatment should be able to resume exposure prone procedures or start professional training for a career that relies on the performance of exposure prone procedures.

HIV testing is not compulsory for healthcare workers in the United Kingdom and many other countries. In the United Kingdom, professional regulatory bodies state that workers who may have been exposed to HIV have an ethical responsibility to be tested. If found to be HIV infected, exposure prone work is prohibited. The UK Department of Health is currently reviewing their policy.

Tuberculosis

Tuberculosis is a notifiable disease, a prescribed disease, and RIDDOR 1995 reportable.

Mycobacterium tuberculosis continues to be the leading cause of adult death from any single infectious agent worldwide.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bloodborne viruses and risk to patients</th>
<th>Occupational infections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIV • In the United Kingdom, the Expert Advisory Group on AIDS (EAGA) provides guidance on look-back procedures for HIV. As UK studies of over 30 000 patients after look-back exercises have shown no evidence of transmission of HIV to patients, it is likely that look-back procedures for HIV in the United Kingdom will stop • Two incidents of transmission from a healthcare worker to a patient have been reported; a Florida dentist who infected six patients, and a French orthopaedic surgeon who infected one patient • Dr Patrick Ngosa, an HIV positive obstetrician, was removed from the UK General Medical Council’s Register in 1997 when it was discovered that he had refused to have an HIV test and continued to perform exposure prone procedures after learning that a former sexual partner was HIV positive. A total of 1750 women on whom he had operated were sent letters informing them that there was a possibility that they had been exposed to HIV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B virus • A number of look-back studies involving surgical staff from 1975 to 1990 have identified transmission risks of 0.9-20% • The most recent look-back exercise for hepatitis B virus in the United Kingdom was in 2001. About 350 patients were contacted in Fife, when infection in two patients was traced back to one healthcare worker • A surgeon infected with hepatitis B (Dr Gaud) who lied about his infectivity was convicted and jailed for the common law charge of “public nuisance” after knowingly operating on patients and putting them at risk of infection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C virus • In the United Kingdom there have been five patient notification exercises after investigations of hospital acquired hepatitis C infection. Since 1994 there have been 15 documented transmissions of hepatitis C virus to patients from infected healthcare workers during exposure prone procedures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The emergence of multidrug resistant tuberculosis (MDRTB), with its high case fatality, its prolonged sputum positivity (and consequently, high transmission risk), and its complex treatment has re-emphasised the importance of tuberculosis control.

Tuberculosis remains a hazard in the healthcare setting, and incidence in healthcare workers parallels (but is higher than) that in the community; a study in the mid-1990s found about a twofold increased risk of tuberculosis among healthcare workers in England and Wales. Healthcare workers should therefore be protected against infection, and measures should be taken to detect tuberculosis in new or existing staff in order to protect their patients and colleagues. Protection begins at pre-employment, and continues with strict infection control measures for nursing infected patients. In the United Kingdom, protection of healthcare workers should follow the guidelines produced by the Joint Tuberculosis Committee of the British Thoracic Society.

Adults with non-pulmonary tuberculosis can usually be nursed on general wards, but those with pulmonary tuberculosis should initially be admitted to a single room vented to the open air until their sputum status is known. Those with smear positive sputum should be managed as infectious. In the case of known or suspected MDRTB, particular care must be taken, and patients should be admitted to a negative pressure single isolation room until MDRTB is excluded or until sputum smears have been negative on three consecutive occasions over 14 days.

Outbreaks of MDRTB in the United States and Europe have emphasised the importance of control. These outbreaks have occurred predominantly in institutional settings (prisons, residential homes, and hospitals) and have mainly been in HIV infected patients. Contributory factors in these outbreaks included lapses in respiratory isolation, inadequate ventilation in isolation rooms, and “immunocompromised convergence” (the assembling of immunocompromised HIV infected patients in institutions).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multidrug resistant tuberculosis (MDRTB)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MDRTB is tuberculosis resistant to at least isoniazid and rifampin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective control of MDRTB requires a multidisciplinary approach involving the hospital infection control team, microbiologist, tuberculosis physician, consultant in communicable disease control, engineers, and occupational health</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Visitors to patients with known or suspected MDRTB should be kept to a minimum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The number of healthcare workers exposed to MDRTB patients should be kept as low as possible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• All who enter the rooms of MDRTB patients should wear suitable particulate masks that filter down to particles of 1 micron in diameter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Staff should wear masks during aerosol generating procedures, such as sputum induction, bronchoscopy, and pentamidine therapy. These procedures should only be performed in suitably ventilated facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Individuals who have not been checked for immunity to tuberculosis, or those with a negative skin test who have not received BCG vaccination should avoid contact with MDRTB patients, as should those who are immunocompromised</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The decision to discontinue strict isolation and infection control procedures should only be made after discussion between the clinician with responsibility for the patient, the hospital infection control team, occupational health, and a consultant in communicable diseases</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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When a patient or member of staff is found to have tuberculosis, infection control and occupational health staff have to assess the need for contact tracing. In the United Kingdom, most staff are not considered to be at special risk and should be reassured and advised to report any suspicious symptoms. Those who are immunocompromised, have undertaken mouth to mouth resuscitation, prolonged high dependency care, or repeated chest physiotherapy without appropriate protection should be regarded as close contacts and followed up according to national guidelines. Similar precautions should be taken if the index case is highly infectious.

**Chickenpox**

Chickenpox is a systemic viral infection resulting from primary infection with varicella zoster virus. It is highly infectious and transmitted directly by personal contact or droplet spread, and indirectly through fomites. Shingles (herpes zoster) is a reactivation of dormant virus in the posterior root ganglion and can be a source of infection generally by contact with the skin lesions, but occasionally by the respiratory route in immunocompromised individuals.

Primary infection in adults can be severe, resulting in a higher frequency of complications such as pneumonia, encephalitis, and hepatitis, but its main importance is the risk to non-immune pregnant women and the immunosuppressed.

Although the prevalence of seropositivity for varicella zoster virus in healthcare workers in temperate climates is high (90-98%), nosocomial exposure to the virus is a major occupational health problem requiring non-immune healthcare workers to be excluded from patient contact from day 8 to 21 after a substantial exposure. A live attenuated vaccine is now available in many parts of the world.

### Complications of varicella zoster virus

- Severe disease due to fulminating varicella pneumonia is more likely in adults, especially pregnant women, and smokers
- Pregnant women are at greatest risk late in second or early third trimester
- In the immunocompromised and neonates, disseminated or haemorrhagic varicella is more likely
- The risk to fetus and neonate from maternal infection relates to gestation at time of infection
  - First 20 weeks—congenital varicella syndrome (limb hypoplasia, microcephaly, hydrocephalus, cataracts, growth retardation, and skin scarring)
  - Second and third trimester—herpes zoster in otherwise healthy infant
  - A week before to a week after delivery—severe and even fatal disease in the neonate (particularly premature babies)
- Human varicella zoster immunoglobulin (VZIG) is available and can be given for post-exposure prophylaxis in individuals who fulfill the following conditions:
  - a clinical condition that increases risk of varicella infection
  - no antibodies to varicella zoster virus
  - substantial exposure to chickenpox or herpes zoster
- A substantial exposure to varicella zoster virus depends on:
  - the type of infection in the index case—for example, the risk of acquiring infection from an immunocompetent individual with non-exposed shingles is remote
  - the timing of the exposure in relation to onset of rash in the index case—the critical time for chickenpox or disseminated zoster is 48 hours before the onset of rash until crusting of lesions for varicella zoster virus, and day of onset of rash until crusting in localised zoster
  - closeness and duration of contact—contact in same room >15 minutes, or face to face contact
- The recommendation that VZIG is used for exposed non-immune pregnant women during the first 20 weeks of pregnancy is based on biological plausibility. No evidence exists showing that the risk of congenital varicella syndrome is reduced
- VZIG is not recommended for healthy healthcare workers, but in the United States, varicella vaccine is recommended for use in susceptible individuals after exposure; data from hospital and community settings suggest that it is effective in preventing illness or modifying severity if used within three days of exposure
Other infections

Other infections worth mentioning include skin infection in engineers associated with the re-use of cutting oils (which can lead to oil mists being contaminated with bacteria and fungi), pseudomonal otitis externa in deep sea divers who use saturation techniques, and legionellosis (which can occasionally be occupationally acquired). Finally, travel associated infections are becoming an important cause of occupationally acquired disease with the increase in international business travel and overseas workers (see ABC of Healthy Travel).

 Legionellosis (Legionnaire’s disease, Pontiac fever)
This infection is RIDDOR 1995 reportable. It is an acute bacterial infection caused by a Gram negative bacillus belonging to the genus Legionella. Two clinical presentations are recognised: Legionnaire’s disease and Pontiac fever, and the majority of infections are due to L. pneumophila. The bacillus is an ubiquitous aquatic organism that thrives in warm environments (25-45°C, but preferably at 30-40°C), and is often isolated from natural habitats (rivers, creeks, hot springs) and from artificial equipment where the temperature is maintained at levels favouring bacterial proliferation.

 Transmission of infection is from inhalation of contaminated aerosols, and both Legionnaire’s disease and Pontiac fever present initially with non-specific flu-like symptoms. Pontiac fever occurs after an incubation period of 4 to 66 hours, and is a self limiting non-pneumonic form of the infection. By contrast, the incubation period for Legionnaire’s disease is two to ten days. Initial symptoms of fever, malaise, anorexia, and myalgia are followed by progression to pneumonia and associated multisystem involvement, with diarrhoea, vomiting, confusion, and renal failure. Case fatality can range from 5% to 15%, but may be higher in outbreaks.

 Treatment generally consists of erythromycin (although rifampicin may be used as an adjunct). If infection is confirmed, local public health authorities need to be notified as contacts may need to be identified, and the source of infection needs to be established and appropriately controlled.

Conclusion

The extent of occupationally acquired infections is unknown, but it is likely that they are extremely common, particularly mild infections in agricultural and healthcare workers. Preventing infection is an important aspect of occupational health practice as it will impact favourably on communicable disease in the general population. Similarly, the control of communicable disease in both the general (and animal) population will decrease the risk to certain occupational groups.

The table showing Data from UK reporting schemes depicting the industries with the highest estimated rates of infection is adapted from Health and Safety Executive Statistics 2000-1. The figures showing the protection of workers with tuberculosis are adapted from the guidelines of the Joint Tuberculosis Committee of the British Thoracic Society. 

Legionnaire’s disease

- Travel abroad is a major risk factor for Legionnaire’s disease in the United Kingdom, with nearly 50% of cases being contracted abroad.
- About 15% of UK cases are linked to local outbreaks (caused by wet cooling systems or hot water systems), and roughly 2% are hospital acquired. Many cases are sporadic, or from an unidentified source.
- Hospital outbreaks in particular have high case fatalities.
- The highest risk of infection occurs with water systems leading to the aerosolisation of water that is stored at temperatures of 25-45°C. This includes:
  - wet cooling systems (for example, cooling towers and evaporative condensers)
  - hot water systems (especially showers)
  - whirlpool spas
  - indoor and outdoor fountain and sprinkler systems
  - humidifiers
  - respiratory therapy systems
  - industrial grinders
- Prevention of infection relies on ensuring that equipment and systems are kept as clean as possible, and disinfected regularly. Where possible, water temperatures should be kept above 50°C or below 20°C. Use of biocides may also need to be considered.

In the United Kingdom, the Health and Safety Executive provides guidance on the prevention and control of legionellosis.

Further reading

- http://www.who.int
- http://www.cdc.gov
- http://www.phls.co.uk

These websites are excellent resources for information on infectious diseases (both occupational and non-occupational).
- http://www.open.gov.uk/doh/dhhome.htm The UK department of health website is particularly useful for information on bloodborne viruses and BSE.
- http://www.hse.gov.uk This site provides practical and clear information on prevention and control of a variety of infectious hazards in the workplace, and is also a source of occupational ill-health statistics.
The first report of cancer caused by occupational exposure was in 1775 by Percival Pott, a British surgeon who described scrotal cancer in boy chimney sweeps. A century later, in 1895, Rehn, a German surgeon working in Frankfurt, treated a cluster of three cases of bladder cancer in workers at a local factory producing aniline dyestuffs from coal tar.

Occupational cancer is any malignancy wholly or partly caused by exposures at the workplace or in occupation. Such exposure may be because of a particular chemical (such as β-naphthylamine), a physical agent (such as ionising radiation), a fibre like asbestos, a biological agent (such as hepatitis B virus), or an industrial process in which the specific carcinogen may elude precise definition (such as coke production).

Overall it is estimated that 4% of all cancers are caused by occupation (range 2-8%), but for bladder cancer this may be as high as 20%. In the working population as many as one in five cancers may be attributable to exposure in the workplace. In England and Wales at least 3000 men die each year from potentially preventable malignancies.

The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) was set up to identify carcinogenic hazards to humans. To date, 874 chemicals, groups of chemicals, complex mixtures, occupational exposures, cultural habits, and biological and physical agents have been evaluated. The findings have been published in 79 monographs and eight supplements.

Mechanisms of cancer

Cancer is a genetic disorder of somatic cells and can be triggered by the genotoxic action of carcinogens. There are five or six independent stages of carcinogenesis, each of which is rate limiting. The best available model is colorectal cancer, which requires seven independent genetic events. The three key stages are initiation (by a mutagen), promotion (where development of tumours is enhanced by other stimuli to cell proliferation such as lung fibrosis), and progression (development of malignant tumours from benign neoplasms).

Mutations in tumour suppressor genes (for example, \(p53\)) are particularly important, and half of all cancers contain \(p53\) mutations, of which there are 6000 possible point mutations. Several environmental and occupational carcinogens are linked to \(p53\) mutations—for example, ultraviolet light and skin cancer, and tobacco and oral cancer. Other factors linked with \(p53\) include alcohol, vinyl chloride, and asbestos.

Most carcinogens are genotoxic (DNA reactive) and cause mutation. There is no threshold below which they are not carcinogenic and therefore exposure levels are set at acceptable levels. Tests for genotoxicity such as Ames and fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) are now well established. The Ames test is the most widely used procedure for assessing the mutagenicity of chemicals. The relative mutagenic potency of an agent is indicated by the number of bacterial colonies growing on a plate containing the toxic agent relative to those growing on a plate containing normal medium. FISH is used to assess chromosomal abnormalities.

Epigenetic carcinogens (also known as non-genotoxic or cocarcinogens) act more directly on the cell itself, through

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classifications to date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
hormonal imbalances, immunological effects, or promoter activity, to cause abnormal cell proliferation and chromosomal aberrations that affect gene expression. These carcinogens have a threshold dose for carcinogenicity and it is possible to set exposure levels. There is probably a minimal threshold dose as well as a clear dose-response relation influencing the occurrence of cancers. For example, all workers involved in distilling β-naphthylamine eventually developed tumours of the urothelial tract, whereas only 4% of rubber mill workers who were exposed to β-naphthylamine (a contaminating antioxidant (at 0.25%) used in making tyres and inner tubes) developed bladder cancer over a 30 year follow up.

Polymorphisms are different responses to the same factor such as a drug. Slow acetylators who are heavy smokers are 1.5 times more likely to get bladder cancer if exposed to carcinogens. Certain polymorphisms increase the risk of mesothelioma 7.8 times. It will be possible in the future to rapidly and cheaply test individuals for polymorphisms and genotypes.

Sites of cancers
Carcinogens are organotropic. In the United Kingdom the most commonly affected sites are the lung (mesothelium) (75%), bladder (10%), and skin (1%). Other sites affected are the haemopoietic system, nasal cavities, larynx, and liver.

Natural course of cancers
Occupationally related cancers are characterised by a long latent period (that is, the time between first exposure to the causative agent and presentation of the tumour). This latency is not usually less than 10 to 15 years and can be much longer (40-50 years in the case of some asbestos related mesotheliomas): presentation can therefore be in retirement rather than while still at work. However, susceptibility to occupational carcinogens is greater when the exposure occurs at younger ages. An occupationally related tumour does not differ substantially, either pathologically or clinically, from its “naturally occurring” counterpart.

Recognition and diagnosis
For a group of workers, occupational cancer is evidenced by a clear excess of cancers over what would normally be expected. Some common malignancies that can be work related also have a well recognised and predominant aetiology related to other agents, diet, or lifestyle (for example, lung cancer from smoking). There are, however, some features that may help to distinguish occupational cancers from those not related to work.

History taking
Taking a patient’s occupational history is paramount. It should be defined in detail and sequentially. For example, a holiday job in a factory that lasted only a few months could easily be overlooked, but it may have included delagging a boiler or handling sacks of asbestos waste.

Signal tumours
Several uncommon cancers are associated with particular occupations. Thus, an angiosarcoma of the liver may indicate past exposure to vinyl chloride monomer in the production of polyvinyl chloride, although there have been no cases in workers exposed since 1969. A worldwide registry of all exposed workers exists.
Age
A younger age at presentation with cancer may suggest an occupational influence. For example, a tumour of the urothelial tract presenting in anyone under the age of 50 years should always arouse suspicion.

Patients’ information
Patients may speak of a “cluster” of cancer cases at work, or they may have worked in an industry or job for which a warning leaflet has been issued.

Prevention
Primary prevention seeks to prevent the onset of a disease. Secondary prevention aims to halt the progression of a disease once it is established. Tertiary prevention is concerned with the rehabilitation of people with an established disease to minimise residual disabilities and complications or improve the quality of life if the disease itself cannot be cured.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels of prevention</th>
<th>Stages</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intervention strategies</td>
<td>Health education, immunisation, environmental measures and social policy</td>
<td>Presymptomatic screening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of prevention</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Donaldson and Donaldson, 1999.

Primary prevention of occupationally related cancers depends essentially on educating employers and employees; firstly about recognising that there is a risk, and then about the practical steps that can be taken to eliminate or reduce exposure and to protect workers. Modern risk based legislation now directs these educational and practical measures.

Secondary prevention
Screening procedures may enable earlier diagnosis, but there is little evidence to suggest that most screening makes a difference to outcome. Screening is of proven benefit in cutaneous cancers of occupational origin, mainly because of the excellent prognosis afforded by treatment. Routine skin inspections should be initiated where there is exposure to known skin carcinogens. Routine urine cytology has been carried out in many industries where there has been previous exposure to known carcinogens. It is possibly of benefit but this has not been proven. β-Naphthylamine was withdrawn from use by 1950, but many former workers continue to participate in urine cytology screening programmes. Once commenced, surveillance should be lifelong. In the United Kingdom it is recommended workers exposed to 4,4-methylene-bis-(2-chloroaniline) (MbOCA) should have their urinary levels of MbOCA and its N-acetyl metabolites checked, but periodic urine cytology for those exposed remains controversial. Screening for lung and liver cancer is not of benefit.

Action for primary prevention of occupational cancers
• Recognition of presence of hazards and risks
• Education of management and workforce
• Elimination of exposure by substitution and automation
• Reduction of exposure by engineering controls (such as local exhaust ventilation and enclosure, changes in handling, and altering physical form in processing)
• Monitoring of exposure and maintaining plant
• Protection of workers with personal protective equipment
• Limiting access
• Provision of adequate facilities for showering, washing, and changing
• Legislative provisions

Criteria for screening
• Is the condition an important health problem?
• Is there a recognisable early stage?
• Is treatment more beneficial at an early stage than at a later stage?
• Is there a suitable test?
• Is the test acceptable to the population?
• Are there adequate facilities for diagnosis and treatment?
• What are the costs and benefits?
• Which subgroups should be screened?
• How often should screening take place?
Legislation and statutory compensation

Essential legislative provisions in the United Kingdom and the European Union are comprehensive. Ten types of cancer are prescribed diseases and are eligible for industrial injuries benefit. Some cancers are reportable under the Reporting of Injuries, Diseases, and Dangerous Occurrence Regulations 1995 (RIDDOR), although many occur in those who have retired. Most occupational cancers recorded or eligible for benefit are mesotheliomas. In 2000, 652 people received benefits in the United Kingdom for mesothelioma, which is less than half the number of deaths recorded as caused by this disease (1505 deaths in 1999). About 80 people with other occupational cancers receive benefits each year, these being split between bladder cancer and asbestos related lung cancer. Bladder cancers have slowly increased over the past decade, whereas lung cancers have decreased. The figure for asbestos related lung cancers substantially under-represents the true number.

Specific carcinogens

Metals and metalliferous compounds
Arsenic, beryllium, cadmium, chromium(VI), nickel, and iron are considered to be proven human carcinogens, either as the metal itself or as a derivative. The risk from iron is related only to mining the base ore and is caused by coincidental exposure to radon gas. In foundries, where there is concomitant exposure to several agents in a complex mix of emanating fume, the responsible agents are not clearly defined.

With all the metallic carcinogens, the lung is the main target organ, but other potential sites are shown in the table. The main occupational exposures occur in the mining, smelting, founding, and refining of these metals, and less commonly in secondary industrial use.

Aromatic amines
Aromatic amines are among the best known and most studied of chemical carcinogens. The bladder is the main target organ, but any site on the urothelial tract composed of transitional cell epithelium can be affected—that is, from the renal pelvis to the prostatic urethra. Tumours of the upper urothelial tract (renal pelvis or ureter) are very rare, and a cluster of these signal tumours usually heralds an underlying risk of occupational cancer. The carcinogenic potential of aromatic amines lies not in the parent compound but in a metabolite formed in the liver and excreted through the urinary system.

The occupations classically associated with risk from these chemicals were in the industries’ manufacturing chemicals and dyes; in the early 1950s an investigation of bladder cancers in workers in British chemical industries showed that individuals exposed to benzidine and 2-naphthylamine had a 30 times greater risk of developing bladder cancer than the general population. Occupational bladder cancer became a prescribed disease in 1953.

Antioxidants contaminated with β-Naphthylamine were used in the rubber and cable making industries until the end of 1949 (when they were universally withdrawn), and they caused an excess of bladder cancer. The level of contamination was only about 0.25%, yet it almost doubled the risk for the workforce so exposed. People who started work in the rubber industry after 1951 seem to have no excess risk.

There is now increasing evidence that some polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons can act as urinary tract carcinogens.

Benefits and disadvantages of screening

Benefits
- Improved prognosis for some cases detected by screening
- Less radical treatment for some early cases
- Reassurance for those with negative test results

Disadvantages
- Longer morbidity for cases whose prognosis is unaltered
- Over treatment of questionable abnormalities
- False reassurance for those with false negative results
- Anxiety and sometimes morbidity for those with false positive results
- Unnecessary medical intervention for those with false positive results
- Hazard of screening test
- Resource costs: diversion of scarce resources to screening programme

Main legislative provisions in the United Kingdom

- Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) Regulations 2002 and associated approved code of practice on the Control of Carcinogens
- European Commission Carcinogens Directive (90/934/EEC)
- Chemical Agents Directive (98/24/EC)
- Chemicals (Hazard Information and Packaging) Regulations 1999 (CHIP)
- Ionising Radiations Regulations (1999)
- Control of Asbestos at Work Regulations (1998)
- Reporting of Injuries, Diseases, and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations (RIDDOR) 1995

Metalliferous carcinogens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Target organ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>Lung and skin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td>Lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>Lung, prostate gland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (hexavalent)</td>
<td>Lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>Lung, nasal sinuses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron in:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haematite mining (radon)</td>
<td>Lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron and steel founding</td>
<td>Lung, digestive tract</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Aromatic amine carcinogens

Proven
- 4-Aminobiphenyl (xenylamine) and its nitro derivatives
- β-Naphthylamine
- Benzidine
- Auramine and magenta

Probable
- Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

Possible
- The hardener MbOCA (4,4-methylene-bis(2-chloroaniline))
This is reflected in excesses seen in aluminium refiners and in painters exposed to solvents.

**Asbestos**

Few natural materials used in industry have been the subject of more epidemiological and pathological research than the fibrous mineral, asbestos. Lung cancer because of asbestos was first reported in the 1930s and its association was confirmed in the 1950s. In 1960, Wagner and colleagues reported 33 cases of the “rare” tumour mesothelioma in workers exposed to asbestos in South Africa.

In asbestos workers who have developed asbestosis the risk of lung cancer is increased at least five times. For chrysotile there is a linear relationship between exposure and risk of lung cancer. Each additional fibre exposure (every ml a year) is equivalent to a 1% increase in the standardised mortality ratio.

Between 0.6% and 40% of lung cancers have been attributed to occupation, depending on place and time. Chlormethylesters, used in ion exchange resins, increase the risk of lung cancer 20 times and have a short latent period of 10-15 years. The type of cancer is small cell, also caused by uranium and beryllium (which also causes adenocarcinoma). Painters have a 30-100% increase in lung cancer. This may be caused by heavy metal salts or chromates, organic solvents, or exposure to silica and asbestos.

Over 40% of people with asbestos die of lung cancer, and 10% die of mesothelioma. Mesotheliomas, which are predominantly of the pleura (ratio of 8:1 with peritoneum), have usually been growing for 10 to 12 years before becoming clinically evident. This latency can be very long—often 30 years and sometimes up to 50 years. However, median survival from the time of initial diagnosis is usually short—three to 12 months.

The amphibole fibres in crocidolite (blue asbestos) and amosite (brown asbestos) carry the greatest risk of causing mesothelioma, but the serpentine fibres in chrysotile (white asbestos) can also do so, especially if they contain tremolite. In about 90% of patients with mesothelioma, close questioning will usually show some earlier exposure to asbestos. The possible risk to neighbourhoods outside asbestos factories from discharged asbestos dust or contaminated clothing brought home should not be forgotten.

The annual number of deaths from mesothelioma has increased rapidly from 133 in 1968 to 1595 in 1999. The latest projections suggest that male deaths from mesothelioma may peak in about 2011, at about 1700 deaths every year. Occupations with the highest risk of mesothelioma for men include metal plate workers (including shipyard workers), vehicle body builders (including rail vehicles), plumbers and gas fitters, carpenters, and electricians.

### Occupations causally associated with urothelial tract cancers

- Dyestuffs and pigment manufacture
- Rubber workers (in tyre, tube, and cable making before 1950)
- Textile dyeing and printing
- Manufacture of some chemicals (such as 4,4-methylene-bis-(2-chloroaniline) (MbOCA))
- Gas workers (in old vertical retort houses)
- Laboratory and testing work (using chromogens)
- Rodent controllers (formally using (alpha)-naphthylthiourea)
- Painters
- Leather workers
- Manufacture of patent fuel (such as coke) and firelighters
- Tar and pitch workers (roofing and road maintenance)
- Aluminium refining

### Asbestos related cancers

- Lung
- Malignant mesothelioma—most commonly of pleura, occasionally peritoneal, and rarely of pericardium
- Larynx
- Possibly gastrointestinal tract

### Smoking and asbestos

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asbestos</th>
<th>Tobacco</th>
<th>Lung cancer rate per 100 000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Smoking with concomitant exposure to asbestos greatly increases the risk of developing lung cancer: compared with non-smokers not exposed to asbestos, a smoker exposed to asbestos has a 75-100 times greater risk if exposure was sufficient to cause asbestosis, otherwise the risk is about 30-50 times higher. This multiplicative theory on effects of asbestos exposure and smoking, however, has recently been disputed.
Asbestos legislation

Asbestos is controlled in the United Kingdom by three complementary sets of regulations:

• **The Asbestos (Licensing) Regulations** (amended 1998) require work with the most dangerous types of asbestos (coating, lagging, and asbestos insulating board) to be carried out only by contractors who have a licence issued by the Health and Safety Executive.

• **The Control of Asbestos at Work Regulations** (amended 1998) lay down the practices that must be followed for all work with asbestos, including that which requires a licence. Employers must prevent the exposure of employees to asbestos or, where this is not reasonably practicable, reduce exposure to a level that is as low as possible.

• **The Asbestos (Prohibitions) Regulations** (amended 1999) prohibit the importation into the United Kingdom, and the supply and use within Great Britain, of amphibole asbestos—crocidolite (blue) asbestos and amosite (brown) asbestos—and, since 1999, of chrysotile (white) asbestos.

The supply and fitting of vehicle brake linings containing asbestos is prohibited in **The Road Vehicles (Brake Linings Safety) Regulations 1999**, and the European Union has amended the **Marketing and Use Directive (76/769/EEC)**, which prohibits the marketing and use of chrysotile asbestos throughout the EU after 1 January 2005, with one derogation for diaphragms for the chlor-alkali process.

Forthcoming legislation will require employers to manage the risk from asbestos in non-domestic premises.

The latest amendments to the Control of Asbestos at Work Regulations 1987 (which came into force in 1999) target workers who come across asbestos accidentally, such as electricians, plumbers, other maintenance workers, and demolition workers. The Amendment Regulations also tighten the law on control of exposure to asbestos by lowering the action level and the control limit for chrysotile.

---

**Occupations involving exposure to asbestos**

• Manufacture of asbestos products
• Thermal and fire insulation (lagging, delagging)
• Construction and demolition work
• Shipbuilding and repair (welders, metal plate workers)
• Building maintenance and repair
• Manufacture of gas masks (in second world war)
• Plumbers and gasfitters
• Vehicle body builders
• Electricians, carpenters, and upholsterers
• Armed forces (historical)

---

**Ultraviolet radiation**

Ultraviolet radiation from exposure to sunlight causes both melanotic and non-melanotic skin cancers (basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas), but an excess of skin cancers in outdoor workers is seen only in those with fair skin. Initial presentation may be that of solar keratoses or a premalignant state. Immunosuppression can increase the risk; other possible additive factors are trauma, heat, and chronic irritation or infection.

---

**Premalignant melanosis** (lentigo maligna) in a man who retired after a lifetime of working outdoors.

---

Tyndall beam photography showing asbestos fibres released by handling of asbestos boards (left), emphasising the need for proper protection when dealing with asbestos (right).

---

Mesothelioma extending through needle biopsy tract.
Mineral oils

The classic epithelioma of the scrotum or groin caused by contact with mineral oil is rarely seen today, but these tumours can appear at other sites (such as arms and hands) if contamination with oil persists.

Other occupational carcinogens

Ionising radiation is a carcinogen at low doses (0.2 gray or a dose rate of 0.05 mSv per min). Cancer or hereditary defects are known as stochastic effects and can only be minimised. Cataract, sterility, and skin disorders are deterministic effects and can be prevented by keeping exposure below threshold. The recommended dose limit is 20 mSv a year averaged over five years for occupational exposures and 1 mSv for the public.

Further reading


Frieben documented the first case of skin cancer on the hand of an x ray tube factory worker in 1902. Cancer risk estimates on nuclear workers are still not conclusive, and the Gardener hypothesis that the children of radiation workers have an increased risk of leukaemia has not been supported. However, incidence may be increased in emergency workers. The epidemiological evidence from studies concerning airline crew who may receive the equivalent of 100 mSv over a 20 year period from cosmic radiation are inconclusive. No excess cancer has been reported among therapeutic or diagnostic radiologists.

All studies on electromagnetic radiation show inconsistencies and seldom indicate dose-response trends. This may mean that there is no association between electromagnetic fields and cancer, or that there is a risk but studies have not been able to show it. Particular aspects studied so far have been leukaemia, brain cancer, male breast cancer, electrical workers, and welders, but a broader research hypothesis is needed.

Studies of manmade mineral fibres have looked only at small exposures in terms of fibres and years of exposure. An increased risk of lung cancer was found in rock wool workers but it was not possible to conclude that it was caused by manmade mineral fibres. No risk was found in glass wool or glass filament workers. Five deaths from mesothelioma have
been found in various cohorts, but at least three of these workers may have previously been exposed to asbestos.

There is sufficient evidence for the carcinogenicity of inhaled crystalline silica in the form of quartz or cristobalite. Studies show the Bradford-Hill criteria of temporality, consistency, exposure-response gradients, and convergence with experimental and clinical evidence. Measures to prevent silicosis are likely to reduce lung cancer risk.

Skin disorders are among the most often encountered problems in the occupational health setting, and although there are many dermatoses that have occupational relevance, the overwhelming majority are dermatitic. In the United Kingdom, in the period 1998-2000, of the estimated 4540 workers each year with work related skin disease seen by specialist physicians, about 80% were diagnosed as having contact dermatitis. Occupations considered to be at greatest risk are hairdressers and barbers, grinding machine setters and operators, galvanisers, rubber process operatives, and printers.

Contact dermatitis

In current terminology the term “dermatitis” is used synonymously with “eczema” to describe inflammatory reactions in the skin with a spectrum of clinical and histopathological characteristics.

A dermatitis may be entirely endogenous (constitutional) or entirely exogenous (contact). The latter consists of irritant and allergic contact reactions. Commonly, a dermatitis has a multifactorial aetiology and may be aggravated by the presence of pathogens (for example, *Staphylococcus aureus*). Assessment of the relative importance (contribution) of the possible factors can be difficult and subjective. Atopic hand dermatitis and vesicular hand dermatitis are examples of endogenous conditions.

An occupational dermatitis is one where the inflammatory reaction is caused entirely by occupational contact factors or where such agents contribute to the reaction on a compromised skin—that is, they are partially responsible.

In most cases, an occupationally related dermatitis will affect the hands alone or there may be spread onto the forearms. Occasionally, the face may be the prime site of dermatitis (for example, with airborne agents); other sites may be affected.

Irritant contact dermatitis

This is initiated by direct chemical or physical damage to the skin. All individuals are susceptible to the development of an irritant contact dermatitis if exposure to the irritant (toxic) agent or agents is sufficient. It occurs particularly where the stratum corneum is thinnest. Hence, it is often seen in the finger webs and on the backs of the hands, rather than on the palms. Irritant contact dermatitis is of two principal types: acute and chronic. The former is caused by exposure to an agent(s) causing early impairment in stratum corneum function followed by an inflammatory reaction. The latter is caused by repeated exposure to the same or different factors, resulting in “cumulative” damage until an inflammatory reaction ensues and persists for a prolonged period, even after further exposure is stopped. Those with a history of atopic eczema, and especially atopic hand eczema, are at particular risk of developing chronic irritant contact dermatitis. Chronic irritant contact dermatitis is particularly seen in “wet work.”

Wet work, solvents, detergents, soluble coolants, vegetable juices, wet cement, low relative humidity, and occlusive gloves are all examples of common irritants.

### Indications for occupational cause of dermatitis

- A dermatitis first occurred while employed
- There is a history of aggravation by work
- There may be, at least initially, improvement (or clearance) when not at work
- There is exposure to irritant factors or potential allergens
- Work is in an “at risk” occupation

### Irritant contact dermatitis

**Acute**

- Severity of reaction depends on “dose” of irritant agent
- “Chapping” can be considered a minor form, with a “chemical burn” (for example, cement burn) being an extreme event.
- Intermediate eczematous reactions are common; minor reactions are very common
- May occur on the face—for example, low humidity occupational dermatosis, airborne irritant vapours
- Once the irritant factor(s) has been removed, resolution is usually spontaneous without important sequelae

**Chronic**

- A persistent dermatitis and the most common cause of continued disability from occupational skin disease
- Problem continues for long periods even with avoidance of aggravating factors
- Re-exposure to even minor irritant factors may cause a rapid flare
- Even after apparent healing there may be an indefinitely increased susceptibility to recurrence of a dermatitis after irritant exposure

### Examples of common occupational allergens

- Biocides—for example, formaldehyde, methyldibromo-glutaronitrile, methylchloroisothiazolinone
- Hairdressing chemicals—for example, *p*-phenylenediamine
- Chromate (leather, cement)
- Rubber accelerating chemicals—for example, thiurams, carbamates, mercaptobenzothiazole
- Epoxy resin monomers (plastics manufacturing, electrical manufacture)
- Plant allergens—for example, sesquiterpene lactones (horticulture)
Listing of ingredients
All cosmetic (skin care) products in Europe have full ingredient listing on the product packaging, with uniform nomenclature. Skin cleansers, barrier creams, and after work creams are legally cosmetic products. Labelling permits tracing of sources of exposure to allergens. However, there is a lack of meaningful ingredient labelling on other types of consumer and industrial products.

Allergic contact dermatitis
This is a manifestation of a type IV (delayed) hypersensitivity reaction. An allergic contact dermatitis will develop at the site of skin contact with the allergen, but secondary spread may occur. Contaminated hands may spread the allergen to "non-exposed" sites. Trivial or occult contact with an allergen may result in the persistence of a dermatitis; some allergens are "ubiquitous."

Presentation of an allergic reaction has two phases: induction and elicitation. Even with potent experimental allergens there is a minimum period of about 10 days from the first exposure to the immunological acquisition of hypersensitivity. The probability of developing hypersensitivity depends on the sensitising capacity of the chemical and exposure to it. Exposure is assessed in terms of dose every unit area applied to the skin. Most potential allergens on the consumer and industrial market have a low intrinsic allergenic potential, but there are important exceptions, including some biocides (preservatives). Contact allergens tend to be low molecular weight (<600) and capable of forming covalent bonds with carrier proteins in the skin. It is not possible to determine an individual’s susceptibility to the development of contact allergy. Hypersensitivity is specific to a particular molecule or to molecules bearing similar allergenic sites. Although hypersensitivity may be lost over a long time, once acquired it should be considered to last indefinitely.

Management of occupational dermatitis
• An understanding of the patient’s job is essential. A job title is not sufficient for this understanding: the question to be asked is not “what do you do?” but “what do you actually do and how do you do it?” The title “engineer” carries a multiple of descriptions ranging from the desk bound professional to the lathe worker exposed to soluble coolants.

Relevance of contact allergens
• Current: exposure is causing dermatitis or is aggravating it
• Old: past history of exposure but no current exposure
• Don’t know: unknown whether there is current exposure to the allergen or whether exposure is important to the dermatitis
• Exposure: must have occurred but no history of it

Sheeted eczema over the dorsal aspect of the hand and up to the forearm, resulting from allergic contact dermatitis to a carbamate accelerator in protective rubber gloves

Lichenified and fissured eczema on hands of bricklayer resulting from chronic irritant dermatitis. Patch tests were negative, and he was not sensitive to chromate

Development of acute (top) and chronic (bottom) irritant contact dermatitis
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From the job description, it will be possible to estimate sources of excessive contact with potentially irritant contact factors or allergens. The provision of material safety data sheets may be helpful in this evaluation, although the information that they contain is often superficial, generic, and is that required for regulatory requirements. A site visit to watch the worker working may be necessary.

- The history and anatomical distribution of the dermatitis may provide clues as to the aetiology.
- Irritant contact dermatitis may occur as "epidemics" in a workplace if hygiene has failed. Allergic contact dermatitis is usually sporadic in a workplace.
- The evaluation of irritant factors is always subjective. Evaluation of allergic contact factors is objective and provided only by diagnostic patch test investigations. Properly performed, patch tests will show the presence or absence of relevant allergens.
- Patch testing is the only method for the objective evaluation of a dermatitis; however, there are major pitfalls in the use of this essential tool, so adequate training and experience is necessary if it is to be used properly. The ability to assess relevance of allergens is central.
- A competent assessment requires all of the above followed by recommendations on reducing or stopping exposure to the offending agent(s) and similar ones.
- The diagnosis of an occupational dermatitis should describe thoroughly the nature of the condition with due regard to any endogenous or aggravating factors. A general practitioner’s entry in a medical record of "Works in a factory, contact dermatitis. 2/52" is inadequate as a description of an important disease process, and it can have profound implications on the patient's concept of his problem and employment.
- Delays in diagnosis resulting in continued exposure to relevant irritants or allergens can adversely affect the prognosis.
- Early referral to an appropriate dermatology department is necessary for a comprehensive assessment of a suspected occupational dermatitis; improper assessment can have devastating effects on future employment prospects for the individual, with important medicolegal implications. If in doubt, the patient should be referred.

Rubber latex protein sensitivity

Of continuing concern is the immediate type 1 hypersensitivity reaction to proteins present in natural rubber latex used to make gloves and other items. This should be differentiated from irritant contact dermatitis and allergic contact dermatitis, which can also be attributed to chemical agents used in latex products, particularly gloves.

Prevalence and incidence of sensitivity to rubber latex proteins remain unquantified. The prevalence in the general population is thought to be less than 1%, but is likely to be higher within certain risk groups. A prevalence of 2.8-17% has been reported in healthcare workers, and in other occupations where workers are regularly exposed to rubber latex (hairdressers, greenhouse workers, housekeeping staff, and glove factory workers) the frequency of allergy has been reported as ranging from 5-11%.

At particular risk are people with spina bifida (prevalence reported to be 18.65%), atopic individuals, and individuals with certain food allergies (for example, to avocado, chestnut or banana, and kiwi fruit).

Rubber latex protein sensitivity can result in reactions including urticaria, rhinitis, and asthma. The latter is more frequent and severe.

Immediate contact reaction to latex proteins in examination gloves. Type 1 hypersensitivity reactions to latex proteins are of growing concern.

The primary prevention of occupational dermatitis is aimed at providing appropriate information and protection

- Awareness by employer and employee of the potential risks of exposure
- Education on the necessity of good occupational hygiene precautions
- Adequate provision of suitable and effective means of reducing exposure
- Awareness of the limitations of personal protection devices

Patch testing

- Properly performed requires expertise, time, and proper facilities
- Difficult to undertake adequately in the workplace. There are no short cuts
- Primarily a hospital based procedure
- Should be performed only by those with appropriate training who can prescribe an appropriately comprehensive screen, know what not to test, know what to dilute for testing, can competently read the reactions, and can give authoritative advice after interpretation of the reactions
- Anyone can patch test; few do it well. If you don’t know how to do it, don’t do it
common when starch powdered gloves have been used. In the healthcare setting, gloves made from rubber latex are likely to be the main cause of sensitisation in staff, as well as the main cause of symptoms in those who are allergic. Therefore, prevention includes clear policy regarding the type of glove used. If latex gloves are to be used, powdered rubber latex gloves should not be used, and extractable protein levels in latex gloves must be as low as possible, as should the level of allergenic protein residues. Staff with known sensitivity should be provided with non-latex alternatives.

A definitive demonstration of hypersensitivity can be made by skin prick testing with the water soluble proteins. Commercial preparations are available; the proteins can also be eluted from a suspect rubber item. Radioallergosorbent tests are less sensitive.

Further reading

- Beach J. The problem with material safety data sheets. Occup Med 2002;52:67-8

Other occupational dermatoses

- Contact urticaria—type I hypersensitivity reaction—for example, natural rubber latex protein
- Chloracne (halogenacne)—acneiform eruption caused by certain halogenated aromatic hydrocarbons; a symptom of systemic absorption
- Oil folliculitis (oil acne)—irritant effect of neat petroleum oils localised to hair follicles
- Depigmentation (leukoderma)—caused by hydroquinone and phenol derivatives
- Hyperpigmentation—caused by mineral oils, halogenated hydrocarbons, photodynamic actions of psoralenes and tar products
- Skin cancer (see chapter 15)
- Skin infections (see chapter 14)
The sequencing of the human genome, and the intense interest that accompanied this achievement, again raised issues surrounding the interaction of genetic and environmental exposures in causing disease. Even where exposures at work and in the environment have been clearly shown to be related to specific pathologies, and preventive measures initiated, the question of genetic susceptibility remains: why do only some of those exposed develop the disease? Understanding of such susceptibility will seldom exclude workers, but it may improve understanding of the mechanisms by which disease occurs, and suggest approaches to prevention or treatment.

There is also concern that occupational or environmental exposures may affect subsequent generations through changes to stem cells; by this means an infant born to such a parent may be at greater risk of disease even if exposure of the parent ceased long before the child was conceived. Evidence from human studies of occupational exposure affecting the genetic blueprint of the next generation is sparse and controversial, but pressing questions remain about whether such exposures can cause infertility, affect the outcome of pregnancy, or influence the development of the infant in later life.

In all these areas—genetic susceptibility, genetic alteration, and reproductive health—exposures in the working population may be of particular concern because exposures tend to be greater than in the general population, a large proportion of those exposed are of reproductive age, and any exposure effects that are found are, in principle, preventable. Environmental exposures to the general public (including the very young, and pregnant or nursing mothers) through contaminants in food, water, and air may also be suspected of affecting reproductive health. For example, even trace amounts of chemicals affecting the endocrine system of pregnant women may be responsible for the increased rate of testicular cancer seen in many societies.

Work and genetics

Why should occupational health professionals be concerned with the genetic make up of people in the workforce or who seek to join it? Firstly, in some, genetic inheritance, even in the absence of a specific occupational exposure, will lead to disease that will put at risk themselves, their fellow workers, or the general public. For example, a worker genetically programmed to develop Huntington’s disease, if employed as a driver of a high speed train, may put the public at risk in the early stages of the disease before a diagnosis can be made that permits redeployment or retirement on medical grounds. Secondy, some genetic conditions render a person unable to tolerate work environments that can be tolerated by other workers. For example, deep sea diving may induce a crisis in a worker carrying the gene for sickle cell disease and, as a result, the worker and others may be put at peril. Thirdly, a particular genetic variant (or polymorphism) or a combination of variants may carry a risk of ill health if a worker is exposed to a chemical that is detoxified by the enzyme produced by the gene. The case of slow acetylators is a well known example. Where such a disease is a serious threat to quality of life or life expectancy.

Genetic testing

- Can identify a predisposition to illness—for example, thalassaemia, Huntington’s disease, sickle cell disease
- Could be used for genetic monitoring—for example, exposure to radiation or polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
- Has been used for estimation of fitness to work (exclusion or protection)—for example, exclusion of those with sickle cell trait from flying, diving and compressed air work, and exclusion of those with glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency from work involving naphthalene or trinitrotoluene, and cultivation or processing of broad beans
- Has been proposed as a way to:
  - predict the likelihood of common diseases (diabetes, schizophrenia—for example) that might raise sickness absence rates or medical costs to employers
  - identify resistant individuals (rapid acetylators—for example) who could, in theory, be exposed without harm to higher concentrations of toxic chemicals

Genetic testing or screening in an occupational context is clearly beset with problems of ethics, effectiveness, and practicality.

Genetic information

- Is a unique identifier
- Can be done on a small sample
- Can be done covertly, without consent
- Can be used for prediction
- Is of interest to employers, insurance companies, and relatives
- Has potential commercial value (patents)
- Can outlast the source
- Can define susceptible groups
- Can be used for purposes other than those for which it was collected

Statement of the Nuffield Council on Bioethics 1993

Genetic screening of employees for increased occupational risks ought only to be contemplated where:

(i) Strong evidence exists of a clear connection between the working environment and the development of the condition for which genetic testing can be conducted
(ii) The condition in question is one that seriously endangers the health of the employee or is one in which an affected employee is likely to present a serious danger to third parties
(iii) The condition is one for which the dangers cannot be eliminated or significantly reduced by reasonable measures taken by the employer to modify or respond to the environmental risks
itself, it may be tempting to consider introducing screening to monitor such workers and exclude them from exposure. The balance of opinion, however, is that such screening for genetic susceptibility is seldom, if ever, justified from either an ethical or a practical standpoint.

A further issue is whether the potential for a substance to cause mutation (and ultimately cancer) can be monitored through the formation of “adducts” when a chemical binds to DNA after exposure (for example, to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons) and can be measured in cells obtained from a routine blood sample. Those with the highest number of adducts may be thought to be at the greatest risk of developing cancer, either because their exposures have indeed been higher (perhaps because of poor environmental controls) or because a finding of a particularly high level of adducts may in itself be an indication of an inherent inability to detoxify a particular mutagen (or to repair damage when it occurs). Given the wide variation in adducts in the same individual measured on separate occasions and the uncertainty in interpreting such measures in assessing the risk of cancer in later years, the routine use of DNA adducts as exposure effect markers for individual workers may not be defensible. However, occupational health professionals need to understand the potential importance of such measures, as evidence of a relevant mechanism (that is, the formation of adducts) is already being used by the International Agency for Research on Cancer in the designation of chemicals as carcinogens (for example, ethylene oxide).

Genetic analysis may also have a place in the attribution of causality after disease has occurred. Mutations in the suppressor gene p53 have been found in most types of cancer; in individual cases, it may be helpful to consider whether the mutation observed is one that occurs more often in tumours associated with one type of exposure, increasing the post facto probability that this is the exposure that was responsible. In epidemiological studies, where an excess of ill health is associated with one type of exposure, increasing the post facto probability that this is the exposure that was responsible. In epidemiological studies, where an excess of ill health is observed but the importance of exposure is uncertain, showing that those with a genetic susceptibility to the exposure are more likely to develop the disease may again shift the balance towards acceptance of causality.

Genetics and reproduction

The time window for genetic damage in reproductive stem cells differs markedly between men and women. In women, ova that will be available for fertilisation in adulthood go through most phases of development while the fetus is in utero. The implication is that genetic changes to the ovum that will affect children born to the woman will be caused by exposures to the grandmother while she was pregnant. In practice, there is little evidence that this does occur (at least for occupational exposures). In men, by contrast, damage to stem cells that may affect the genetic complement of the resulting child can happen at any time, from in utero exposure to the point at which production of the sperm occurs. There is then a further three month window for adverse environmental effects as the sperm that will eventually fertilise the ovum moves through its final stages of development. Although the time period of opportunity for damage is much greater for men, and the protection from external influences is less stringent than in utero, the evidence of such effects from occupational exposure in humans is sparse.

Finally, environmental exposures in utero have been suspected to cause childhood cancers, although the best evidence for this again comes from pharmaceutical products—mothers’ use of diethylstilboestrol—for example, products—mothers’ use of diethylstilboestrol—for example, suspected to cause childhood cancers, although the best evidence for this again comes from pharmaceutical products—mothers’ use of diethylstilboestrol—for example,
was responsible for vaginal cancers in female offspring as they reached adolescence and beyond. It is likely that such somatic mutations are more common than those to stem cells, which would perpetuate genetically mediated disorders through the generations.

Work and reproductive health

Although the fertility of both men and women can be adversely affected by exposure to chemical compounds (particularly certain pesticides and solvents), metals, the physical environment (heat, radiation), and other factors at work, evidence suggests that the range of exposures with such adverse reproductive effects is fairly limited. Once the fertilised ovum is implanted and begins to develop, the risk seems much greater, with exposure to chemicals, infective agents, and radiation having the capacity to interrupt fetal development during the period of organogenesis (as happened with thalidomide), to interfere with the development of the nervous system (with effects on hearing or eyesight—for example, and possibly on rates of spina bifida), or to result in retardation (not evident at birth) in the infant as it develops. Importantly, there is good epidemiological evidence that heavy physical demands at work are related to fetal death and prematurity. Few occupational cohort studies have been able to follow the offspring of workers into childhood to determine subtle effects on development that may result from exposure in utero, but if community studies of environmental exposures are correct in their interpretation, similar effects of occupational exposure would be anticipated.

Environment and reproductive health

Many of the concerns about effects on the developing infant have arisen from interpretation of community studies of the relation between exposure to lead (from flaking paint or gasoline), household pesticides (used repeatedly in poor quality housing in hot climates), and neurotoxic substances (for example, organic mercury) from diet (fish, game) or water and infant development. Of particular interest in recent years has been the suggestion that endocrine modulators from water, diet (phytoestrogens such as soya), or exposures to—for example, plasticisers such as phthalates, have effects in utero on the male fetus, leading to congenital malformations (hypospadias), low sperm count, and testicular cancer. Results of research into such effects in humans are just becoming available and are not wholly supportive of this overarching hypothesis, but the impetus arising from this elegant synthesis has pushed environmental (and occupational) reproductive health into the focus of regulators throughout the western world.


Agents associated with risk to male fertility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Chemicals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemical</strong></td>
<td><strong>Physical</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbaryl: abnormal sperm morphology</td>
<td>Heat: oligospermia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlordecone: oligospermia, reduced sperm motility, abnormal sperm morphology</td>
<td>Ionising radiation: oligospermia or azoospermia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon disulphide: oligospermia, abnormal morphology</td>
<td>Biological</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform: congenital PCB syndrome</td>
<td>Mumps: oligospermia or azoospermia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane: malformation of central nervous system, childhood cancer | *The epidemiological evidence is conflicting for some of these hazards*
| Organic solvents: spontaneous abortion* | Rubella: spontaneous abortion, intrauterine death, congenital rubella syndrome |
| Organic solvents: spontaneous abortion | Varicella zoster infection: neonatal infection, congenital varicella syndrome |
| Ionising radiation: spontaneous abortion, intrauterine death, prematurity | Parvovirus B19: hydrops fetalis, fetal loss |
| Lead: spontaneous abortion, intrauterine death, prematurity | Chemical |
| Malformation of central nervous system, childhood cancer | *The epidemiological evidence is conflicting for some of these hazards* |
| Heavy physical demands, shift work, extremes of temperature: spontaneous abortion, prematurity, growth retardation, intrauterine death* | Biological |
| Physical |

Some hazards associated with adverse pregnancy outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Chemicals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical</td>
<td>Biological</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaesthetic gases: spontaneous abortion, growth retardation, intrauterine death*</td>
<td>Rubella: spontaneous abortion, intrauterine death, congenital rubella syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic solvents: spontaneous abortion*</td>
<td>Varicella zoster infection: neonatal infection, congenital varicella syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead: spontaneous abortion, intrauterine death, prematurity</td>
<td>Parvovirus B19: hydrops fetalis, fetal loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs): congenital PCB syndrome</td>
<td><em>The epidemiological evidence is conflicting for some of these hazards</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs): congenital PCB syndrome</td>
<td>Rubella: spontaneous abortion, intrauterine death, congenital rubella syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parvovirus B19: hydrops fetalis, fetal loss</td>
<td>Varicella zoster infection: neonatal infection, congenital varicella syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>The epidemiological evidence is conflicting for some of these hazards</em></td>
<td>Parvovirus B19: hydrops fetalis, fetal loss</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further reading

- McDonald JC, ed. Epidemiology of work related disorders, 2nd ed. London: BMJ Publishing 2000. Of particular interest are chapters on work and pregnancy; occupation and infertility; and molecular assessment of exposure, effect, and effect modification
- Information on genetic testing can be found at www.hgc.gov.uk

*The epidemiological evidence is conflicting for some of these hazards*
Pollution of the air, soil, and water is a major problem in many parts of the world. In developed countries the worst excesses of industrial pollution are coming under control but have been replaced by pollutants generated by motor vehicles. In developing countries the rapid increase in industrialisation combined with the increased use of motor vehicles is producing conditions as bad, if not worse, than those seen in developed countries a century ago. Dense chemical smog is common in megacities such as Mexico City and São Paulo and is an increasing problem in many of the cities of China and India. Photochemical air pollution is a problem in the Mediterranean area; in fact, only the dense and damp smogs so characteristic of London until the 1950s seem to have disappeared. The combination of a damp, foggy climate and intensive use of soft coal in inefficient household fireplaces does not seem to have been repeated on such a scale elsewhere, although similar conditions may have occurred in Eastern European countries and in Istanbul. High concentrations of coal smoke and sulphur dioxide do occur in some Chinese cities, and forest fires have, over recent years, caused significant “haze” conditions in South East Asia.

Air pollution is not solely an outdoor problem: in many countries indoor pollution produced by the use of biomass as a fuel damages health, especially that of women and young children who may be exposed for much of a 24 hour day. The seemingly inevitable link between poverty and poor environmental conditions persists, and efforts to resolve this and instil a sense of environmental justice are only now beginning.

Air pollution is a major problem but so is pollution of water. Attention has been drawn to the contamination of drinking water with arsenic leached from soil in West Bengal. High levels of lead, nitrates, and pesticides have also been detected in drinking water in various countries. A recent problem in California has been the seepage of methyl tert butyl ether (MTBE) into drinking water: an ironic problem as MTBE was added to petrol as an oxygenating agent designed to reduce the production of air pollutants.

Air pollution

Air pollution is a worldwide problem. A recent publication by WHO estimated that of 17 major cities, nine had serious problems with suspended particulate matter—the WHO guideline was exceeded by more than a factor of two. The impact of air pollution on health is large: some three million deaths each year are attributed by WHO to air pollution. Of these, 2.8 million result from indoor exposure (1.9 million occurring in developing countries) and only 0.2 million occur as a result of outdoor exposure. Of these 0.2 million deaths, only 14 000 are thought to occur in developed countries. These figures are not easy to interpret. In the United Kingdom, airborne particles (PM10) are thought to be associated with about 10 000 extra deaths every year. Those affected experience by far the greatest part of this exposure indoors. It is salutary to consider how much effort is put into controlling outdoor concentrations of air pollutants compared with indoor concentrations.
Particulate air pollution

Until recently it was believed that airborne concentrations of particles in countries like the United Kingdom had fallen to such levels that effects on health had essentially disappeared. This is now known to be untrue.

An increase in PM10 of 10 μg for every cubic metre is associated with about a 1% increase in deaths, although recent studies suggest that a lower percentage increase, perhaps 0.7%, might be more accurate. The effect on non-accidental hospital admissions is of the same degree. In a small country like the United Kingdom this leads to a large impact on health: 8700 deaths brought forward (all causes), and 10500 hospital admissions (respiratory) either advanced in time (that is, the admission would have occurred but occurs earlier as a result of exposure to pollution) or caused de novo.

It has been argued that the extra deaths calculated in this way are merely deaths advanced by just a few days in those who are already seriously ill. This does not seem to be true, however: recent work by Schwartz has suggested that at least some of the deaths may be advanced by months. Studies in the United States have shown that living in a city with a comparatively high level of particles leads to a reduction in life expectancy.

Calculating the extent of the impact at an individual level is impossible because we do not know how many in a population are affected. If all people were affected equally, then at levels of particles found in the United Kingdom, the individual impact would be small, some days only. If, however (as is much more likely), the effect is unevenly distributed across the population, some would lose months, or even years, of life.

If this is the case in the relatively unpolluted United Kingdom then the effect in much more polluted developing countries must be large indeed. Predicting the size of the effect in developing countries is not easy as it will, in part, depend on the background prevalence of disease. Note that cardiovascular disease is increasing in some developing countries.

These calculations of the impact of particles on health have produced a revolution in thinking in inhalation toxicology. Some, being unable to understand the exact mechanism of effect, have argued that the associations are not causal. Others have, rather more usefully, set out to find the mechanism of effects, and research has flourished.

Ultrafine particles (less than 100 nm in diameter) have been suggested to play an important role. These particles contribute little to the mass concentration of the ambient aerosol but a great deal to its number concentration. The idea that the number of particles in every cubic metre of air may be more important than the mass per cubic metre has gained ground in recent years. More recently, the idea that total particle surface area per unit volume of air may be important has been discussed. If this is true then air quality standards dependent on mass measurements will need revision. The unusual and unexpected toxicological properties of ultrafine particles have been recently reviewed (see Further reading).

Photochemical air pollution

Concern over secondary pollutants generated from primary emitted pollutants by photochemical reactions began in Los Angeles in the late 1940s. Ozone is the best known photochemical air pollutant produced from nitrogen dioxide (see box) particles; other chemical species, including peroxy radicals derived from volatile organic compounds, are also important. Ozone is the classic example of a secondary air pollutant: essentially no ozone is emitted by sources of outdoor air pollution.

Ozone is a strong oxidising agent and at concentrations above 100 parts per billion (200 μg/m³) produces inflammation of

\[ \text{NO}_2 + h\nu \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{O}^* \]
\[ \text{O}^* + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_3 \]
\[ \text{RO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{RO} \]

It will be appreciated that as long as sunlight (represented by hν), oxygen, nitrogen dioxide, and peroxy radicals (RO₂, produced from volatile organic compounds emitted by motor vehicles) are present, ozone production will continue. The reactions stop at night and levels of ozone fall, to build up again the next day. Ozone is thus a problem in cities with heavy traffic and bright sunlight: Athens, Los Angeles, and Mexico City are examples. In the United Kingdom ozone is a greater problem in rural than in urban areas, the formative reactions taking place in polluted air masses drifting from the city to the countryside.

Modern epidemiological techniques employing time series analysis have shown that day to day variations in outdoor mass concentrations of particles are related to daily counts of events including deaths, hospital admissions, general practitioner consultations, and days of restricted activity.

New trends in research on particulate air pollution

- Effects are not limited to the respiratory system; effects on the cardiovascular system are likely to be more important
- Small particles (less than 2.5 μm in diameter) are likely to play an important role
- The production of free radicals, perhaps as a result of metals acting as catalysts, is likely to be important
- Changes in the control of the heart’s beat to beat interval and in the production of clotting factors may be important

Electron micrograph of diesel particles. Individual particles are about 25 nm in diameter. Photograph kindly provided by Professor RJ Richards, Cardiff University
the respiratory tract. This is reflected in a reduction in the forced expiratory volume in one second and peak expiratory flow rate. Pain on deep inspiration occurs and these effects lead, unsurprisingly, to a reduction in athletic performance. Interestingly, the effect is short lived, and daily exposure studies have shown that the effect is much reduced by about the fourth or fifth day. Epidemiological studies show that daily deaths and hospital admissions for asthma and other respiratory diseases are related to daily ozone concentrations. Discussion about a possible threshold of effect remains unresolved. If no threshold is assumed, the effects in the United Kingdom are large.

**Combinations of air pollutants**
Chemical air pollutants never occur alone. There is always a mixture, and it is likely that effects on health are caused by the mixture and might vary with the composition of that mixture. Separating out the more important pollutants has proved to be difficult, and recent studies have shown that the effects of one pollutant may be modified by co-pollutants. This seems to be the case in co-exposures to ozone and nitrogen dioxide. Much more work is needed in this area.

Carbon monoxide (a pollutant that is well known to produce lethal effects at high concentrations) has recently been shown by epidemiological studies to be associated with heart attacks and heart failure at current outdoor concentrations—a remarkable finding. Carbon monoxide may be acting as a marker for other pollutants in the ambient mixture, or at low concentrations it may have unexpected effects in sensitive subjects. Recent studies in volunteers who had angina have shown that carboxyhaemoglobin concentrations as low as 2% are associated with a reduction in “time to pain” on exercise.

**Carcinogenic air pollutants**
Many well recognised human carcinogens occur in ambient air, both outdoors and, often to a greater extent, indoors. Studies in UK homes have shown—for example, that concentrations of benzene indoors may exceed those outdoors. Motor vehicles generate benzene, 1,3-butadiene, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. High levels of arsenic may occur near metal smelting works. These carcinogens are genotoxic and thus at all levels of exposure no guarantee of safety can be provided.

All estimates of increased risk of this sort are based on mathematical extrapolation from studies, in animals or man, of measured increases in risk on exposure to high concentrations. The process is unlikely to be precise and the accuracy of the predictions cannot be ascertained. This has led UK regulators to adopt a pragmatic approach and to set standards for ambient concentrations at levels at which the risk is judged to be very small and not to attempt quantification of the effects. Thus, in the case of benzene, a standard of five parts per billion (15.6 g/m³) expressed as an annual average concentration has been adopted.

**Indoor air pollution**
All the pollutants discussed above, with the exception of ozone (which reacts rapidly with furnishings and fittings and disappears), occur indoors. Indoor concentrations are, in part, driven by outdoor sources as well as by specific indoor sources. Carbon monoxide and nitrogen dioxide may be produced by fires and by cooking—peak levels in kitchens can be higher than those commonly found outdoors. Recent work has led to concern about an association between nitrogen dioxide and respiratory infections, worsening of lung function in women with asthma, and increased sensitisation and response to allergens. Long term exposure to low levels of carbon...
monoxide that produce only mild symptoms may lead to lasting neurological effects. Regulating indoor pollutant concentrations is difficult: fewer countries have produced standards for indoor air quality than for outdoor air quality. The need for regular maintenance of devices that can produce pollutants indoors, for smoke alarms, and for constant vigilance on the part of doctors dealing with potentially poisoned patients is obvious.

Water and soil pollution

In developed countries the quality of drinking water is often accepted unthinkingly as high: we assume that the water is safe to drink. In many countries, however, such an assumption may be unwise because of microbiological and chemical contamination. The former causes more disease than the latter but will not be considered here. Accidental contamination of water supplies occurs from time to time in all countries: in the United Kingdom the accidental contamination of water with aluminium sulphate in Camelford (Cornwall) in 1988 (see chapter 20) led to widespread complaints that are still the subject of investigation. The quality of water supplies is improving in many countries, but the rate of improvement is uneven. WHO reported that in the period 1990-4 the number of people without a satisfactory water supply increased in Africa, Latin America, and the Caribbean. In some countries, including developed countries such as the United Kingdom, concern has been expressed about the possible impacts on health of so called endocrine disrupting chemicals.

Conclusion

Pollution of air, soil, and water remains a problem in nearly all parts of the world. In developed countries air pollution tends to attract the greatest attention, and considerable efforts to control outdoor sources of air pollutants have been made. In developing countries both air and water pollution remain important problems, and a large effort will be needed before these are removed.

Further reading

- Brunekreef B, Holgate ST. Air pollution and health. Lancet 2002;360:1233-42. A comprehensive review article with extensive references
- Health effects of climate change. www.doh.gov.uk/airpollution/climatechange02/index.htm. An up-to-date and comprehensive overview of all aspects of this problem

Compounds that are of proved concern as water and soil pollutants

Arsenic

Arsenic is found in high concentrations in many countries including Argentina, Canada, Chile, China, Japan, Mexico, the Philippines, and the United States. The recent discovery of arsenic concentrations at 70 times the national standard of 0.05 mg/l in West Bengal has highlighted this pollutant. Poisoning via water leads to evidence of chronic toxicity including melanosis, hyperkeratosis, and skin cancer. In West Bengal 200000 people are reported to be suffering from arsenical skin lesions

Nitrate

Nitrate leached from agricultural land may enter drinking water. The use of infant food prepared with such water can lead to poisoning, methaemoglobin being produced by interaction between nitrite ions (produced from nitrate ions) and haemoglobin. The reaction is an oxidative one (ferrous iron in haemoglobin being converted to ferric iron in methaemoglobin) but the exact mechanism is unclear. In very young children cyanosis may occur. In 15 European countries 0.5-10% of the population may be exposed to nitrate levels in excess of the WHO standard of 50 mg/l

Lead

Lead can be mobilised from pipes and solder joints, especially in areas with acidic water supplies (“soft water” areas). Lead is accumulated in the body and can damage the central nervous system. A number of studies have linked lead intake and a decreased intelligence quotient. Mercury and cadmium are examples of other metals that contaminate water supplies

Fluoride

Fluoride is added to water in some countries to provide protection against tooth decay: effective protection is provided at levels of 0.5-1.0 mg/l. The margin between protective and toxic effects is unfortunately narrow, and effects ranging from dental fluorosis (mottling of enamel) to skeletal fluorosis occur in some areas. High levels of fluoride are found in parts of the Middle East, Africa, and North and South America

Based on recent work by the WHO
Environmental effects on health can be direct, as in the effect of lead pollution on the development of children, or indirect—for example, the eventual health impacts of the loss of biodiversity among plant and animal species. Many widespread and global environmental issues impact on human health. A selection of important areas follow along with some of the policy instruments that seek to mitigate these global risks.

Carrying capacity

Man’s activities of production and consumption affect not only our local environment but the environment of whole regions and the entire planet. Given the large scale of such activities in an increasingly globalised world, certain polluting or resource depleting activities that the carrying capacity of the local environment used to absorb now result in overload or contamination of global proportions. One of the best recognised examples in the 20th century has been the devastating effect of acid rain on natural ecosystems whose ability to absorb and eliminate sulphuric acid was overwhelmed.

The carrying capacity amounts to some 10 hectares for every person for the richest countries compared with only 2.5 hectares per person on a global average. Thus, on this and other measures there is not enough land to support the world’s population at the level of consumption enjoyed by the most industrialised countries. Of course, there is no widespread enthusiasm to reduce levels of consumption. On the contrary, there are widespread aspirations to increase industrial production and employment and to reduce, or eliminate, poverty.

Various attempts have been made to estimate how many people can comfortably and sustainably live on this planet, based on some reasonable compromise between the (low) current average standard of living and the high average in the richest countries. Realistic estimates based on food production, water usage, energy consumption, and the integrated footprints fall mostly in the range of three to five billion people. With a world population of six billion and projected increases to at least 10 billion before any prospect of levelling off, the sustainable carrying capacity of the planet is already being exceeded.

Biodiversity

As pressure on land has increased in the past 100 years, the rate of extinction has accelerated. It is estimated that 20-50% of species present 100 years ago will have become extinct by 2100, with the rate of loss accelerating from now until then.

Many species are lost as biodiverse tropical rainforests are depleted by clearance and burning. This has practical consequences on human health by affecting food and drugs. Medicines have been identified and developed from tropical plants, and pharmacological possibilities for numerous species have not been explored. In the case of food, we have in the past relied on the cross breeding of food crops with wild strains to maintain productivity and resistance to pests, and will no doubt need to continue to do this, whatever achievements arise from genetic modification in laboratories.
Arsenic in ground water

Arsenic is a widespread mineral in the Earth’s crust and occurs at rather high concentrations in some ground waters that get exploited as sources of drinking water. In Europe few populations have been exposed and signs of arsenic toxicity, including melanosis and keratosis of the skin, peripheral neuropathy, and vascular and respiratory ill health, are rare. Consequently, attention has shifted to cancer risk, estimated by extrapolation from more exposed populations. Skin and internal cancers (bladder and lung in particular) have been clearly associated with higher levels of arsenic exposure and concern about risks at relatively low concentrations has prompted most countries to adopt a standard of 10 μg/l in drinking water. However, in some developing countries, most notably Bangladesh, it has recently been discovered that more than 20 million people are being exposed to over 50 μg/l and levels over 1000 μg/l have been found. Thus, many thousands of cases of arsenic poisoning have already occurred, and this is likely to be followed by many fatal cancers, especially if remediation measures are not put into place rapidly.

Global climate change

A significant upward trend in mean temperature is now in little doubt, and anthropogenic sources are substantially contributing, especially in relation to carbon dioxide. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) in 2001 predicted an increase in 2-3°C over the course of the 21st century. The authoritative IPCC, among others, has highlighted a number of serious consequences if this were to happen. One consequence, the increase in frequency of extreme weather events, already seems to be underway. Melting of the polar ice caps, accompanied by a rise in sea levels, would lead to disastrous flooding of low lying coastal regions. Shifts in climate patterns would lead to changes in agricultural productivity and, given the time and investment it takes to adapt to such changes, most likely famine and conflict. The distribution of infectious diseases is expected to change, with malaria migrating north as temperatures rise. Other vector borne diseases threatening to develop, such as dengue fever, viral encephalitis, schistosomiasis, leishmaniasis, onchocerciasis, and yellow fever.

Stratospheric ozone depletion

Few have not heard of the ozone hole, with the accompanying increase in ground level exposure to ultraviolet radiation and the consequent increase of sunburn and skin cancer. Damage to the ozone layer is the result of chemical reactions between chlorofluorocarbons used as aerosol propellants and refrigerants. Although chlorofluorocarbons are inert at ground level temperatures, in the very cold stratospheric environment over the poles, sunlight breaks them down into reactive intermediates which in turn destroy the ozone present there. As the ozone is depleted, more harmful solar radiation gets through. In recognition of this problem, chlorofluorocarbons were banned under the Montreal Protocol of 1987. This was not implemented in every country, however, and in the meantime other ozone destroying chemicals have been identified. Because it takes some time for the ozone to build up again, ozone depletion is not expected to recover until the middle of the 21st century. Ground level ultraviolet light is predicted to rise by 12-15% relative to 1970s levels.

Emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases

Emerging infectious diseases are those that have been recently discovered, have increased in humans over the past two decades, or threaten to increase in the future

Re-emerging infections are infectious diseases which have increased (previously having diminished in incidence) because of ecological changes, public health decline, or development of drug resistance

Six major factors have contributed to their emergence or re-emergence:

1. Changes in human demography and behavior (for example, immunosuppression, aging population, migration, risky behaviours)
2. Advances in technology and changes in industry practices (for example, air conditioning cooling towers, changes in food processing, changes in rendering.)
3. Economic development and changes in land use patterns (encroachment on the tropical rainforests, conservation efforts, climate changes)
4. Dramatic increases in volume and speed of international travel and commerce of people, animals, and foodstuffs
5. Microbial adaptation and changes
6. Breakdown of public health capacity for infectious diseases

In most instances, the emergence of a specific agent results from a complex interaction of several factors

Examples of emerging and re-emerging infections include:

- HIV
- Legionnaire’s disease
- Hantavirus
- E. Coli O157
- Vancomycin resistant enterococci
- Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)
Lead in the environment

Lead has multiple toxic health effects—haematological, renal, and neurological—although at typical levels of exposure in the environment, neuropsychological impacts are the main concern, especially for developing children. Aside from local contamination or pollution, exposure to lead has been quite widespread from dissolution into drinking water from lead piping, use of lead in paint in old houses, and airborne exposure from leaded petrol. In addition, people have been exposed via their food from the use of lead solder for sealing cans, although this has now been completely phased out. However, the other sources still lead to exposure. Although the use of lead additive in petrol has virtually ceased, there is still much dust on roadsides from past use and this is resuspended or picked up by children; lead present in paint in older houses remains an important source as it is chipped off through normal wear and tear; again in older dwellings, lead pipes in the home or connecting with the main water supply can be a source, with solubility depending on the chemistry and pH of the water supply. Research into the effects of lead exposure on children’s neurological development measures their intelligence quotient and emotional and behavioural development.

Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)

PCBs, along with dioxins and chlorinated pesticides such as DDT, exhibit a particular persistence. They are not broken down in the environment and indeed are accumulated as they are taken up by plants, herbivores, and carnivores, with concentrations increasing at each level. Mass production of PCBs started in 1929 and expanded enormously until environmental damage was recognised in the 1960s. The first evidence of adverse health effect came in 1968 with the mass poisoning of Japanese who ate rice oil contaminated with PCBs. The resulting Yusho disease (named after the place where this incident took place), entailed disfiguring pigmentation of the skin, sweating, conjunctivitis, headaches, weakness, cough, and liver damage then later an increased incidence of cancer. For pregnant women, exposure led to malformed children. The effect of bioaccumulation on wildlife was subsequently established—for example, reduced fertility in various seabirds feeding on fish with accumulated PCBs. Production and use was, after some delay, reduced, with bans being introduced in the 1970s in Western Europe and the 1980s in Eastern Europe. Environmental levels of PCBs are slowly reducing again.

Transport and health

In recent years attention has been increasingly focused on the links between transport and health. The traditional dominant concern—death and injury from collision—has been extended to embrace transport related emissions. Pollution from industry and domestic fireplaces has fallen, but as a result of the phenomenal increase in mobility and car ownership, motor vehicles are now the main source of emissions in the United Kingdom (directly or through atmospheric reactions) of particulates, oxides of nitrogen, and ozone.

Added to these more obvious health risks are other concerns relating to quality of life and health—for example, trade-offs between different psychosocial impacts. Greater mobility has the potential to increase more distant social contacts and potentially to provide protection against...
vulnerability to assault. Set against this is the community severance and loss of social networking and support within communities that is a consequence of busy roads passing through residential areas. Regular physical exercise is reduced if walking and cycling are cut in favour of car journeys; this has been shown dramatically in the case of children’s journeys to school. Not only is this unhealthy per se, but it ingrains the habit of car dependence, leading to the cumulative effect of insufficient exercise.

Policy responses

This range of examples has been selected to illustrate the wide range of environmental impacts on health. Our choice of mode of transport has both local and global impacts. Our energy consumption has an impact via its contribution to global warming. Toxic chemicals in the environment may be local problems or bioaccumulating and thus contribute to very distant increases of risk. These may be natural as in the case of the arsenic contamination of drinking water, or manmade as in lead pollution.

In a parallel manner, the range of policy instruments for preventing adverse environmental impacts operates on various levels. At a global level international conventions play a major role, although the important and potentially expensive ones are the most difficult to get all parties to agree to and ratify. The Kyoto agreement on limiting climate change gases will remain in limbo as long as the major polluters refuse to ratify it.

Regulation at national and, for the European Union, European level is embodied in directives, regulations, and policies, such as, in the United Kingdom, NAAQS. Local initiatives prompted by the meeting on the environment and development in Rio de Janeiro have become an important focus for both local authority initiatives and the involvement of civil society. The so-called La21, or Local Agenda 21, developed as an idea intended to catalyse local environmental initiatives.

Finally, industrial undertakings by their very size can have large environmental impacts, or make products with significant environmental impacts. Responsible corporate and product stewardship can be implemented to seek to reduce adverse environmental (or environmental health) impacts. This may be represented by adherence within the worksite to quality standards such as the Eco-Management and Audit Scheme or ISO 14 000 environmental quality schemes, or the adoption of “cradle to grave” product stewardship initiatives, ensuring that raw materials such as wood are derived from sustainable sources, recycling is maximised, and products are designed so that they can be recycled.

Toxic waste

Many of the materials we use are useful yet have an inherent toxicity, or to produce them entails generating toxic waste, which in any case needs careful disposal to avoid or at least minimise human exposure. This has frequently not been the case, with a legacy of contaminated land or poorly documented waste sites in the United Kingdom, or waste being exported to other less well regulated countries. This latter practice has been somewhat restricted through international agreements such as the Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal (1989), although not all countries are signatories to this convention.

Further reading

- Patz JA, Kovats RS. *Hotspots in climate change and health*. BMJ 2002;325:1094-8. A readable account of the key issues
- United Nations Environment Programme. www.unep.org. Up-to-date information on world environmental issues such as POPs (persistent organic pollutants), post-conflict problems, sustainable construction, safe construction, safe technology transfer, fair trade, and disaster management, as well as the topics highlighted in this chapter

The figure showing examples of emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases is adapted from the World Health organization infectious disease report (http://www.int/int/infectious-disease-report)
Occupational and environmental conditions, by their nature, invite and create contention. This is particularly so where causality is uncertain. The diagram seeks to explain why this might be. Individual and group beliefs, behaviours, and so on, and their social modulation seem to play as substantial a part in the experience of symptoms as does exposure to the range of putative causal agents.

The issues of causality, attitude, and perception that affect approaches to these conditions are discussed first, before discussing specific syndromes. From a practical point of view, there is an obvious dichotomy between the support it is proper to give to patients and the more detached objectivity one would wish to bring to understanding their condition scientifically. This is particularly so when, as is often the case, health professionals are invited to make a commitment to a particular belief system related to the causality of the disease under discussion. At the same time, those health professionals are all members of the public and as such are susceptible to prevalent, popular, belief systems.

The box lists a selection of medical syndromes whose nature and aetiology are at present uncertain. They are an apparently disparate grouping, but as far as broader circumstances are concerned, they tend to reflect some common themes:

- Multifactoriality (both symptoms and putative causes)
- Lack of control ("involuntary" exposure)
- Marked variation in susceptibility
- Tendency to ascribe to external causes.

As such, there are resonances between these conditions and others considered elsewhere in this book or that are beyond its scope. These conditions include non-specific upper limb disorders, regional pain syndromes, fibromyalgia, and stress.

It is also perhaps worthwhile deconstructing the nature of the multifactoriality a little. In the cases of sick building syndromes, multiple chemical sensitivity, and war syndromes, the factors implicated are truly extensive and highly varied, whereas in situational syndromes (for example, after the Braer disaster and Camelford incidents) they are defined by the event, although still difficult to pinpoint. The debates about electromagnetic fields and nuclear installations are even more unusual because they are biphasic with more or less distinct occupational and environmental modes.

Landfill sites present another situational pattern, having a wide but segmented range of attributed, putative effects (in time and space), and an even wider range of possible hazards and attendant risks. In contrast to all of the foregoing, the putative causal agents cited for conditions attributed to sheep dipping are highly specific—namely, organophosphate pesticides. Acute effects are well known and well characterised, but the controversy continues as to how they might be implicated in longer term effects.

More dramatic examples are provided by disasters and their health consequences, which may vary according to factors other than easily measurable ones. The health deficit produced by the Chernobyl incident in 1986 has been, with the exception of

“Natural” disasters such as volcanic eruptions are less likely to have prolonged health effects (other than obvious immediate ones or secondary effects due to—for example, evacuation) than manmade disasters that usually last longer (food contamination), take time to come to light (bovine spongiform encephalopathy or variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease), can have a serious impact on Government or medical credibility, and create many cases of “illness” among the worried well.
a few thousand cases of childhood thyroid cancer, wholly psychological and socioeconomic.

Electromagnetic fields and nuclear installations

Studies, mainly epidemiological, relating to power lines have been pursued for about 30 years and for nuclear installations, for about 20 years. Their conclusions are still hotly debated.

The suspect agent in the electrical sector has been electromagnetic fields. The issues of interest occupationally have been leukaemia and brain cancer in electrical workers. Environmental concerns have focused on childhood leukaemia and, to a lesser extent, other childhood cancers.

The outcomes of extensive, painstaking epidemiological work on electromagnetic fields in both the occupational and environmental sectors have been tantalising.

As an example, the table shows the amalgamated risk data from a number of recent Nordic studies of electromagnetic fields “exposure” and childhood leukaemia.

Numbers are sparse and exposure criteria poorly defined. This situation of finding difficulty in differentiating “effect from background noise” is typical of these sorts of long running debates. The rationale for continuing is nevertheless powerful because of the universality of exposure, the likelihood that exposure will increase in the future, and for reasons of risk perception. Studies on those occupationally exposed are even weaker.

With regard to nuclear installations, concerns have also centred around childhood leukaemia and other childhood cancers. In the United Kingdom the debate was initiated by a single television programme in 1983. The putative risk factor at that time was assumed to be installation discharges of radioactive materials. However, such discharges produce doses to the general public that are very small (by several orders of magnitude) when compared with those that might be expected to produce such effects according to robust scientific risk estimations.

Amalgamated data from three principal Nordic studies concerning childhood cancer risk and proximity to power lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Leukaemia</th>
<th>Nervous system tumours</th>
<th>Lymphoma</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative risk</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>Relative risk</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.0 to 6.3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.3 to 6.7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.3 to 4.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.1 to 4.1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Studies on electromagnetic fields have struggled to develop sufficient power to dispel the tentative concerns raised by other studies.

A period of intensive research (1983-90) failed to find much support for an environmental (discharge) hypothesis, and this theory was substantively supplanted in 1990 by an occupational hypothesis based on paternal preconceptual irradiation in radiation workers. Centred on the experience of workers living in Seascale, a village near Sellafield in Cumbria, the paternal preconceptual irradiation theory did not survive when tested elsewhere in Cumbria and more generally in the United Kingdom and other countries. More recently, excess childhood leukaemia rates have been attributed to population mixing in communities that have a high proportion of incomers, raising the possibility of a viral aetiology.
Even though the scientific plausibility has subsided, these matters can still polarise scientific opinion at the extremes of construct belief.

Gulf war syndromes

In 1992, after some months of preparation, a multinational army was engaged in a brief, one sided conflict against Iraq resulting in minimal military casualties to them. Subsequently there emerged among the veterans of some nations, particularly the United States and United Kingdom, a series of symptoms now collectively known as Gulf war syndromes. These have now been extensively studied in the surprisingly large numbers of personnel involved.

A number of separate or combined entities have been suggested as possible causes, and it is easy to draw up a list of 30 or 40 factors that might plausibly come into the frame. Some of these are listed in the box.

Epidemiological studies have looked for distinct Gulf war syndromes and what exposures or experiences might be associated with them. To date, the key observations are that there is no specific syndrome, but that war theatre veterans have discernibly stronger symptoms per person than military personnel who did not serve in the Gulf. There is an association between symptom frequency and complexity of inoculation programmes (where given). Mortality, morbidity, and reproductive outcomes have been unremarkable to date, although, of course, it is early days yet. The same caution that is applied to negative data must also be applied to early positive associations now beginning to be reported (for example, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis).

Those who remember the Vietnam war recall analogous later manifestations of complaints attributed to that experience and specifically to Agent Orange, a widely deployed defoliant. Recent retrospective research suggests that increased symptom frequency may be associated with conflict experience back to the mid-19th century. This subject is set to run for some time.

Multiple chemical sensitivity

Multiple chemical sensitivity is a difficult entity to position clinically. The range of symptoms observed within the scope of the condition are protean. They include chronic fatigue type syndromes, weakness, sleep disturbance, rashes, headache, chest tightness, and oppression, but these examples are far from a complete and arguable list.

It is accepted that multiple chemical sensitivity applies to a group of patients with a disabling condition with symptomatology whose severity seems to be lessened by restriction of exposure to the everyday environment, particularly by inhalation. It is inferred, therefore, that the aetiology or precipitation of the condition is derived from that environment. Underlying immunological and neurological mechanisms have been proposed but not substantiated. Diagnostic criteria are hard to define, as are objective investigative methods.

Braer and Camelford

The Braer oil tanker ran aground in Northern Scotland, releasing a cargo of light crude oil (1992-3). At Camelford in Cornwall in 1988, a specific agent, aluminium sulphate, was inadvertently introduced into the water supply. Both incidents resulted in immediate symptoms in local residents. Those associated with the Braer were primarily acute and upper
respiratory, whereas those in Camelford were more diffuse. The former did not persist; the latter did.

The persistence of a “malaise” syndrome, as at Camelford (for example, fatigue, joint pain, depression, memory loss) is a feature of a number of the conditions described in this chapter, and the contrast offered between the Braer and Camelford events may therefore be generally instructive. Toxically the circumstances were different, and indeed it is possible to argue that local exposures after the Braer disaster were minimal or non-existent because of vigorous weather dispersal of pollution.

Others have argued that the difference lay in contrasting approaches to the situation by the responsible public authorities. In a perhaps oversimplistic way, it has therefore been inferred that a strong social and sociopsychological modulation of response can be obtained in situational events by authoritative communication and action.

Aspects of societal action that have been perceived as positive in these circumstances are given in the box.

**Sick building syndrome**

Originally seen and reported as primarily a respiratory occupational disease in the early 1980s, sick building syndrome has more recently seemed more protean and diffuse. Thus, a malaise syndrome similar to situational events was increasingly recognised in later studies; the incidence of complaint was found to be overlaid on quite high prevalence of such symptoms even in buildings not associated with sick building syndrome. Strong linkages to upper limb disorders and stress symptomatologies have also been increasingly reported. It seems likely that these different functional ways of looking at syndromes may be taking different slices out of what is part of the same cake.

**Landfills**

The figure shows the geographical distribution of UK landfill sites and perhaps also the futility of “nimbyism.” (Nimby is an acronymic characterisation—“not in my back yard”—of resistance to the location of any undesired feature in a particular neighbourhood.)

A recent large UK study showed a small association (about 1%) between congenital abnormalities and proximity to a landfill site. It was unclear whether this finding should be seen as reassuring, given that the effect was small and “proximity” encompassed a majority of the UK population. Landfill and other “amenity” sites are particularly likely to be the subject of local symptomatic complaint and anecdotal reporting of cluster events (for example, cancers). Investigatorially, the range of reported conditions and (usually) the lack of easily definable toxic exposure may result in unsatisfactory outcomes for all parties involved.

**Organophosphate sheep dips**

Organophosphates are well characterised neurotoxins that exert their effects acutely by inhibiting of the widespread neurotransmitter enzyme acetylcholinesterase. This toxic effect has been widely exploited in pesticide applications, as in sheep dips for parasitic infestations. The acute effects have also been widely seen in humans in occupational, domestic, and deliberate overexposures. A typical acute syndrome of stomach cramps, weakness, paralysis, and collapse is directly associated
with measurable cholinesterase suppression in a traditional
dose-response relation.

Two syndromes of longer duration have been attributed to
long term effects of organophosphate exposure, mainly in
sheep dippers who have high and repeated contact with these
agents. One, known colloquially as "dipper’s flu," is reported to
come on some time after exposure, typically up to a day later.
As the name indicates, the illness is described as "flu-like" in
nature and duration. The other syndrome or syndrome set is
reported to be truly chronic, and the syndrome range is typical of
that described repeatedly in this chapter. At the anecdotal
level, some preponderance of chronic fatigue and cognitive
deficits is claimed.

Dipper’s flu has been subjected to objective field
investigations of exposure and symptoms following dipping.
Little difference was observed between symptoms of dippers
and unexposed controls when symptoms were grouped
(for example, cognitive, visual, flu-like). When symptoms were
degree grouped and analysed separately, some emerged as more
common in dippers but these were not those of flu. Thus,
despite quite extensive research, the findings continue to be
inconclusive or perverse, and there is no clear dose or dose-
surrogate relation. Complaints of neuropathy are more
frequent in sheep dippers, especially those handling
concentrate, who are also more prone to anxiety and
depression. Again, no cause and effect relation has been
established, and objective signs of damage have not been in
sight.

Puzzlingly, a plausible mechanism of action has not been
found for the longer term or chronic effects attributed to
organophosphate exposure. The long term effects are not
associated with cholinesterase inhibition, the acute toxic
mechanism, in any discernible or direct way. It is possible,
speculatively, to postulate some “shadow” effect of
cholinesterase inhibition, or some other unknown mechanism
of the agent or some contaminant, but the investigations to
date have been elusive and discouraging of the existence of
such mechanisms.

Conclusion
The foregoing sections describe a substantive sample of
occupationally and environmentally ascribed complaints of
uncertain origins. Others of no less importance are noted here
but have not otherwise been selected for discussion.

To a greater or lesser extent they posit scientific problems
associated with differentiating between hazard (innate adverse
characteristics) and risk (the likelihood of them happening).
Ill understood by society, the difference between hazard and
risk is, or seems to be, being marginalised in a society where
such issues are now more dominantly subject to perceptions and
the precautionary principle (where ultimately hazard equals
risk). The natural course of issues of the type discussed in this
chapter is often a cycle of initial concern, resistance,
disturbance, investigation, assimilation, and exhaustion. This is
shown in the figures, which examine the epidemiological time
course of investigations into the nuclear installations issue
discussed earlier, and soft tissue sarcoma associated with
herbicide application, moving from the sentinel observation
towards regression to the mean.

To resolve such issues effectively in the altered perceptual
framework of the society in which they flourish probably needs
some fundamental reordering of current “expert” and
“authoritarian” approaches. The models that have been created
to “understand” these issues, while dictated by common sense

Sheep dipping

Claims that long term effects of exposure to
organophosphates leading to a variety of chronic syndromes
remain unsubstantiated, both epidemiologically and
toxicologically

Other environmental ascribed complaints

- Oestrogenic modulators in water and food chains
- Mercury dental amalgams
- Pesticides residues in foodchains
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and observation, are themselves authoritarian rather than consensual.

A consensual approach (that is, a process widely accepted, understood, and supported) has been attempted in the United States in dealing, practically and scientifically, with a group known as “downwinders.” These were people exposed to downwind deposition of radioiodine released in north west United States in the 1940s. Features of the process are similar to those perceived to have prevented escalation of the Braer disaster but are more rigorously structured. The time, communications, and effort costs are large; the outcomes so far, imperfect.

If attribution and handling these problems in a public health context is difficult then so can be dealing with the “patients”/victims and the “worried well.”

Therapeutic handling of sufferers, regardless of the putative source of their illness, usually includes accepting that there is a problem, separating out cause, symptomatology, and illness behaviour, and treating the latter by psychological techniques—an approach similar to that adopted by pain therapists.
## Features of some important occupational zoonoses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease and infectious agent</th>
<th>Main animal reservoir</th>
<th>Workers at risk</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Mode of acquisition</th>
<th>Incubation period</th>
<th>Clinical features</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Immunisation possible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brucellosis</td>
<td>Cattle</td>
<td>Farmers</td>
<td>Worldwide, especially North and East Africa, Middle East, and Latin America</td>
<td>Direct contact with infected animals, or ingestion of contaminated milk or dairy products</td>
<td>Variable, usually 5-60 days</td>
<td>Acute or insidious onset with intermittent fever, fatigue, arthralgia, and localised suppurative infection of organs. Splenomegaly and lymphadenopathy occurs in about 15% of cases. Neurological symptoms may occur acutely. In the chronic form symptoms include depression, fatigue, and arthritis</td>
<td>Doxycycline with rifampicin or streptomycin</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undulant fever</td>
<td>Sheep and goats</td>
<td>Butchers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brucella abortus</td>
<td>Pigs</td>
<td>Abattoir staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. melitensis</td>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td>Vets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. suis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. canis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescribed disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIDDOR reportable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptosporidiosis</td>
<td>Cattle</td>
<td>Farmers</td>
<td>Worldwide</td>
<td>Faeco-oral; ingestion of oocysts excreted in human or animal faeces</td>
<td>1-12 days</td>
<td>Acute diarrhoea, often associated with abdominal cramps. Most immunocompetent people will improve within 30 days. Immunocompromised individuals may have severe and protracted illness</td>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptosporidium parvum</td>
<td>Sheep and goats</td>
<td>Vets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli O157</td>
<td>Cattle</td>
<td>Farmers</td>
<td>Worldwide</td>
<td>Ingestion of contaminated food, direct contact with infected animals, direct person to person spread, and waterborne</td>
<td>1-9 days</td>
<td>Haemolytic uraemic syndrome may follow after a further 5-10 days</td>
<td>Supportive</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notifiable</td>
<td>Sheep and goats</td>
<td>Healthcare staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Horses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erysipeloid</td>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>Fishermen</td>
<td>Worldwide</td>
<td>Direct contact with infected animal</td>
<td></td>
<td>Localised cutaneous skin infection/cellulitis with violaceous tinge (fishmonger’s finger). Occasionally fever, articulare pain, rarely septicemia and endocarditis. Usually self-limiting</td>
<td>Penicillin, cephalosporins, erythromycin, or tetracycline</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erysipelothrix</td>
<td>Wild or domestic</td>
<td>Butchers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rhissiopathiae</td>
<td>animals</td>
<td>Fish handlers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Poultry workers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histoplasmosis</td>
<td>Chicken</td>
<td>Poultry workers</td>
<td>Americas, Africa, East Asia, Australia—rare in temperate climates</td>
<td>Inhalation of airborne conidia</td>
<td>Generally within 3-17 days</td>
<td>(a) asymptomatic, (b) acute benign respiratory, (c) acute disseminated disease, (d) chronic disseminated disease, (e) chronic pulmonary disease</td>
<td>Itraconazole or ketoconazole for immunocompetent patients with indolent non-meningal infection. Amphotericin for those with fulminant or severe infections</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histoplasma capsulatum</td>
<td>Bats</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydatid disease</td>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td>Shepherds</td>
<td>Worldwide except Antarctica</td>
<td>Hand to mouth transfer of eggs after association with infected dogs or through contaminated food, soil, water, or fomites</td>
<td>Months to years</td>
<td>Usually asymptomatic until cysts cause noticeable pressure effects; symptomatology will depend on size and location of cysts. Eosinophilia common</td>
<td>Surgical resection of cysts combined with albendazole</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Tapeworms of genus Echinococcus)</td>
<td>Sheep—intermediate host</td>
<td>Farmers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. granulosus</td>
<td>Sylvic hosts for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and E. multilocularis</td>
<td>E. multilocularis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescribed disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIDDOR reportable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*continued*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease and infectious agent</th>
<th>Main animal reservoir</th>
<th>Workers at risk</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Listeriosis</strong>&lt;br&gt; <em>Listeria monocytogenes</em></td>
<td>Cattle, sheep, and other domestic and wild animals</td>
<td>Farmers, Vets</td>
<td><strong>Distribution</strong>: Worldwide&lt;br&gt;<strong>Mode of acquisition</strong>: Mostly foodborne (soft cheeses, etc.), but also nosocomial, and direct contact with infected animals or aborted animal fetuses&lt;br&gt;<strong>Incubation period</strong>: Variable, but 3-70 days. Infected individuals may shed organism in stool for several months&lt;br&gt;<strong>Clinical features</strong>: General malaise or flu-like symptoms. In pregnant women infection may lead to abortion, intrauterine death, or neonatal sepsis. Immunocompromised individuals may suffer from meningoencephalitis&lt;br&gt;<strong>Treatment</strong>: Amoxicillin and gentamicin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lyme disease</strong>&lt;br&gt; <em>Borrelia burgdorferi</em></td>
<td>Wild rodents, Deer</td>
<td>Shepherds, Farmers, Foresters, Outdoor work</td>
<td><strong>Distribution</strong>: United States, Canada, Europe, former Soviet Union, China, Japan&lt;br&gt;<strong>Mode of acquisition</strong>: Tickborne— <em>Ixodes scapularis, pacificus, ricinus</em>, and <em>persulcatus</em>. Incubation period: 7-10 days after tick bite, transmission of <em>B. burgdorferi</em> unlikely within 48 hours of tick attachment, therefore prompt removal of tick essential&lt;br&gt;<strong>Clinical features</strong>: Erythema migrans generally occurs within 7-10 days after tick bite, transmission of <em>B. burgdorferi</em> unlikely within 48 hours of tick attachment, therefore prompt removal of tick essential&lt;br&gt;<strong>Mode of acquisition</strong>: Direct contact with eyes or inhalation&lt;br&gt;<strong>Clinical features</strong>: Mild systemic illness with conjunctivitis&lt;br&gt;<strong>Treatment</strong>: Penicillin and tetracyclines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Newcastle disease</strong>&lt;br&gt; <em>Paramyxovirus</em></td>
<td>Domesticated and wild birds</td>
<td>Poultry workers, Pet shop staff, Vets</td>
<td><strong>Distribution</strong>: Rare in United Kingdom, occasional outbreaks in import quarantines&lt;br&gt;<strong>Mode of acquisition</strong>: Direct contact with infected blood, body fluids, or tissue&lt;br&gt;<strong>Incubation period</strong>: 4-18 days&lt;br&gt;<strong>Clinical features</strong>: Influenza type symptoms with severe headache, fever, respiratory symptoms, encephalitis. Death occurs in about 50% of those with symptoms&lt;br&gt;<strong>Treatment</strong>: Supportive treatment; ribavarin has been used but effectiveness is uncertain&lt;br&gt;<strong>Clinical features</strong>: Mild systemic illness with conjunctivitis&lt;br&gt;<strong>Treatment</strong>: Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nipah virus</strong>&lt;br&gt; <em>Paramyxovirus</em></td>
<td>Pig farmers, Abattoir staff</td>
<td>Figs</td>
<td><strong>Distribution</strong>: South East Asia&lt;br&gt;<strong>Mode of acquisition</strong>: Direct contact with infected blood, body fluids, or tissue&lt;br&gt;<strong>Incubation period</strong>: 4-18 days&lt;br&gt;<strong>Clinical features</strong>: Influenza type symptoms with severe headache, fever, respiratory symptoms, encephalitis. Death occurs in about 50% of those with symptoms&lt;br&gt;<strong>Treatment</strong>: Supportive treatment; ribavarin has been used but effectiveness is uncertain&lt;br&gt;<strong>Clinical features</strong>: Solitary maculopustular lesion surrounded by erythematous rim. Lesion dries, and crust detaches after 6-8 weeks with no persisting scar. With secondary bacterial infection, cellulitis and regional lymphadenitis occur&lt;br&gt;<strong>Treatment</strong>: Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Orf</strong>&lt;br&gt; <em>Parapoxvirus</em></td>
<td>Sheep and goats</td>
<td>Farm workers, Abattoir staff</td>
<td><strong>Distribution</strong>: Worldwide&lt;br&gt;<strong>Mode of acquisition</strong>: Direct contact with mucous membranes of infected animals&lt;br&gt;<strong>Incubation period</strong>: 3-7 days&lt;br&gt;<strong>Clinical features</strong>: Solitary maculopustular lesion surrounded by erythematous rim. Lesion dries, and crust detaches after 6-8 weeks with no persisting scar. With secondary bacterial infection, cellulitis and regional lymphadenitis occur&lt;br&gt;<strong>Treatment</strong>: Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Psittacosis</strong></td>
<td>Waterfowl, Pheasants, Pigeons, Psittacine birds</td>
<td>Poultry workers, Pet shop staff</td>
<td><strong>Distribution</strong>: Worldwide&lt;br&gt;<strong>Mode of acquisition</strong>: Inhalation of aerosols contaminated by infected avian faeces or fomites&lt;br&gt;<strong>Incubation period</strong>: 1-4 weeks&lt;br&gt;<strong>Clinical features</strong>: Fever, headache, myalgia, respiratory symptoms. (non-productive cough). Respiratory symptoms are often disproportionately mild when compared with chest x ray findings. Complications include encephalitis, myocardiitis, and Stephens-Johnson syndrome&lt;br&gt;<strong>Treatment</strong>: Tetracyclines or erythromycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Q Fever</strong>&lt;br&gt; <em>Coxiella burnetii</em></td>
<td>Sheep and goats, Cattle, Cats, Dogs, Wild rodents</td>
<td>Farmers, Meat workers, Dairy workers, Abattoir staff, Vets</td>
<td><strong>Distribution</strong>: Worldwide&lt;br&gt;<strong>Mode of acquisition</strong>: Inhalation of airborne organism, direct contact with infected animals or products&lt;br&gt;<strong>Incubation period</strong>: 2-3 weeks&lt;br&gt;<strong>Clinical features</strong>: Fever, retrobulbar headache, general malaise, atypical pneumonia. Occasionally, acute hepatitis. Chronic symptoms (months or years after original infection) resulting in endocarditis can occur on prosthetic or abnormal valves</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Disease and Main animal reservoir

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease and infectious agent</th>
<th>Main animal reservoir</th>
<th>Workers at risk</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ringworm</strong>&lt;br&gt;Various species of genera&lt;br&gt;Trichophyton, Microsporum, Epidermophyton</td>
<td>Dogs&lt;br&gt;Cattle&lt;br&gt;Cats</td>
<td>Vets&lt;br&gt; Farmers</td>
<td>Distribution: Worldwide&lt;br&gt;Mode of acquisition: Direct skin-to-skin contact&lt;br&gt;Incubation period: Variable but usually 3-5 days for infection to become established and 2-3 weeks for symptoms to manifest&lt;br&gt;Clinical features: Depends on site and causative agent, but <em>T. verrucosum</em> (from cattle) may produce large pustular lesions (kerions). Lesions on trunk or legs consist of prominent red margin with scaly central area&lt;br&gt;Treatment: Mild infection responds to topical antifungals. Oral antifungals such as griseofulvin or terbinafine may be necessary when topical therapy fails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Streptococcus suis</strong>&lt;br&gt;Prescribed disease RIDDOR reportable</td>
<td>Pigs&lt;br&gt;Pork processors</td>
<td>Pig workers&lt;br&gt;Pork processors</td>
<td>Distribution: Worldwide&lt;br&gt;Mode of acquisition: Direct contact with infected pigs or pork&lt;br&gt;Clinical features: Primary skin infection with surrounding erythema and associated sepsicaemia and meningitis. Sequelae include ataxia and deafness in those with meningitis. Case fatality is extremely high in asplenics. Arthritis, pharyngitis, and diarrhoea may also occur&lt;br&gt;Treatment: Penicillin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Toxoplasmosis</strong>&lt;br&gt;<em>Toxoplasma gondii</em></td>
<td>Cats</td>
<td>Farm workers&lt;br&gt;Vets</td>
<td>Distribution: Worldwide&lt;br&gt;Mode of acquisition: Ingestion of undercooked infected meat, contact with contaminated soil, contact with infected animals&lt;br&gt;Incubation period: 5-20 days&lt;br&gt;Clinical features: Mostly asymptomatic, but some have glandular fever type symptoms. Primary infection in pregnancy may result in fetal infection, abortion, intrauterine death, chorioretinitis, hepatomegaly, hydrocephalus, and mental retardation. Cerebral toxoplasmosis may occur particularly in the immunocompromised. Reactivation of latent infection may also occur&lt;br&gt;Treatment: Not routine for uncomplicated acute infection in healthy immunocompetent adults. For toxoplasmic encephalitis a combination of pyrimethamine and sulphadiazine or pyrimethamine with clindamycin or clarithromycin, but expert advice should be sought. Spiramycin may reduce risk of transmission of maternal infection to fetus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Important occupationally acquired infections from human sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease and infectious agent</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measles</strong>&lt;br&gt;Parvovirus</td>
<td><strong>Distribution:</strong> Although the incidence decreased after introduction of vaccination. In the United Kingdom, due to unsubstantiated concerns regarding the combined measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine, immunisation rates have dropped and outbreaks are predicted. <strong>Mode of acquisition:</strong> Airborne by droplet spread or direct contact with nose and throat secretions. Measles is one of the most highly communicable diseases&lt;br&gt;<strong>Incubation period:</strong> 7-18 days. <strong>Communicability:</strong> 1 day before the prodromal period to 4 days after the appearance of rash&lt;br&gt;<strong>Clinical features:</strong> Prodromal fever, conjunctivitis, coryza, and Koplik’s spots on buccal mucosa. Red maculopapular facial rash starts on day 3-4, and then spreads to trunk and limbs. Complications include pneumonia and encephalitis. Subacute sclerosing panencephalitis is a rare late and fatal complication developing several years after initial infection. The decrease in vaccine uptake in the United Kingdom will mean that non-immune healthcare workers are at high risk of nosocomial infection, but currently there is no consistent screening policy to identify those at risk. In the United States, all non-immune healthcare workers are identified at pre-employment and offered immunisation if non-immune. <strong>Human normal immunoglobulin (HNIG) can be offered to those who are non-immune and have compromised immunity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Meningococcal infection</strong>&lt;br&gt;Neisseria meningitidis</td>
<td><strong>Distribution:</strong> Worldwide there are 13 serogroups; in Europe serogroups B and C predominate. About 10% of the population are asymptomatic carriers. <strong>Mode of acquisition:</strong> Person-to-person through respiratory droplets and direct contact with nose and throat secretions. Infectivity is relatively low and transmission requires prolonged close contact. <strong>Vaccines available against serogroups A, C, W135 and Y</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Incubation period:</strong> 2-10 days. <strong>Communicability:</strong> Patients are generally not infectious within 24 hours of antibiotic treatment. <strong>Clinical features:</strong> Symptoms of meningitis. The appearance of a petechial rash signifies septicemia. Healthcare personnel are rarely at risk therefore routine immunisation not indicated. Only intimate contact with infected patients—for example, mouth-to-mouth resuscitation would warrant antibiotic prophylaxis. <strong>Fifth disease</strong>&lt;br&gt;Erythema infectosum&lt;br&gt;Parvovirus B19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rubella</strong>&lt;br&gt;Notifiable disease&lt;br&gt;Immunisation available</td>
<td><strong>Distribution:</strong> Rare in most countries in Western Europe due to vaccination programmes&lt;br&gt;<strong>Mode of acquisition:</strong> Direct person-to-person contact by respiratory droplets&lt;br&gt;<strong>Incubation period:</strong> 2-3 weeks&lt;br&gt;<strong>Communicability:</strong> 1 week before onset of rash to about 4 days later&lt;br&gt;<strong>Clinical features:</strong> Generally a mild fever with sore throat and conjunctivitis precedes a macular rash. Persistent joint infection occasionally occurs, but complete and rapid recovery usual. The main importance clinically is the risk of congenital rubella syndrome. <strong>Scabies</strong>&lt;br&gt;Sarcoptes scabiei var. hominis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix II continued

**Staphylococcus aureus**

**Distribution:** Worldwide, but highest rates of resistant strains are in countries with liberal infection control policies such as Japan and Korea. It is carried as a skin commensal at any one time by about 30% of the population. Strains resistant to Penicillinase stable β-lactams are referred to as methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). Recent additional problems include the emergence of resistance to mupirocin, the mainstay of treatment of skin or nasal carriage, and case reports of intermediate-level resistance to vancomycin (VISA) in Japan, France, and United States.

**Disease and infectious agent**

| **Features** | **Mode of acquisition:** The significance of MRSA is that the organism colonises the skin, nose, and throat of both patients and healthcare staff, spreads readily by direct contact, and hence is an important cause of hospital acquired infections. While patients are usually responsible for spread of infection, the introduction of MRSA into unaffected areas by colonised staff is well documented, and staff hands are an important route of cross-infection.  
**Incubation period:** 4-10 days, but disease may not occur until several months after colonisation.  
**Clinical features:** Infection may cause both trivial and deep-seated infections; particular problems include infected bedsores or surgical wounds.  
**Control of MRSA is therefore essential to patient care, and relies on scrupulously applied infection control programmes and stringent antibiotic policies.** |

| **Notifiable diseases** | **Distribution:** Africa, South America, Middle East, and Eastern Europe.  
**Mode of acquisition:** Main concern is that of potential secondary infection in healthcare workers as a result of accidental exposure to infected blood or body fluids.  
**Incubation period:** 3-21 days  
**Clinical features:** Initial symptoms include general malaise, fever, headache, and muscle and joint pain. Obvious bleeding occurs at a later or terminal stage. In the England and Wales, the Advisory Committee on Dangerous Pathogens provides guidelines on response to a suspected case. Patients at moderate or high risk should be admitted to special isolation facilities, and strict infection control is necessary. For close contacts of high risk cases, daily surveillance for 21 days from the last possible exposure date is necessary. |

**Viral haemorrhagic fevers**

Lassa, Ebola, Marburg, and Crimean/Congo fevers

**Distribution:** Worldwide, but highest rates of resistant strains are in countries with liberal infection control policies such as Japan and Korea. It is carried as a skin commensal at any one time by about 30% of the population. Strains resistant to Penicillinase stable β-lactams are referred to as methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). Recent additional problems include the emergence of resistance to mupirocin, the mainstay of treatment of skin or nasal carriage, and case reports of intermediate-level resistance to vancomycin (VISA) in Japan, France, and United States.

**Disease and infectious agent**

| **Features** | **Mode of acquisition:** The significance of MRSA is that the organism colonises the skin, nose, and throat of both patients and healthcare staff, spreads readily by direct contact, and hence is an important cause of hospital acquired infections. While patients are usually responsible for spread of infection, the introduction of MRSA into unaffected areas by colonised staff is well documented, and staff hands are an important route of cross-infection.  
**Incubation period:** 4-10 days, but disease may not occur until several months after colonisation.  
**Clinical features:** Infection may cause both trivial and deep-seated infections; particular problems include infected bedsores or surgical wounds.  
**Control of MRSA is therefore essential to patient care, and relies on scrupulously applied infection control programmes and stringent antibiotic policies.** |

| **Notifiable diseases** | **Distribution:** Africa, South America, Middle East, and Eastern Europe.  
**Mode of acquisition:** Main concern is that of potential secondary infection in healthcare workers as a result of accidental exposure to infected blood or body fluids.  
**Incubation period:** 3-21 days  
**Clinical features:** Initial symptoms include general malaise, fever, headache, and muscle and joint pain. Obvious bleeding occurs at a later or terminal stage. In the England and Wales, the Advisory Committee on Dangerous Pathogens provides guidelines on response to a suspected case. Patients at moderate or high risk should be admitted to special isolation facilities, and strict infection control is necessary. For close contacts of high risk cases, daily surveillance for 21 days from the last possible exposure date is necessary. |
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